Pacific Highlands Ranch

Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment Fiscal Year 2008



City Planning & Community Investment Department Facilities Financing

September 12, 2007

Insert Resolution of Approval here.

Mayor

Jerry Sanders

City Council

Scott Peters, President, Council District 1 Kevin Faulconer, Council District 2 Toni Atkins, Council District 3 Tony Young, President Pro Tem, Council District 4 Brian Maienschein, Council District 5 Donna Frye, Council District 6 Jim Madaffer, Council District 7 Ben Hueso, Council District 8

City Attorney

Michael J. Aguirre, City Attorney Elizabeth Coleman, Deputy City Attorney

City Planning & Community Investment Department

William Anderson, FAICP, Director Charlene M. Gabriel, Facilities Financing Manager Pamela Bernasconi, Supervising Project Manager Frank January, Project Manager Gloria Hensley, Principal Engineering Aide M Elena Molina, Word Processing Operator

Pacific Highlands Ranch Community Planning Group

At the time of this update, no planning group has formed for Pacific Highlands Ranch.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
AUTHORITY	1
UPDATE TO FINANCING PLAN	
SCOPE OF REPORT	
FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT	3
FBA Procedure	3
METHODOLOGY OF THE FBA	
TIMING AND COST OF FACILITIES	
Expenditures	
AREAS OF BENEFIT AND PROJECTED LAND USES	5
TWO TIERS OF BENEFIT	
PROJECTED LAND USE	
Residential	
Non-residential	
ASSESSMENTS	
Assessment Methodology – EDU Ratios	
ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY – EDU RATIOS	
DETERMINATION OF ASSESSMENT RATES	
AUTOMATIC ANNUAL INCREASES	
CASH FLOW ANALYSIS	
PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN	
PURPOSE	
TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN	
DEVELOPMENT FORECAST AND ANALYSIS	
Non-residential	
Annual Absorption Rate	
Population Estimates	
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	
Future Public Facility Needs	
Changes to Capital Improvement Project List	
FEE SCHEDULE FOR FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS	
Annual Review	
Updated Project Costs	
Fee Schedule	
FINANCING STRATEGY	
Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA)	
Development Impact Fee (DIF)	

Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFT

	ssment Districts	
	munity Facility District (CFD)	
	eloper Construction	
	bursement Financing for Water and Sewer Facilities	
State	/Federal Funding	29
	Reimbursement District (CRD)	
	elopment Agreement	
	AL ASSUMPTIONS AND CONDITIONS	
	eloper Advance	
	SPORTATION PROJECTS	
	AND RECREATION PROJECTS	
	E PROJECTS	
	ROJECTS	
	RY PROJECTS R & SEWER PROJECTS	
APPEND	IX	137
DEVELO	DPMENT AGREEMENT	139
	PORTATION PHASING PLAN	
	NATIVE TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN	
	TES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT NUMERICAL LIST	
TABLES TABLE 1	Inventory of Land Uses	
TABLE 2	EDU RATIOS.	
TABLE 3	FY 2008 ASSESSMENT RATE	13
TABLE 4	FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE	14
TABLE 5	Los Angeles/San Diego Construction Cost Index	
TABLE 6	SAN DIEGO CONSUMER PRICE INDEX	
Table 7	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH CASHFLOW	
TABLE 8	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE	
TABLE 9	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH – PUBLIC FACILITIES PROJECTS	33
FIGURES	S	
Figure 1	Areas of Benefit	g
Figure 2	PROJECT LOCATION MAP	37
CHARTS		
CHART 1	TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN THRESHOLD PROJECTIONS	
CHART 2	COMPARISONS OF ABSORPTION RATES	
CHART 3	PROJECTED POPULATION GROWTHS	23

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request a financing plan in an alternative format, call the Planning Department, Facilities Financing Section, at (619) 533-3670.

Introduction

Authority

This **financing plan** implements the improvement requirements set forth in the Pacific Highlands Ranch Subarea Plan, which was originally approved by the City Council on July 28, 1998 by Resolution R-290521 and amended on October 4, 2004 by Resolution R-299671.

On November 3, 1998, the voters of the City of San Diego passed Proposition M and subsequently approved a phase shift from the land designation of "Future Urbanizing" to "Planned Urbanizing". However, a portion of Pacific Highlands Ranch did not seek to participate in the phase shift. This portion of the project, named **Del Mar Highlands Estates (DMHE)**, has a final map (FM 13818) that was approved in 1999.

Update to Financing Plan

On July 5, 2006, by Resolution R-301608, the City Council adopted the Fiscal Year 2006 Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan. On November 20, 2006, by Resolution R-302097, the City Council adopted the amendment to the Fiscal Year 2006 Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan. This report is an update of the Financing Plan for Pacific Highlands Ranch. Future updates are anticipated to occur on an annual basis.

Scope of Report

The Fiscal Year 2007 Pacific Highlands Ranch Financing Plan identifies the public facilities that will be needed over the next fourteen years, during which the full development of the community is expected. This report also includes the revised **Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA)** for Pacific Highlands Ranch, as required by City Ordinance O-15318. The FBA is established to provide public facilities which will benefit the Pacific Highlands Ranch community.



Facilities Benefit Assessment

FBA Procedure

City Ordinance No. O-15318 was adopted by the City Council on August 25, 1980 to establish the procedure for implementing a Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA). The FBA provides funding for public facilities projects that serve a designated area, also known as the **area of benefit**. The dollar amount of the assessment is based upon the collective cost of each public facility, and is equitably distributed over the area of benefit in the Pacific Highlands Ranch community planning area. For more information on the area of benefit, see Areas of Benefit and Projected Land Uses beginning on page 5.

Methodology of the FBA

The methodology of the FBA is as follows:

- 1) An **FBA** Assessment Numerical List (Assessment List) is prepared for Pacific Highlands Ranch where each remaining, unimproved parcel or approved map unit in the area of benefit is apportioned its share of the total assessment according to the size and anticipated use of the property. Refer to page 225 for more information on the FBA Assessment Numerical List.
- 2) Liens are placed on the undeveloped or under-developed portions of the assessed parcels and final map properties within the area of benefit. The liens are filed without a specific assessment amount since the owner or developer is responsible to pay only the assessment that applies to the type and amount of development that actually occurs.
- 3) At the time of building permit issuance, the owner of the parcel being developed is assessed a fee that is determined by the type and size of the permitted development according to the FBA Assessment Schedule that is in effect at the time the permit is obtained. Owners/developers are not permitted to pay liens in advance of obtaining building permits for development. FBA fees are paid directly to the Development Services Department at the time of building permit issuance.
- 4) Fees are collected, placed into a City interest bearing revenue account, and used within the area of benefit solely for those capital improvements and administrative costs identified in the Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan.

Timing and Cost of Facilities

The public facilities projects to be financed by the Pacific Highlands Ranch FBA funds are shown in Table 9, beginning on page 33. Included in the table are:

- Project title
- Fiscal year in which construction of the project is expected
- Estimated project costs
- Funding sources

Project categories include transportation improvements; water and sewer lines; neighborhood parks and recreation; police; fire; and libraries. Detailed descriptions of the facility projects, which are listed in Table 9, can be found on the project sheets beginning on page 39. The FBA also funds the administrative costs associated with the development, implementation, and operation of the FBA program.

Expenditures

The following are three types of expenditures that may be applied against the FBA fund:

- 1) **Direct payments** for facility costs, including administration of the FBA fund;
- 2) **Credits** to developers for facilities provided in accordance with Section 61.2213 of the FBA Ordinance; and
- 3) **Cash reimbursement** to developers for providing facilities exceeding the cost of their FBA obligation pursuant to an approved reimbursement agreement.

Therefore, whether a developer or the FBA fund provides a facility, direct payments, credits, or cash reimbursements are all treated as an expense to the FBA fund.

Areas of Benefit and Projected Land Uses

Two Tiers of Benefit

The City Council initiates proceedings for the designation of an area of benefit by adopting a **Resolution of Intention**. The undeveloped land areas that are within the community boundary of Pacific Highlands Ranch are known as the area of benefit. A Facilities Benefit Assessment is applied to the residential, non-residential, and various other land use combinations of undeveloped property. Figure 1, on page 9, shows the community boundary and locations of the Pacific Highlands Ranch Facilities Benefit Assessment Districts or areas of benefit.

A portion of Pacific Highlands Ranch, known as **Del Mar Highlands Estates**, did not seek to change its land designation from "Future Urbanizing" to "Planned Urbanizing". Property owners in Del Mar Highlands Estates are not subject to the Transportation Phasing Plan since they did not participate in the phase shift. For further explanation of the Transportation Phasing Plan refer to page 19. For a complete text of the Transportation Phasing plan refer to page 215 in the Appendix.

A separate area of benefit and Facilities Benefit Assessment category has been established for Del Mar Highlands Estates (DMHE) and is included in this Financing Plan. All property within Pacific Highlands Ranch with the exception of Del Mar Highlands Estates is included in Area of Benefit No. 1. Del Mar Highlands Estates makes up Area of Benefit No. 2. Both Areas of Benefit are shown in Figure 1, page 9.

Since 1999, Del Mar Highlands Estates has had an approved final map (FM 13818). The Del Mar Highlands Estates development does not gain its vehicular access from the transportation projects being financed by this FBA with only the following exceptions:

- El Camino Real Widening (Half Mile Dr. to San Dieguito Rd.); T-12.2
- El Camino Real Widening (San Dieguito Road to Via de la Valle); T-12.3
- Via de la Valle (Four Lanes); T-13

The developer of that portion of the project known as Del Mar Highlands Estates, pursuant to the conditions of the map, has an obligation to contribute funds toward the cost to construct these offsite facilities located in Subarea II. The improvement requirements are estimated to cost more than \$1,790,000 and are reflected in the CIP project sheets T-12.2, T12.3, and T-13. This obligation equates to approximately \$10,400 per dwelling unit. Pardee Homes issued Letters of Credit on July 22, 1999, which are on file with the City of San Diego totaling \$1,790,407.

Because Del Mar Highlands Estates is paying for its access improvements separately, it will not be obligated to pay FBA fees for certain remaining roadway

projects included in the FBA, through which it does not obtain access. Those projects include, but are not limited to:

- Del Mar Heights Road; T-3.1, T-3.2 and T-3.3
- Carmel Valley Road; T-4.1, T-4.2, T-4.3, and T-4.4
- Village Loop Road; T-6
- Traffic Signals; T-7
- Black Mountain Road; T-11.1 and T-11.2

With the exception of the transportation projects mentioned above, property owners developing residential units in Area of Benefit No. 2, Del Mar Highlands Estates, will be contributing their fair share to the cost of all other FBA-funded projects included in this financing plan. The fair share portion for development in Del Mar Highlands Estates is consistent with all other residential dwelling units in Pacific Highlands Ranch.

The location and extent of the area of benefit is determined by referencing the County Assessor parcel maps, current tentative subdivision maps, and from information supplied by affected property owners. This information, along with land use designations and assessment payment history, provides the data for the Inventory of Land Use Table shown on page 7.

Projected Land Use

Residential

The anticipated residential development for Pacific Highlands Ranch is estimated at 5,182 dwelling units. A list of the types and amount of planned residential development can be found in Table 1.

Non-residential

The anticipated non-residential development for Pacific Highlands Ranch is estimated to be 101.93 acres and consists of the village (commercial), institutional (private school), and an employment center. A list of the types and amount of planned non-residential development can be found in Table 1.

Currently, FBA fees are expected to be paid on a gross acre basis for the village (commercial), industrial, and employment center properties. In the event that a landowner desires to proceed with development of a portion of the landowner's property based on a phased development program, which is subject to a lien for the total amount of FBA as provided in Section 61.2210 of the Municipal Code, the landowner may obtain building permits for the development phase after paying a portion of the FBA and making provision for payment of the remainder of the FBA to the satisfaction of the Mayor.

Table 1 Inventory of Land Uses

As of June 30, 2007

Land Use	Actual	To Go	Total
Single-Family Residential Units	957	2,240	3,197
Multi-Family Residential Units	463	1,350	1,813
Del Mar Highlands Estates	161	11	172
Village Acres	0	34.0	34. 0
Employment Center Acres	0	19.01	19.01
Institutional Acres	48.92	0	48.92





Figure 1 Areas of Benefit



(This page is intentionally left blank.)

Assessments

Assessment Methodology – EDU Ratios

An **Equivalent Dwelling Unit** or **EDU** ratio has been established for the purpose of spreading the cost of public facilities between the different land use classifications. Equivalent Dwelling Unit ratios have been calculated for each category of facility to be constructed under the FBA because the relationship between land use and the degree of benefit from different public facilities can vary substantially. The single-family dwelling unit (SFDU) is the foundation for all other EDU ratios. Other land use classifications are assigned an EDU ratio per dwelling unit or acre, proportionate to the respective benefit.

Table 2 provides the EDU ratios used to prepare the Pacific Highlands Ranch Facilities Benefit Assessment.

INSTAC MFDU VAC **SFDU DMHE ECAC CATEGORY** 1.0 0.7 0 11.25 4.0 TRANSPORTATON 7.5 **PARKS** 1.0 0 1.0 0.7 0 0 POLICE/FIRE 1.0 0.7 1.0 6 9 LIBRARY 1.0 0.7 1.0 0 0 0 WATER/SEWER 1.0 17.9 17.9 17.9 0.7 1.0 **FREEWAY** 1.0 0.7 1.0 11.25 4.0 7.5 **SFDU** Single Family Dwelling Unit **MFDU** Multi-family Dwelling Unit **DMHE** Del Mar Highlands Estate VAC Village Acres INSTAC **Institutional Acres ECAC Employment Center Acres**

Table 2 EDU Ratios

Assessment Numerical List Description

For each undeveloped map portion or parcel in the Area of Benefit, the Assessment Numerical List includes:

- Parcel number
- Name and address of the owner (according to the County Assessor's records)

- Number of dwelling units or non-residential acres to be developed (highest and "best use" scenario)
- Assessment amount for each parcel.

Identification numbers in the Assessment List may be non-sequential as a result of some parcels having been omitted after assessments are paid, as ownership changes, or as parcels are subdivided. Information on ownership is listed according to the County Assessor's records at the time the Assessment List is prepared, as shown on the last equalized Assessment List, or as otherwise known to the City Clerk; or by any other means which the City Council finds reasonably calculated to apprise affected landowners (Section 61.2205). The current Assessment Listing is shown in the Appendix of this financing plan and begins on page 225. A legend, or key, for understanding the Assessment Listing is included.

A **Resolution of Designation**, when adopted by the City Council, imposes the Facilities Benefit Assessment in the form of a lien that is placed upon the undeveloped or under-developed portions of the County Assessor parcels and final map properties within the area of benefit. The assessments are based upon the type and size of forecasted land use of the highest and "best use" scenario.

The maps, plats, and summary of the Assessment List, all of which define the area of benefit, will be delivered to the County Recorder for official recording once the updated Public Facilities Financing Plan is approved by the City Council. Collection of the FBA is to occur at the time of building permit issuance at the Development Services Department.

Determination of Assessment Rates

Assessments are calculated and levied against each undeveloped or underdeveloped parcel based upon the type and size of development which is expected to occur within the area of benefit. The amount of the Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA) is determined by using the following information:

- Development schedule (in dwelling units and acres)
- Composite EDU ratios for each land use designation
- Schedule of facility expenditures (FY 2008 dollars) to be financed with monies from the FBA fund
- Annual interest rate of 3% (applied to the fund balance) in FY 2008, 2009, and 2010; 4% during years beyond FY 2010
- Annual inflation rate of 7% for FY 2008, 2009 and 2010;
 5% during years beyond FY 2010 (to anticipate the future costs of facilities that will be constructed)
- At the beginning of each fiscal year (July 1st), unpaid assessments are increased by the inflation factor.

An individual developer will pay an assessment to the FBA fund, based upon the number of units, or acres developed in a particular year. Pursuant to the terms of a reimbursement agreement with the City, a developer may be issued credits against an assessment for expenditures related to providing facilities in lieu of paying a Facilities Benefit Assessment. An approved reimbursement agreement with the City may also entitle a developer to cash from the FBA fund.

An **assessment rate** is calculated to provide sufficient money to meet the scheduled, direct payments for facilities provided by the FBA fund. The base deposit rate also considers the timing of credits and reimbursements to be paid to developers for FBA funded facilities. Table 3 lists the FY 2008 Facilities Benefit Assessment base deposit rate for Pacific Highlands Ranch.

Table 3 FY 2008 Assessment Rate

LAND USE	ASSESSMENT per UNIT/ACRE in FY 2008 DOLLARS			
SINGLE FAMILY UNITS	\$69,118			
MULTI-FAMILY UNITS	\$48,384			
DEL MAR HIGHLAND ESTATES	\$47,002			
VILLAGE ACRES	\$557,652			
INSTITUTIONAL ACRES	\$198,273			
EMPLOYMENT CENTER ACRES	\$371,765			

Automatic Annual Increases

Facilities Benefit Assessments are evaluated annually and adjusted accordingly to reflect the current economic conditions. In FY 2009 and 2010, the proposed increase reflects an inflation factor of 7%, while the proposed assessments for years beyond FY 2010 reflect a 5% annual increase. An **inflation factor** is used to provide automatic annual increases in the assessment rate and will be effective at the beginning of each fiscal year (July 1st). The automatic increase provision is effective only until such time as the next annual adjustment is authorized by the City Council. Thereafter, the subsequent Council-approved annual adjustment will prevail.

Assessments are calculated and levied against each undeveloped or underdeveloped parcel based upon the type and size of development, which is expected to occur within the Area of Benefit. The Pacific Highlands Ranch FBA Schedule in Table 4, page 14, shows the projected rate of assessment for each category of land use during each year of community development. For example, the assessment for a single-family dwelling unit developed during FY 2008 is \$69,118. For the same period, each multi-family unit is to be assessed \$48,384, while each Del Mar Highlands Estate is assessed \$47,002. The commercial or village assessment is \$557,652 per acre, while each institutional acre is \$198,273. Finally, in FY 2008, the employment center assessment is \$371,765 per acre.

Table 4 Facilities Benefit Assessment Schedule

FISCAL YEAR	\$/ SFDU	\$/ MFDU	\$/ DMHE	\$/ VAC	\$/ INSTAC	\$/ ECAC
2008	\$69,118	\$48,384	\$47,002	\$557,652	\$198,273	\$371,765
2009	\$73,956	\$51,771	\$50,292	\$596,686	\$212,151	\$397,787
2010	\$79,133	\$55,395	\$53,812	\$638,454	\$227,002	\$425,632
2011	\$83,090	\$58,165	\$56,503	\$670,380	\$238,353	\$446,916
2012	\$87,245	\$61,074	\$59,328	\$703,903	\$250,272	\$469,264
2013	\$91,607	\$64,127	\$62,295	\$739,096	\$262,785	\$492,726
2014	\$96,187	\$67,333	\$65,409	\$776,048	\$275,924	\$517,360
2015	\$100,996	\$70,700	\$68,679	\$814,848	\$289,719	\$543,227
2016	\$106,046	\$74,235	\$72,114	\$855,592	\$304,205	\$570,389
2017	\$111,348	\$77,946	\$75,719	\$898,369	\$319,415	\$598,907
2018	\$116,915	\$81,843	\$79,505	\$943,284	\$335,384	\$628,850
2019	\$122,761	\$85,936	\$83,480	\$990,450	\$352,154	\$660,294
2020	\$128,899	\$90,233	\$87,654	\$1,039,972	\$369,762	\$693,308
2021	\$135,344	\$94,744	\$92,037	\$1,091,971	\$388,250	\$727,974

Cash Flow Analysis

The Pacific Highlands Ranch Cash Flow, Table 7, page 17, presents an analysis of the Pacific Highlands Ranch FBA. For each fiscal year during the development of the community, the cash flow shows the difference between anticipated FBA revenues (including earned interest) and the expected capital improvement expenditures. Interest earnings are compounded for cash on hand and based on an estimated 2% annual return during FY 2007, 2008 and 2009, then 4% thereafter.

The City of San Diego considers historic data while predicting the effect of inflation on construction projects. The Los Angeles/San Diego Construction Cost Index (CCI) and the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for San Diego are the two indices used by the City while conducting a cash flow analysis. The historical information associated with the Los Angeles/San Diego Construction Cost Index and the Consumer Price Index for San Diego is shown in Tables 5 and 6 on page 15.

Since needed facilities are directly related to the community's growth rate, construction schedules of facilities are contingent upon the actual development within the community. Therefore, any slowdown in community development will require a modification to facility schedules and a new cash flow will be prepared.

Table 5 Los Angeles/San Diego Construction Cost Index

As reported March 2007 by Engineering News Record

YEAR	CCI	% CHANGE/YEAR
1997	6571	0.75%
1998	6673	1.55%
1999	6832	2.38%
2000	7056	3.28%
2001	7073	0.24%
2002	7440	5.19%
2003	7572	1.77%
2004	7735	2.15%
2005	8234	6.45%
2006	8552	3.86%
2007	8873	3.75%

Table 6 San Diego Consumer Price Index

Reported June 2007

		reported same 2007
YEAR	СРІ	% CHANGE/YEAR
1997	163.7	2.44%
1998	166.0	1.41%
1999	171.7	3.43%
2000	179.8	4.72%
2001	190.1	5.73%
2002	195.7	2.95%
2003	203.8	4.14%
2004	211.4	3.73%
2005	218.3	3.26%
2006	226.7	3.85%
2007	231.9	2.29%




 Table 7
 Pacific Highlands Ranch Cashflow

FY	SFDU	MFDU	DMHE	VAC	INSTA C	ECAC	\$/SFDU	\$/MFDU	\$/DMHE	\$/VAC	\$/INSTAC	\$/ECAC	FBA \$ PLUS INTEREST	PLANNED CIP \$ EXPENSES	NET BALANCE	FY
PRIOR	815	463	153	0	48.92	0							\$31,534,747	\$9,920,837	\$21,613,910	PRIOR
2007	141	0	8	0	0	0	\$66,460	\$46,524	\$45,194	\$536,207	\$190,648	\$357,468	\$10,806,040	\$15,978,270	\$16,441,680	2007
2008	57	0	7	0	0	0	\$69,118	\$48,384	\$47,002	\$557,652	\$198,273	\$371,765	\$4,529,461	\$20,017,351	\$953,789	2008
2009	79	0	4	12	0	0	\$73,956	\$51,771	\$50,292	\$596,686	\$212,151	\$397,787	\$13,253,298	\$11,834,200	\$2,372,888	2009
2010	78	0	0	0	0	0	\$79,133	\$55,395	\$53,812	\$638,454	\$227,002	\$425,632	\$6,225,070	\$7,440,705	\$1,157,252	2010
2011	95	0	0	0	0	0	\$83,090	\$58,165	\$56,503	\$670,380	\$238,353	\$446,916	\$7,987,336	\$5,541,888	\$3,602,700	2011
2012	95	0	0	0	0	0	\$87,245	\$61,074	\$59,328	\$703,903	\$250,273	\$469,264	\$8,491,856	\$5,386,661	\$6,707,895	2012
2013	104	0	0	0	0	0	\$91,607	\$64,127	\$62,295	\$739,096	\$262,785	\$492,726	\$9,672,353	\$15,815,812	\$564,436	2013
2014	100	294	0	0	0	0	\$96,187	\$67,333	\$65,409	\$776,048	\$275,924	\$517,361	\$29,492,020	\$26,683,869	\$3,372,587	2014
2015	150	150	0	10	0	11	\$100,996	\$70,700	\$68,679	\$814,848	\$289,719	\$543,227	\$40,277,123	\$26,753,713	\$16,895,997	2015
2016	250	150	0	8	0	5	\$106,046	\$74,235	\$72,114	\$855,592	\$304,205	\$570,389	\$48,286,193	\$34,335,245	\$30,846,945	2016
2017	250	150	0	4	0	3.01	\$111,348	\$77,946	\$75,719	\$898,369	\$319,415	\$598,907	\$46,913,113	\$7,834,563	\$69,925,495	2017
2018	250	150	0	0	0	0	\$116,915	\$81,843	\$79,505	\$943,284	\$335,384	\$628,850	\$45,154,881	\$270,646	\$114,809,730	2018
2019	250	150	0	0	0	0	\$122,761	\$85,936	\$83,480	\$990,450	\$352,154	\$660,294	\$49,084,893	\$284,179	\$163,610,444	2019
2020	250	150	0	0	0	0	\$128,899	\$90,233	\$87,654	\$1,039,972	\$369,762	\$693,308	\$53,107,066	\$8,884,486	\$207,833,024	2020
2021	232	156	0	0	0	0	\$135,344	\$94,744	\$92,037	\$1,091,971	\$388,250	\$727,974	\$55,493,657	\$313,307	\$263,013,375	2021
TOTAL	3,197	1,813	172	34	48.92	19.01							\$460,309,107	\$197,295,732	\$263,013,375	TOTAL

Note:

- 1) Values are rounded to the nearest dollar.
- 2) Annual inflation rate is 7% for FY 2008-2010, then 5% thereafter.
- 3) Annual interest rate is 3% for FY 2008-2010, then 4% thereafter.

(This page is intentionally left blank.)

Public Facilities Financing Plan

Purpose

The **Public Facilities Financing Plan** is prepared to ensure that all owners of undeveloped property will pay their fair share of the funding required to finance the community's needed public facilities. The financing plan applies to all property owners seeking to develop property, even if the subject property has an approved tentative or final map detailing its development. The Public Facilities Financing Plan includes the following:

- Development forecast and analysis
- Capital Improvement Program
- Fee schedule for a Facilities Benefit Assessment.

This report will update the Public Facilities Financing Plan (Financing Plan) and the Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA) for the development that is planned to occur in the community planning area known as Pacific Highlands Ranch.

Transportation Phasing Plan

Pacific Highlands Ranch is being developed in conjunction with an adopted Transportation Phasing Plan. The **Transportation Phasing Plan**, shown in the Appendix on page 215, provides a complete list of the required transportation projects. For a more detailed description of the scope of work, estimated timing as to when construction will occur, and anticipated sources of funding for each of the projects in the Transportation Phasing Plan, refer to the Capital Improvement Project sheets beginning on page 39. The Transportation Phasing Plan limits the issuance of building permits in Pacific Highlands Ranch until the listed improvements have been constructed. The limitations of the Transportation Phasing Plan are established in the form of threshold conditions, which must be met before development in Pacific Highlands Ranch is allowed to continue. Chart 1, on page 20, illustrates the timing of the four thresholds based upon the current development schedule.

At the time of this update, the most significant transportation improvement to impact the development forecast is the construction of the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connectors, project T-1.5. The Transportation Phasing Plan requires Phase Two development of Pacific Highlands Ranch to be held at 1,900 dwelling units (within the phase shift area) until the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connector project is constructed. According to the latest development schedule projections, the Pacific Highlands Ranch community should reach 1,900 units during fiscal year 2013. A pause in the development of Pacific Highlands Ranch is not expected, but may occur if the 1,900 dwelling unit threshold is reached prior to the completion of the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connectors.




Chart 1 Transportation Phasing Plan Threshold Projections

Development Forecast and Analysis

The development projection for Pacific Highlands Ranch is based upon the best estimates of the existing property owners, their land use consultants, and City staff. Certain economic factors could adversely affect these development projections. Higher interest rates, higher land and housing prices, an economic recession, and issues involving the thresholds of the Transportation Phasing Plan could slow or halt the development rate of Pacific Highlands Ranch. Conversely, a period of robust business expansion could significantly increase the rate of development. Indications are that the remaining development of Pacific Highlands Ranch will take place over a fourteen-year period.

The current development schedule assumes that the required I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connector project will not be provided by the time the 1,900 dwelling unit threshold is reached. A brief pause in the development is assumed in the projected development schedule presented in Table 8 on page 21. Future updates of this financing plan may extend the period of paused development as the timing for the completion of the project is re-evaluated.

In the development schedule, the number of units developed within a year refers to those applications having been issued building permits during the July-to-June fiscal year. Therefore, the development shown in FY 2006 refers to those units for which building permits were issued, with fees paid, between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006. Development in Pacific Highlands Ranch is projected to reach

1,900 dwelling units by the end of Fiscal Year 2013 as indicated in the table by the line (_______).

Since needed facilities are directly related to the community growth rate, construction schedules for facilities are contingent upon the actual development within the community. Therefore, any slowdown in the rate of community development will require a modification of the schedule for providing needed public facilities.

Table 8 Pacific Highlands Ranch Development Schedule

FISCAL YEAR	SFDU	MFDU	DMHE	UNITS TO DATE (1)	VAC	INSTAC	ECAC
PRIOR	816	463	153	1,432		48.92	
2007(2)	141	0	8	1,581			
2008	57	0	7	1,645			
2009	79	0	4	1,728	12		
2010	78	0		1,806	0		
2011	95	0		1,901	0		
2012	95	0		1,996	0		
2013	104	0		2,100	0		
2014	100	294		2,494	0		
2015	150	150		2,794	10		11
2016	250	150		3,194	8		5
2017	250	150		3,594	4		3.01
2018	250	150		3,994			
2019	250	150		4,394			
2020	250	150		4,794			
2021	232	156		5,182			
TOTAL	3,197	1,813	172	5,182	34	48.92	19.01
ACTUAL:	956	463	163	1,582	0	48.92	0
TO GO:	2,241	1,350	9	3,600	34	0	19.01

⁽¹⁾ This is a community-wide development schedule and includes dwelling units outside of the phase shift area.

⁽²⁾ Development figures are based upon estimates for FY 2007 and beyond.

Residential

The anticipated residential development for Pacific Highlands Ranch is estimated at 5,182 dwelling units. A list of the types and amount of all planned residential development can be found in Table 1 on page 7.

Non-residential

In the FY 2006 Financing Plan, the anticipated non-residential development for Pacific Highlands Ranch was estimated to be 102 acres. A list of the types and amount of all planned non-residential development can be found in Table 1, page 7.

Annual Absorption Rate

In the FY 2006 financing plan, projected residential development was expected to have peaked at 574 dwelling units per year during FY 2005. A secondary peak of 400 dwelling units per year is expected after the transportation phasing plan requirements have been met. Below, Chart 2 illustrates how the anticipated annual absorption rate for residential development in Pacific Highlands Ranch has changed from the FY 2006 plan to this update in FY 2008. A list of the types and amount of the planned residential development can be found in Table 1, page 7.




Chart 2 Comparisons of Absorption Rates

Population Estimates

Previous editions of the Pacific Highlands Ranch Financing Plan based population estimates on a factor of 2.62 persons per household. This factor is consistent with the Framework Plan for the North City Future Urbanizing Area. No change to this methodology is proposed as part of this update.

Using the population factor described above, the population of Pacific Highlands Ranch, at build out, is projected to be 13,577. Below, Chart 3 illustrates a comparison of the projected population growth rate of the FY 2006 and this FY 2008 update to the Public Facilities Financing Plan.

The 1,900 dwelling unit threshold of the Transportation Phasing Plan is expected to impact the development schedule and the projected population growth rate of Pacific Highlands Ranch. At the time of this update it is expected that Pacific Highlands Ranch will hold at a population of 5,500 people until the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connector project is constructed. The period of time in which the Transportation Phasing Plan holds development in Pacific Highlands Ranch may change with future updates of this financing plan.




Chart 3 Projected Population Growths

Capital Improvement Program

Future Public Facility Needs

In order to better serve the Pacific Highlands Ranch community, public facilities are needed in a number of project categories. Those categories include:

- Transportation
- Parks and Recreation
- Police

- Fire
- Library
- Water/Sewer Lines (Utilities)

Project locations are depicted in Figure 2 on page 37 and summarized in Table 9 on page 33. Detailed project descriptions can be found in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) sheets beginning on page 39. The timing associated with individual projects is also summarized in Table 9 and on the corresponding CIP project sheets. Refer to Table 8 on page 21 for the current development schedule for Pacific Highlands Ranch.

Construction schedules of facilities are contingent upon actual development within the community because needed facilities are directly related to the community's growth rate. Therefore, any slowdown in community development will require a modification to the schedule by which needed facilities are planned. The 1,900 dwelling unit threshold associated with the Transportation Phasing Plan is expected to be reached prior to the completion of the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connector project, therefore the timing for certain population-based facilities will be impacted. The timing for those projects may be adjusted in future updates as the estimated timing for the completion of the I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connector project is closely monitored.

Changes to Capital Improvement Project List

The following new project has been added to the Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan for FY 2008:

T-1.8, SR-56 Community Bicycle Connectors

Since the approval of the Fiscal Year 2006 financing plan, projects T-3.1, T-3.2, and T-3.3 have been combined into one project:

T-3, Del Mar Heights Road (4-6 Lanes).

These and other significant changes to the Capital Improvement Project list are described below:

- T-1.2B <u>State Route 56 Expansion to 6 Lanes.</u> \$22,800,000 increase in total cost of this project. There is no change to the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution of \$11,546,000.
- T-1.5 <u>I-5/SR-56 Freeway Connectors</u>. \$3,600,000 increase in the total cost of this project. There is no change to the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution of \$7,000,000.
- T-1.7 <u>SR-56 Bike Interchanges</u>. \$1,427,000 increase in the total cost of this project. There is no change to the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution of \$530,400.

- T-1.8 <u>SR-56 Community Bicycle Connectors</u>. A new project with total cost of \$345,100. Pacific Highlands Ranch share for this project is \$140,100.
- T-3 <u>Del Mar Heights Road (4-6 Lanes)</u>. The three phases of this project (T-3.1, T-3.2, and T-3.3) have been combined into one project. \$2,039,000 increase in total project cost from \$8,661,000 to \$10,700,000. \$2,039,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$8,661,000 to \$10,700,000.
- T-4.2 <u>Carmel Valley Road (4-6 Lanes South of Pacific Highlands Ranch Parkway)</u>. \$13,000 decrease in total project cost from \$6,213,000 to \$6,200,000. \$13,000 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$6,213,000 to \$6,200,000.
- T-4.3 Carmel Valley Road (Pacific Highlands Ranch Parkway to Lopelia Meadows Place). \$1,700,000 decrease in total project cost from \$9,100,000 to \$7,400,000. \$1,700,000 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$9,100,000 to \$7,400,000.
- T-4.4 <u>Carmel Valley Road (Lopelia Meadows Place to Via Abertura)</u>. \$1,200,000 increase in total project cost from \$7,500,000 to \$8,700,000. \$1,200,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$7,500,000 to \$8,700,000.
- T-6.1 Village Center Loop Road (Carmel Valley Road east to Lin property line). \$452,800 decrease in total project cost from \$3,332,800 to \$2,880,000. \$452,800 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$3,332,800 to \$2,880,000.
- T-6.2 <u>Village Center Loop Road (Lin property line east to Carmel Valley Road)</u>. \$1,000,000 increase in total project cost from \$1,000,000 to \$2,000,000. \$1,000,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$1,000,000 to \$2,000,000.
- T-8 <u>Transit Center.</u> \$200,000 decrease in total project cost from \$1,600,000 to \$1,400,000. \$200,000 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$1,600,000 to \$1,400,000.
- T-9 <u>Park-N-Ride</u>. \$800,000 increase in total project cost from \$1,300,000 to \$2,100,000. \$800,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$1,300,000 to \$2,100,000.
- P-1 <u>Gonzales Canyon Neighborhood Park Acquisition and Development.</u> \$300,000 decrease in total project cost from \$6,100,000 to \$5,800,000. \$300,000 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$6,100,000 to \$5,800,000.
- P-2 <u>McGonigle Canyon Neighborhood Park Acquisition and Development.</u> \$300,000 decrease in total project cost from \$6,100,000 to \$5,800,000.

- \$300,000 decrease in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$6,100,000 to \$5,800,000.
- P-3.3 <u>Black Mountain Ranch Community Park Swimming Pool.</u> \$1,839,000 increase in total project cost from \$4,161,000 to \$6,000,000. \$688,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$1,566,000 to \$2,244,000.
- P-10.1 Pacific Highlands Ranch Hiking and Biking Trails. \$2,778,200 increase in total project cost from \$2,296,800 to \$5,075,000. \$2,778,200 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$2,296,800 to \$5,075,000.
- F-1 <u>Fire Station 47 Pacific Highlands Ranch.</u> \$1,259,800 increase in total project cost from \$8,995,200 to \$10,255,000. \$1,009,800 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$7,214,200 to \$8,224,000.
- L-1 <u>Branch Library and Village Green.</u> \$1,790,168 increase in total project cost from \$12,786,832 to \$14,577,000. \$673,034 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$4,778,966 to \$5,452,000.
- U-3 <u>Del Mar Heights Pipeline Relocation.</u> \$201,000 increase in total project cost from \$5,949,000 to \$6,150,000. \$201,000 increase in the Pacific Highlands Ranch contribution from \$5,949,000 to \$6,150,000.

Fee Schedule for Facilities Benefit Assessments

Annual Review

The FBA Ordinance in the Municipal Code (Section 61.2212) provides for an annual adjustment of Facilities Benefit Assessments. The annual review may reflect changes to any of the following:

- Rate and amount of planned development
- Actual or estimated cost of public facilities projects
- Scope of the public facilities projects
- Inflation rates
- Interest rates
- Comparative analysis of City approved discretionary permits.

Updated Project Costs

This update includes an analysis, by each of the sponsoring City departments, of the project costs for each public facility project. The costs estimates shown in this update have been revised and consider the following:

- LEED "Silver Level" standards
- Impact of inflation
- Competitive bids on similar projects
- Modifications, if any, to the overall scope of the project.

Fee Schedule

The Pacific Highlands Ranch FBA Schedule in Table 4, page 14, shows the rate of assessment for each category of land use during each year of community development. The FY 2008 assessment schedule is the same as projected in the FY 2006 financing plan and includes an inflationary increase of 7% over the current rate due to increased project costs and the impact of inflation. For example, the assessment for a single-family dwelling unit developed during FY 2008 is \$69,118. For the same period, each multi-family unit is to be assessed \$48,384, while each Del Mar Highlands Estate is assessed \$47,002. The commercial or village assessment is \$557,652 per acre, while each institutional acre is \$198,273. Finally, in FY 2008, the employment center assessment is \$371,765 per acre.

Financing Strategy

For Planned Urbanizing Areas, the Progress Guide and General Plan requires that public facilities and services, including the water supply and distribution system, sanitary sewer system, drainage facilities, fire protection, schools, streets, parks, and open space be available at the time of development and be of sufficient capacity to serve the proposed development and its residents. According to Council Policy 600-28 such improvements will be furnished and financed by the

developer. As such, the developers will provide a majority of the needed public facilities for Pacific Highlands Ranch as a part of the subdivision process. Public facility projects that benefit a population larger than the local/adjacent development may be financed by using the following alternative methods:

Facilities Benefit Assessment (FBA)

This method of financing fairly and equitably spreads costs while following the procedures specified in City Council Ordinance O-15318, as adopted on August 25, 1980. A Facilities Benefit Assessment results in a lien being levied on each parcel of property located within the Area of Benefit. The liens ensure that assessments will be collected on each parcel as development occurs and will be renewed annually with each update to the Financing Plan. The liens will be released following payment of the FBA.

For the current, approved schedule of Facilities Benefit Assessments by fiscal year, refer to Table 4 on page 14.

Development Impact Fee (DIF)

Within urbanized communities, which are near full community development, Development Impact Fees (DIF) are collected to mitigate the impact of new development through provision of a portion of the financing needed for identified public facilities and to maintain existing levels of service for that community. Council has previously directed that Development Impact Fees, equal to the current FBA assessments, are appropriate for all properties in future urbanizing communities that have never been assessed or otherwise agreed to pay Facilities Benefit Assessments.

Assessment Districts

Special assessment district financing, such as the Municipal Improvement Acts of 1913/1915, may be used as a supplementary or alternative method of financing facilities such as streets, sidewalks, sewers, water lines, storm drains, and lighting facilities. Assessment districts are beneficial in that they provide all of the funding needed for a particular public facility project in advance of the projected development activity. However, assessment districts also create a long-term encumbrance of the benefiting property and require that the funds be repaid over an extended period of time. Assessment districts also require the approval of a majority of the property owners in order to establish the district.

Community Facility District (CFD)

State legislation, such as the **Mello-Roos Act of 1982**, has been enacted to provide a method of financing public facilities in new and developing areas. A Mello-Roos is also known as a **Community Facility District (CFD)**. The formation of such Community Facility Districts may be initiated by owner/developer petition. Mello-Roos districts also require approval by a two-thirds majority of the property owners in order to establish the district, as clarified by Council Policy 800-3.

Developer Construction

New development either constructs required facilities as a condition of subdivision or provides funds for its fair share of the costs of such facilities, with construction being performed by the City. Typically, these funds are collected through the Facilities Benefit Assessment Program or through the Development Impact Fee program.

As an alternative to the Facilities Benefit Assessment or Development Impact Fee Programs it may be feasible for developers to construct one or more of the needed public facilities on a turn-key basis. Under this arrangement, developers typically are compensated, either by cash or credit against Facilities Benefit Assessments due, for the work performed pursuant to the conditions in a Council approved reimbursement agreement (Council Policy 800-12).

Reimbursement Financing for Water and Sewer Facilities

This method of financing is outlined in Council Policy 400-7. It is commonly used when the first developer/sub-divider in an area is required to construct the necessary water and sewer facilities for an entire developing area. These agreements are approved by the City Council. Reimbursement to the first developer/sub-divider can occur over a period of time as long as 20 years or until all of the subsequently developed lands have participated in the reimbursement, whichever occurs first.

State/Federal Funding

Certain public facilities may be determined to benefit a regional area that is larger than the community planning area. Such projects may be appropriately funded by either the State, Federal Government, or by a combination of the two. The first phase of State Route 56 (project T-1.1), for example, has been shown in this financing plan as having State funding.

Cost Reimbursement District (CRD)

Occasionally, a developer/sub-divider is directed to construct public improvements that are more than that which is required to support its individual property/development. A **Cost Reimbursement District (CRD)** provides a mechanism by which the developer/sub-divider may be reimbursed by benefiting development which proceeds within 20 years of formation of the CRD. Reimbursement is secured by a lien on the benefiting properties with the lien due and payable only upon recordation of a final map or issuance of a building permit, whichever occurs first.

Development Agreement

This method permits a developer to enter into an agreement with the City of San Diego where certain rights of development are extended to the developer in exchange for certain extraordinary benefits given to the City.

General Assumptions and Conditions

In connection with the application of the above methods of financing, the following general assumptions and conditions will be applied:

- 1. Except for those projects that are identified as FBA funded, developers will be required to provide facilities that are normally provided within the subdivision process as a condition of tentative subdivision map approval. These projects include but are not limited to traffic signals (except as noted), local roads, and the dedication or preservation of Open Space located within the proposed development(s). A Mello-Roos 1913/1915 Act, or other type of reimbursement district, however, may fund such projects if the project(s) and applicant(s) qualify for this type of project financing.
- 2. Commercial, industrial, and institutional land will be assessed FBAs for infrastructure (including transportation), police, fire, and utility facilities. However, developers of commercial and industrial land will not be assessed for park and recreation or library facilities since those facilities primarily serve the residential component of the Pacific Highlands Ranch community. In the future, if a basis is developed for charging non-residential development for the cost of park and recreation and library facilities, their fair share can be evaluated at that time.
- 3. Annual reviews may be performed to evaluate performance of the program and to consider the continuing commitments related to the completion of needed facilities. Project costs and assessments shall be evaluated for all portions of the program.
- 4. The developer, or permittee, shall pay the FBA as a condition of obtaining building permits.
- 5. A developer, or group of developers, may propose to build or improve an FBA funded facility that is identified in the Capital Improvements Program. Upon City Council approval, the developer(s) may enter into an agreement to provide the facility in lieu of, or as credit against the payment of FBA fees, provided that adequate funds are available in the FBA fund. The amount and timing of the credit being sought by the developer(s) must coincide with the expenditure of funds depicted on the CIP sheet for the respective project. Should the approved, final cost of the facility exceed the amount of credit being sought by the developer(s), the developer(s) may be reimbursed from the FBA fund for the difference, subject to the approved reimbursement agreement and the availability of funds. If two developers are entitled to cash reimbursement during the same fiscal year, then the first agreement to be approved by the City Council shall take precedence over subsequent agreements approved by the City Council.

- 6. As FBA assessments are collected, they shall be placed in a City fund that provides interest earnings for the benefit of Pacific Highlands Ranch.
- 7. The Development Schedule, shown in Table 8 on page 21, is an estimated schedule and is based on the latest information available at the time this financing plan was adopted. Future approvals and/or modifications of precise plans and/or discretionary permit applications may either increase or decrease the extent of development proposed within Pacific Highlands Ranch.
- 8. Most public facilities identified in the financing plan are either "population-based" or "transportation-based". The estimated year(s) in which funds are budgeted for a given project should not be considered as a binding commitment that the project would actually be constructed in that year. With each annual update, actual permit activity and corresponding population projections, coupled with additional traffic study information obtained since the last update, will be evaluated to determine the most appropriate year in which to budget the need for each remaining project. As such, the budgeted year for a given project is subject to change with each update to the financing plan.
- 9. Only those roadways that have been designed as a four-lane facility or larger have been considered in this financing plan as being funded by the FBA. All other roadways located within Pacific Highlands Ranch will be the responsibility of the developer/sub-divider and are not reflected in the FBA calculations.
- 10. It has been assumed that a large majority of the cost necessary to complete SR-56 will be provided from funds other than the FBA, e.g. TRANSNET, State or Federal (ISTEA) Highway funds, and/or toll road funds, etc.
- 11. For projects that require land acquisition in this financing plan, property value estimates assume that the property is graded, in finished pad condition, and "ready to accept" for the project for which it is intended (i.e. the value of raw land plus the cost of improvements/environmental mitigation.). The actual price paid for land within Pacific Highlands Ranch will be based upon either a price established through direct negotiations between the affected owner(s) and relevant public agency or by fair market value, as determined by an appraisal that will be prepared in accordance with standard City policy.
- 12. It has been assumed that all costs for open space acquisition will be provided from funds other than the FBA, i.e. subdivision requirement, off-site mitigation for a particular project, etc.
- 13. It is expected that all right-of-way for the major roads within the community are to be acquired via the subdivision process at no cost to the FBA. If right-of-way must be acquired by the FBA by way of

eminent domain, a cost reimbursement district, with the beneficiary being the Pacific Highlands Ranch FBA, will be processed to recover the cost of the right-of-way at such time as the property adjacent to the roadway frontage develops.

- 14. FBA fees shall be paid by all categories of private development, including affordable housing projects.
- 15. This financing plan identifies a number of public facility projects as being funded by the FBA. However, it is understood that, during the development of Pacific Highlands Ranch, alternative funding sources may be proposed in lieu of FBA funding, such as developer funds or Mello-Roos Community Facility District financing.

Developer Advance

It is anticipated that a number of the projects, which have been identified as being FBA-funded, are to be constructed by developers in Pacific Highlands Ranch. Subject to the terms of a reimbursement agreement, a developer may actually start construction of a project before there are sufficient FBA funds available to provide either cash reimbursement or credit against the developer's obligation to pay FBA fees. In other words, the "need" for the project may occur before there are FBA funds available to cover the cost of the project. Additionally, a developer may have accumulated credits from one or more other FBA-funded projects such that the developer is unable to use credits as fast as they have been earned. In these cases, the CIP project sheets will show the fiscal year in which it is anticipated that the developer will advance the cost of the project, also known as a **Developer Advance** (DEV. ADVANCE).

The project sheets indicate the fiscal year in which it is anticipated that funds will be available to reimburse or when the developer would take credits against their obligation to pay FBA fees. Subject to the availability of funds, the year(s) in which reimbursement or credit for the Developer Advance occurs may be accelerated to the fiscal year in which the Developer Advance is extended.

 Table 9
 Pacific Highlands Ranch – Public Facilities Projects

PAGE	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT	EST. COST	FI	UNDING SOUR	CE
IAGE	NO.	DESCRII HON	YEAR	(FY 2008)	DEV/SUBD	FBA-PHR	OTHER
		TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS:					
40	T-1.1	SR-56 (Construct a 4-lane Freeway)	COMPLETED	\$222,890,298	\$24,317,848	\$0	\$198,572,450
42	T-1.2A	STATE ROUTE 56 - DEBT SERVICE	COMPLETED	\$2,517,690	\$0	\$486,365	\$2,031,325
44	T-1.2B	STATE ROUTE 56 - EXPANSION TO 6 LANES	2010-2017	\$55,800,000	\$0	\$11,546,000	\$44,254,000
46	T-1.3	SR-56/CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE	2005-2013	\$14,380,000	\$0	\$13,658,000	\$722,000
48	T-1.4	SR-56 THIRD INTERCHANGE	DELETED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50	T-1.5	I-5/SR-56 FREEWAY CONNECTORS	2005-2013	\$140,600,000	\$0	\$7,000,000	\$133,600,000
52	T-1.6	SR-56/I-15 INTERCHANGE RAMP IMPROVEMENTS	2007-2009	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$580,000	\$24,420,000
54	T-1.7	SR-56 BIKE INTERCHANGES	2006-2014	\$11,277,000	\$0	\$530,400	\$10,746,600
56	T-1.8	SR-56 COMMUNITY BICYCLE CONNECTORS	2014	\$345,100	\$0	\$140,100	\$205,000
58	T-2	DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (Right Turn Lane to I-5)	2015	\$480,000	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$160,000
60	T-3	DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (4 TO 6 LANES)	2007-2011	\$10,700,000	\$0	\$10,700,000	\$0
62	T-4.1	OLD CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (2-LANES)	COMPLETED	\$5,667,115	\$1,641,755	\$0	\$4,025,360
64	T-4.2	CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (4/6 lanes south of Del Mar Heights Road)	2003-2014	\$6,200,000	\$0	\$6,200,000	\$0
66	T-4.3	CARMEL VALLEY RD (Del Mar Heights Road to Lopelia Meadows Place)	2003-2010	\$7,400,000	\$0	\$7,400,000	\$0
68	T-4.4	CARMEL VALLEY RD (Lopelia Meadows Place to Via Abertura)	2008-2015	\$8,700,000	\$0	\$8,500,000	\$0

Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 **DRAFT**

E	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT	EST. COST	F	UNDING SOUR	CE
	NO.	DESCRIPTION	YEAR	(FY 2008)	DEV/SUBD	FBA-PHR	OTHER
		TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS (Con	tinued):				
	T-5.1	LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD - DEL MAR MESA ROAD TO SR-56	2005-2008	\$7,125,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,125,000
	T-6.1	VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Carmel Valley Road east to Lin Property Line)	2003-2008	\$2,880,000	\$0	\$2,880,000	\$0
	T-6.2	VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Lin Property Line east to Carmel Valley Road)	2016	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0
	T-7	TRAFFIC SIGNALS	2003-2008	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$2,400,000	\$0
	T-8	TRANSIT CENTER	2016	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$1,400,000	\$0
	T-9	PARK-N-RIDE	2017	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0
	T-11.1	BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD	2011-2014	\$10,600,000	\$0	\$848,000	\$9,752,000
	T-11.2	BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD AT PARK VILLAGE	2015	\$465,332	\$0	\$37,227	\$428,105
	T-12.1	EL CAMINO REAL at SAN DIEGUITO ROAD (Intersection Improvements)	COMPLETED	\$473,665	\$0	\$0	\$473,665
	T-12.2	EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (Half Mile Drive to San Dieguito Road)	2005-2017	\$5,256,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$5,056,000
	T-12.3	EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (San Dieguito Road to Via de la Valle)	2011	\$20,300,000	\$1,113,157	\$796,300	\$18,390,543
	T-13	VIA DE LA VALLE (FOUR LANES)	2005-2008	\$13,120,748	\$677,250	\$0	\$12,443,498
		TOTAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS:		\$580,077,948	\$28,010,010	\$79,662,392	\$472,405,546
		TOTAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS:		\$580,	077,948	077,948 \$28,010,010	077,948 \$28,010,010 \$79,662,392

Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 **DRAFT**

PAGE	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT	EST. COST	FU	JNDING SOUR	CE
PAGE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	YEAR	(FY 2008)	DEV/SUBD	FBA-PHR	OTHER
		PARK PROJECTS:					
96	P-1	GONZALES CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development	2008-2010	\$5,800,000	\$0	\$5,800,000	\$0
98	P-2	McGONIGLE CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development	2014-2015	\$5,800,000	\$0	\$5,800,000	\$0
100	P-3.1	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Acquisition and	2011-2016	\$19,175,000	\$0	\$16,932,000	\$2,243,000
102	P-3.2	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Recreation Building	2015-2020	\$5,950,000	\$0	\$5,254,000	\$696,000
104	P-3.3	BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Swimming Pool	2014-2016	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$2,244,000	\$3,756,000
106	P-10.1	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH HIKING AND BIKING TRAILS	2005-2017	\$5,075,000	\$0	\$5,075,000	\$0
108	P-10.2	DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD MULTI-USE TRAIL UNDERCROSSING	2011	\$800,000	\$0	\$800,000	\$0
110	P-10.3	SEA BREEZE FARMS EQUESTRIAN TRAIL CONNECTION	2008	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$0	\$0
		TOTAL PARK PROJECTS:		\$48,950,000	\$350,000	\$41,905,000	\$6,695,000
		POLICE PROJECTS:					
114	PO-1	NORTHWEST AREA POLICE STATION	COMPLETED	\$19,123,988	\$0	\$4,048,672	\$15,075,316
		TOTAL POLICE PROJECTS:		\$19,123,988	\$0	\$4,048,672	\$15,075,316
		FIDE DDOTECTION DDOTECTS.					
		FIRE PROTECTION PROJECTS: FIRE STATION 47 - PACIFIC HIGHLANDS					
118	F-1	RANCH	2006-2008	\$10,255,000	\$0	\$8,224,000	\$2,031,000
		TOTAL FIRE PROTECTION PROJECTS:		\$10,255,000	\$0	\$8,224,000	\$2,031,000


Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFT


PAGE	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT	EST. COST	F	UNDING SOUR	CE
TAGE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	YEAR	(FY 2008)	DEV/SUBD	FBA-PHR	OTHER
		LIBRARY PROJECTS:					
122	L-1	BRANCH LIBRARY AND VILLAGE GREEN	2014	\$14,577,000	\$0	\$5,452,000	\$9,125,000
		TOTAL LIBRARY PROJECTS:		\$14,577,000	\$0	\$5,452,000	\$9,125,000
		WATER UTILITY PROJECTS:					
126	U-1	CARMEL VALLEY TRUNK SEWER	2004-2010	\$9,892,000	\$0	\$1,283,000	\$8,609,000
128	U-2	GONZALES CANYON SEWER	2013	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000	\$0
130	U-3	DEL MAR HEIGHTS PIPELINE RELOCATION	2006-2014	\$6,150,000	\$0	\$6,150,000	\$0
132	U-4	LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD PIPELINE	2004-2012	\$4,613,000	\$0	\$3,856,000	\$757,000
		TOTAL WATER UTILITIES PROJECTS:		\$21,255,000	\$0	\$11,889,000	\$9,366,000
		TOTAL REMAINING PROJECTS		\$443,566,180	\$2,400,407	\$146,646,027	\$294,519,746
		GRAND TOTALS		\$694,238,936	\$28,360,010	\$151,181,064	\$514,697,862

Note:

Del Mar Highlands Estates, FM 13818, is included in Area of Benefit No. 2 and its FBA fees are not used to fund the following FBA-funded projects: T-3.1, T-3.2, T-4.2, T-6, T-11.1, and T-11.2.

Figure 2 Project Location Map





	P	acific	His	hlands	Ranch	Public	Facilities	Financing	Plan	FY	2008 D	RA	FT
--	---	--------	-----	--------	-------	--------	-------------------	------------------	------	----	--------	----	----

TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH

TITLE: SR-56 (Construct a 4-lane Freeway)

PROJECT: T-1.1


1


PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: 52-463.0 COMMUNITY PLAN:

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-SS	\$20,000	\$20,000						
FBA-RP	\$3,778,000	\$3,778,000						
FBA-TH								
SANDAG	\$37,100,000	\$37,100,000						
PVT CONT	\$10,200,000	\$10,200,000						
DEV/SUBD	\$24,317,848	\$24,317,848						
STATE	\$67,111,000	\$67,111,000						
COUNTY	\$16,400,000	\$16,400,000						
STP	\$49,350,000	\$49,350,000						
OTHER	\$14,613,450	\$14,613,450						
TOTAL	\$222,890,298	\$222,890,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-SS								
FBA-RP								
FBA-TH								
SANDAG								
PVT CONT								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STP								
SANDAG								
OTHER								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SR-56 (Construct a 4-lane Freeway)

PROJECT: T-1.1

NCIL DISTRICT: 1

PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

CIP or JO #: 52-463.0

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE STATE ROUTE 56 FREEWAY BETWEEN THE CARMEL VALLEY AND RANCHO PENASQUITOS COMMUNITIES. A FOUR-LANE FREEWAY WAS CONSTRUCTED WITH INTERCHANGES AT BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD, CAMINO SANTA FE, AND CAMINO DEL SUR. THIS PROJECT PROVIDES THE NECESSARY RIGHT-OF-WAY AND PREPARES THE GRADE FOR THE ULTIMATE SIX-LANE FREEWAY. IN ADDITION, THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE BIKE PATH THROUGH THE STATE ROUTE 56 CORRIDOR, WITH FUTURE PROJECTS PLANNED FOR THE BIKE PATH INTERCHANGES AT CAMINO SANTA FE AND CAMINO DEL SUR. THE FREEWAY WAS OPENED TO TRAFFIC JULY 2004.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES AN EAST-WEST CONNECTION BETWEEN INTERSTATE 5 (CARMEL VALLEY) AND INTERSTATE 15 (RANCHO PENASQUITOS).

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER FUNDS ARE PROVIDED BY WESTERN PACIFIC, D. R. HORTON, GREYSTONE, MCMILLIN, PARDEE, AND BALDWIN.

OTHER FUNDING:

TOTAL	14,613,450
WATER REPLACEMENT:	\$1,547,977
GASTAX	\$600,000
PROPOSITION "A" BIKEWAYS:	\$226,446
TRANSNET FUNDS:	\$1,294,301
TRANSNET BONDS:	\$2,400,000
TRANSNET (Intermodal Surface Transportation Effeciency Act):	\$952,893
TRANSNET (Commercial Paper):	\$3,370,756
STATE ROUTE 56 COOPERATIVE with SANDAG and COUNTY	': \$4,221,077

NOTES:

THE PRELIMINARY DESIGN FOR THE REMAINING INTERSTATE 5/STATE ROUTE 56 CONNECTOR RAMPS IS BUDGETED UNDER CIP 52-311.0, INTERSTATE 5/STATE ROUTE 56 NORTH FREEWAY CONNECTORS.

REFERENCE: TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN T-1.1.

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN 43-5A.

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETED

CONTACT: BRAD JOHNSON TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3770 EMAIL:

TITLE: STATE ROUTE 56 - DEBT SERVICE


PROJECT: T-1.2A


DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS
CIP or JO #: 52-703.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$486,365	\$486,365						
FBA-BMR	\$569,652	\$569,652						
FBA-TH	\$431,673	\$431,673						
FBA-DMM	\$1,030,000	\$1,030,000						
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$2,517,690	\$2,517,690	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: STATE ROUTE 56 - DEBT SERVICE

CIP or JO #: 52-703.0

PROJECT: T-1.2A

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

RICT: 1 PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE DEBT SERVICE FOR TRANSNET COMMERCIAL PAPER FUNDING ISUED IN FY 2001 FOR CIP 52-463.0, STATE ROUTE 56-CARMEL VALLEY TO BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD.

REFERENCE:

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-54.1 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-1.2A DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-5B.

JUSTIFICATION:

FROM FY 2003 THROUGH FY 2006, VARIOUS FBAs WITHIN THE SR-56 CORRIDOR WILL REIMBURSE THE TRANSNET COMMERCIAL PAPER FUNDING UTILIZED IN FY 2001 FOR CIP 52-463.0, INCLUDING INTEREST. THE ABOVE ALLOCATION OF COST REPRESENTS EACH SUBAREA'S FAIR SHARE, ABSENT SUFFICIENT FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES. THESE ALLOCATIONS MAY BE REDUCED AS OTHER SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEBT PAYMENTS FROM THE VARIOUS FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT FUNDS ARE SCHEDULED BETWEEN FY 2003 AND FY 2006.

NOTES:

COST ALLOCATIONS ARE BASED UPON THE TOTAL COST OF PROJECT T-1.2A AND COMPANION PROJECT T-1.2B.

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETED

TITLE: STATE ROUTE 56 - EXPANSION TO 6 LANES


> **PROJECT:** T-1.2B


> > 1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$11,546,000							
FBA-BMR	\$12,091,000					\$6,200,000		
FBA-TH	\$8,796,000					\$5,000,000		
FBA-DMM	\$567,000							
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER	\$22,800,000							
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$55,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,200,000	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR			\$11,546,000					
FBA-BMR	\$5,891,000							
FBA-TH	\$3,796,000							
FBA-DMM					\$567,000			
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$9,687,000	\$0	\$11,546,000	\$0	\$567,000	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: STATE ROUTE 56 - EXPANSION TO 6 LANES

PROJECT: T-1.2B

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COMMUNITY DI AN

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONVERSION OF THE FOUR LANE FREEWAY INTO A SIX LANE FACILITY. HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE LANES CAN BE ACCOMODATED WITHIN THE CENTER MEDIAN AT SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE ONCE REGIONAL FUNDING IS IDENTIFIED.

REFERENCE:

CIP or JO #: N/A

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-54.2 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-1.2B DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-5C.

JUSTIFICATION:

DUE TO THE REGIONAL SERVICING NATURE OF THIS FREEWAY, IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT FEDERAL, STATE, OR OTHER OUTSIDE FUNDING FOR THIS SEGMENT OF SR-56 WILL BE OBTAINED. IN THE ABSENCE OF THESE OTHER FUNDING SOURCES, DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL SUBAREAS OF THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA MAY BE REQUIRED TO FUND, OR AT LEAST ADVANCE THE COST OF THIS PROJECT.

FUNDING ISSUES:

THE ABOVE ALLOCATION OF COST REPRESENTS EACH SUBAREA'S FAIR SHARE, ABSENT SUFFICIENT FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES. THESE ALLOCATIONS MAY BE REDUCED AS OTHER SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED. IF OUTSIDE FUNDING IS OBTAINED FOR THIS PROJECT, THEN BLACK MOUNTIAN RANCH AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH WILL BE REIMBURSED ON A PRIORITY BASIS UNTIL ADVANCES ARE REDUCED TO A PROPORTIONATE SHARE AS CALCULATED BY A TRAFFIC LINK ANALYSIS. THE PROPORTIONATE SHARE FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH WOULD BE REDUCED FROM 34.0% TO 26.4%. THEREAFTER, ANY REIMBURSEMENTS WOULD BE DISTRIBUTED TO ALL SUBAREAS ON A PRORATA BASIS.

NOTES:

COST ALLOCATIONS ARE BASED UPON THE TOTAL COST OF PROJECT T-1.2A AND COMPANION PROJECT T-1.2B.

SCHEDULE:

THIS PROJECT WILL BE COMPLETED WHEN FUNDING IS AVAILABLE.


TITLE: SR-56/CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE

PROJECT: T-1.3

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-463.9 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$13,658,000	\$8,158,000	\$200,000	\$200,000				\$3,900,000
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM	\$722,000					\$722,000		
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$5,300,000		-\$200,000				-\$3,900,000
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$14,380,000	\$13,458,000	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$722,000	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$1,200,000						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		-\$1,200,000						
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SR-56/CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE

PROJECT: T-1.3

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: 52-463.9

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR LAND ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A FULL SIX-LANE GRADE-SEPARATED FREEWAY INTERCHANGE, COMPLETE WITH BIKE LANES, AT THE INTERSECTION OF CAMINO SANTA FE AND SR-56. THIS INTERCHANGE WILL CONSIST OF 114 FOOT WIDE BRIDGE SPANNING THE SR-56 FREEWAY IMPROVEMENTS, RAMPS THAT WILL PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE FREEWAY, SIGNALS, AND LANDSCAPING.

JUSTIFICATION:

DUE TO THE REGIONAL SERVICING NATURE OF THIS INTERCHANGE, THE PROJECT WILL BE FUNDED AS PART OF THE FREEWAY IMPROVEMENTS (SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-1.1).

FUNDING ISSUES:

THE RAMPS WILL BE FUNDED BY THE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (PHR) AND DEL MAR MESA (DMM). THE DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AND DEL MAR MESA FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-6.

SCHEDULE:

REIMBURSEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN IN FY 2005 AND 2006; REIMBURSEMENT FOR LAND IN FY 2008, FY2012, AND 2014.

TITLE: SR-56 THIRD INTERCHANGE

PROJECT: T-1.4


DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

FUNDING SOURCES

DELETED



TITLE: SR-56 THIRD INTERCHANGE PROJECT: T-1.4 DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR **DESCRIPTION:** JUSTIFICATION: **FUNDING ISSUES: NOTES: SCHEDULE:**

CONTACT: BRAD JOHNSON TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3770 EMAIL: Bjohnson@sandiego.gov

DELETED.


TITLE: I-5/SR-56 FREEWAY CONNECTORS


PROJECT: T-1.5

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 CIP or JO #: 52-311.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$7,000,000	\$857,539	\$21,785					
FBA-BMR	\$580,000							\$580,000
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
DEV. ADV						\$6,120,676		
CBI	\$1,942,000	\$971,105	\$970,895					
DEMO	\$1,375,298	\$375,393		\$999,905				
LTF	\$40,000	\$40,000						
STP	\$1,490,250		\$1,490,250					
OTHER	\$128,172,452					\$128,172,452		
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$140,600,000	\$2,244,037	\$2,482,930	\$999,905	\$0	\$134,293,128	\$0	\$580,000

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR	\$3,920,676	\$2,200,000						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
DEV. ADV	-\$3,920,676	-\$2,200,000						
CBI								
DEMO								
LTF								
STP								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: I-5/SR-56 FREEWAY CONNECTORS

CIP or JO #: 52-311.0

PROJECT: T-1.5

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE PREPARATION OF A PROJECT REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT (PR/ED), THE CONSTRUCTION OF NORTHERLY CONNECTIONS OF THE INTERSTATE 5 AND STATE ROUTE 56 FREEWAYS, AND OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS TO STATE ROUTE 56.

JUSTIFICATION:

STATE ROUTE 56 OPENED TO TRAFFIC IN JULY 2004 AND PROVIDES A NEW EAST/WEST CONNECTION BETWEEN INTERSTATES 5 AND 15. THIS INTRODUCES A SIGNIFICANT NEW VOLUME OF TRAFFIC TO THE INTERSTATE 5 CORRIDOR IN CARMEL VALLEY, SOME OF WHICH WILL NEED TO GO TO OR FROM THE NORTH. THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE THE IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO MAKE THESE MOVES MOST EFFICIENTLY AND AVOID THE HEAVY USE OF LOCAL STREETS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DUE TO THE REGIONAL SERVICING NATURE OF THIS PROJECT, FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT HAS COME FROM FEDERAL FUNDS APPROVED BY CONGRESS. IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT ADDITIONAL FEDERAL, STATE, OR OTHER OUTSIDE FUNDING WILL ALSO BE USED FOR THIS PROJECT. DEVELOPER (PARDEE) WILL ADVANCE OR OTHERWISE ASSURE THIS COMMUNITY'S SHARE OF FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

SOME FUNDING FROM PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AS WELL AS OTHERS MAY BE PROVIDED AS A "LOCAL MATCH" AS AN INDUCEMENT TO OBTAIN THESE OUTSIDE FUNDS. THESE FUNDS MAY PERMIT PORTIONS OF PHASE II TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ADVANCE OF RECEIPT OF FUNDS FROM OTHER SOURCES.

REFERENCE: BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PFFP PROJECT T-58.

SCHEDULE:

PREPARATION OF THE PROJECT REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT (PR/ED) BEGAN IN FY 2004 AND IS SCHEDULED TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2008. THE PROPOSED INTERIM IMPROVEMENTS ARE SCHEDULED TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN FY 2006. THE ULTIMATE IMPROVEMENTS ARE SCHEDULED FOR FY 2011-2013.

TITLE: SR-56/I-15 INTERCHANGE RAMP IMPROVEMENTS


PROJECT: T-1.6


DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS
CIP or JO #: 52-771.0

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$580,000		\$580,000					
FBA-BMR	\$580,000				\$580,000			
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			\$580,000		-\$580,000			
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER	\$23,840,000			\$10,420,000	\$13,420,000			
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$1,160,000	\$10,420,000	\$13,420,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SR-56/I-15 INTERCHANGE RAMP IMPROVEMENTS

PROJECT: T-1.6

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS
CIP or JO #: 52-771.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT THE MISSING LOOP RAMP IN THE SOUTHEAST QUADRANT OF SR-56 AT I-15 IN RANCHO PENASQUITOS FOR EASTBOUND TO NORTHBOUND MOVEMENTS TO ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR MAKING LEFT TURNS AT THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL. WIDEN SOUTHBOUND TO WESTBOUND RAMP AND EASTBOUND TO SOUTHBOUND RAMP.

REFERENCE: BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PFFP PROJECT T-56.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS FACILITY IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE I-15 CORRIDOR, WITH PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH CONTRIBUTING ITS FAIR SHARE BASED ON PERCENTAGE OF INCREASED TRAFFIC.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DUE TO THE REGIONAL SERVICING NATURE OF THIS ROADWAY, IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT FEDERAL, STATE, OR OTHER OUTSIDE FUNDING FOR THIS INTERCHANGE WILL BE UTILIZED. SOME FUNDING FROM DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE NCFUA MAY BE PROVIDED AS LOCAL MATCHING FUND TO ASSIST IN OBTAINING THESE OUTSIDE FUNDS.

IT IS EXPECTED THAT BMR LLC WILL ADVANCE FUNDING FOR BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH.

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION FY 2007-2009


TITLE: SR-56 BIKE INTERCHANGES


PROJECT: T-1.7

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$530,400							
FBA-BMR	\$605,168						\$605,168	
FBA-TH	\$295,900					\$295,900		
FBA-DMM	\$72,592					\$72,592		
FBA-RP	\$1,750,000			\$1,750,000				
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
SANDAG	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000						
UNIDEN	\$6,822,940							
TOTAL	\$11,277,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$1,750,000	\$0	\$368,492	\$605,168	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$530,400						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
SANDAG								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$530,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SR-56 BIKE INTERCHANGES

CIP or JO #: N/A

PROJECT: T-1.7

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE EITHER AT-GRADE OR GRADE-SEPARATED BICYCLE PATH INTERCHANGE FACILITIES ALONG STATE ROUTE 56. A PROJECT STUDY REPORT WILL IDENTIFY THE ACTUAL LOCATIONS AND TYPES OF FACILITIES NEEDED.

JUSTIFICATION:

BICYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS TRAVELING THE SR-56 BICYCLE PATH AND NEEDING TO CROSS THROUGH THE INTERCHANGE AREAS WOULD HAVE TO CONTEND WITH HIGH VOLUMES OF CONFLICTING VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. THE BICYCLE PATH FACILITIES TO BE PROPOSED IN THE PROJECT STUDY REPORT WILL EXPEDITE THE MOVEMENTS ALONG THE BICYCLE PATH THROUGH AND CONNECTING TO THE INTERCHANGE AREAS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

REFERENCE: DEL MAR MESA PFFP PROJECT 43-26
BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PFFP PROJECT T-15.2

TORREY HIGHLANDS PFFP PROJECT T-11 RANCHO PENASQUITOS PFFP PROJECT 41

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT STUDY REPORT TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2006. ENVIRONMENTAL AND DESIGN TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2007. CONSTRUCTION TO BE COMPLETED AS FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE.


TITLE: SR-56 COMMUNITY BICYCLE CONNECTORS

PROJECT: T-1.8

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$140,100							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH	\$205,000							
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
SANDAG								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$345,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$140,100						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH		\$205,000						
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
SANDAG								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$345,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SR-56 COMMUNITY BICYCLE CONN	NECTORS
-------------------------------------	---------

PROJECT: T-1.8

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CLASS I BICYCLE PATHS TO THE SR-56 BICYCLE PATH FROM RANCHO DEL SOL WAY IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AND FROM TORREY MEADOWS DRIVE IN TORREY HIGHLANDS.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMUNTIY PLAN, GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES, AND THE CITY'S BICYCLE MASTER PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

THIS PROJECT IS TO BE FUNDED BY THE FBA IF GRANT FUNDING IS NOT AVAILABLE. PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH WILL FUND THE RAMP AT RANCHO DEL SOL WAY. TORREY HIGHLANDS WILL FUND THE PROJECT AT TORREY MEADOWS DRIVE.

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

CONSTRUCTION WILL BE SCHEDULED AS FUNDING BECOMES AVAILABLE.


TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (Right Turn Lane to I-5)

PROJECT: T-2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-730.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$160,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH	\$160,000				\$160,000			
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			\$320,000		-\$160,000			
DEV/SUBD	\$160,000		\$160,000					
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$480,000	\$0	\$480,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR			\$160,000					
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			-\$160,000					
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (Right Turn Lane to I-5)

PROJECT: T-2

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS CIP or JO #: 52-730.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT A DEDICATED RIGHT TURN LANE FOR THE TRAFFIC PROCEEDING WESTBOUND ON DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD AND TURNING NORTH ONTO INTERSTATE 5 IN CARMEL VALLEY.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS IMPROVEMENT WILL HELP TO IMPROVE THE CAPACITY OF THE ROADWAY NETWORK THAT PROVIDES CAPACITY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AND TORREY HIGHLANDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

THE DEVELOPER (KILROY) ADVANCED THE FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AND TORREY HIGHLANDS FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

REFERENCE: TORREY HIGHLANDS PFFP PROJECT T-6.

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT IS COMPLETED; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2015.

TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (4 TO 6 LANES)


PROJECT: T-3

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS
CIP or JO #: 52-739.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$10,700,000	\$3,400,000		\$3,700,000	\$2,700,000		\$900,000	
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$7,300,000		-\$3,700,000	-\$2,700,000		-\$900,000	
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$10,700,000	\$10,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (4 TO 6 LANES)

CIP or JO #: 52-739.0

PROJECT: T-3

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD (4 TO 6 LANES) FROM THE WESTERLY LIMITS OF THE COMMUNITY BOUNDARY TO CARMEL VALLEY ROAD WITHIN A 122 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR A FUTURE SIX LANE FACILITY. INCLUDES RELOCATION OF EXISTING CABLE TV AND COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES; THE BRIDGE CROSSING OVER THE OPEN SPACE CORRIDOR; AN EASTBOUND THIRD LANE AS THE ROADWAY APPROACHES CARMEL VALLEY ROAD; AND TEMPORARY DETOURS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS FACILITY IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE TRAFFIC BEING GENERATED BY NEW DEVELOPMENT IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AS WELL AS BY EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC NEEDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

THE DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED THE FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

IN PREVIOUS FINANCING PLANS THIS PROJECT WAS SEPARATED INTO THREE PROJECTS OR PHASES (T-3.1, T-3.2, AND T-3.3).

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT IS COMPLETED; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2007-11.


TITLE: **OLD CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (2-LANES)**

> **PROJECT:** T-4.1

DEPARTMENT:	ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS	COUNCIL DISTRICT:	1
CIP or JO #:	292754	COMMUNITY PLAN:	PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$4,025,360	\$4,001,972	\$23,388					
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$23,388	-\$23,388					
DEV/SUBD	\$1,641,755	\$1,641,755						
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,667,115	\$5,667,115	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: OLD CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (2-LANES)

PROJECT: T-4.1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

292754

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN: 1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT INTERIM WIDENING OF EXISTING CARMEL VALLEY ROAD FROM SR-56 TO VIA ABERTURA AS A 2-LANE FACILITY. IT WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A PERMANENT FACILITY SOUTH OF DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD. THE PROJECT INCLUDES A TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT RANCHO SANTA FE FARMS ROAD.

REFERENCE:

CIP or JO #:

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-19.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS FACILITY IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE TRAFFIC BEING GENERATED BY NEW DEVELOPMENT IN BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH, PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, AND SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS BY THE EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC NEEDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

SUBDIVIDERS INCLUDE SEABREEZE FARMS IN CARMEL VALLEY; PARDEE HOMES AND THE CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. PRORATA FUNDING DISTRIBUTION SHALL BE BASED ON THE SHARE OF TRAFFIC CONTRIBUTED BY EACH COMMUNITY.

NOTES:

SUBDIVIDERS:

SEABREEZE FARMS, PARDEE HOMES, CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL: \$1,380,000 SANTALUZ: \$261,755 \$1,641,755

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETED.


TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (4/6 lanes south of Del Mar Heights Road)

PROJECT: T-4.2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-733.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$6,200,000	\$1,528,115		\$1,571,885	\$1,300,000			
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$2,871,885		-\$1,571,885	-\$1,300,000			
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$6,200,000	\$4,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$1,800,000						
FBA-BMR								ı
FBA-TH								ı
FBA-DMM								ı
FBA-RP								ı
DEV. ADV								ı
DEV/SUBD								1
COUNTY								1
STATE								1
OTHER								ı
UNIDEN								i
TOTAL	\$0	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (4/6 lanes south of Del Mar Heights Road)

PROJECT: T-4.2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT CARMEL VALLEY ROAD FROM THE CAMINO SANTA FE INTERCHANGE TO DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD AS A SIX-LANE FACILITY WITHIN A 146 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY TRANSITIONING TO A FOUR LANE FACILITY WITHIN A 122 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY (4,000 LF). THE EXPANDED RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL PERMIT WIDENING OF UP TO 24 ADDITIONAL FEET FOR A FUTURE TRANSIT ORIENTED FACILITY. IN THE INTERIM, THESE TWO LANES SHALL BE LANDSCAPED AND INCORPORATED INTO THE CENTER MEDIAN IMPROVEMENTS.

REFERENCE:

CIP or JO #: 52-733.0

TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-4.5

JUSTIFICATION:

DUE TO ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES ON CARMEL VALLEY ROAD. THE REACH BETWEEN SR-56 AND DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A SIX LANE FACILITY, IN TWO PHASES, AS REQUIRED BY THE TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

THIS PROJECT WILL BE CONSTRUCTED IN TWO PHASES. THE FIRST PHASE WILL BUILD 4 LANES WITHIN A GRADED RIGHT OF WAY FOR 6 LANES. THE SECOND PHASE WILL CONSTRUCT 2 LANES WITHIN THE MEDIAN TO EXPAND FROM 4 LANES TO 6 LANES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TRANSPORTAION PHASING PLAN. THIS PROJECT IS A PORTION OF THE TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR CARMEL VALLEY ROAD WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. SEE COMPANION PROJECTS T-4.3 AND T-4.4 FOR THE OTHER PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT.

SCHEDULE:

PHASE I IS COMPLETED; REIMBURSEMENT FOR PHASE I IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2009. PHASE II WILL OCCUR AFTER THE 1,900 UNIT CAP HAS BEEN LIFTED.


TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY RD (Del Mar Heights Road to Lopelia Meadows Place)

PROJECT: T-4.3

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-747.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$7,400,000				\$6,900,000	\$500,000		
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$7,400,000			-\$6,900,000	-\$500,000		
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$7,400,000	\$7,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY RD (Del Mar Heights Road to Lopelia Meadows Place)

PROJECT: T-4.3

1

PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

CIP or JO #: 52-747.0

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT CARMEL VALLEY ROAD FROM DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD TO NEIGHBORHOOD PARKWAY. THE PROJECT IS CONSTRUCTED AS A FOUR-LANE FACILITY BEGINNING AT PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH PARKWAY WITHIN A 122 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY THAT CAN ACCOMODATE SIX-LANES IN THE FUTURE (APPROX. 2,800 LF). THE TWO INTERNAL LANES WILL BE LEFT UNIMPROVED FOR FUTURE EXPANSION TO ACCOMODATE A TRANSIT ORIENTED FACILITY. IN THE INTERIM, THESE TWO LANES SHALL BE LANDSCAPED AND INCORPORATED INTO THE CENTER MEDIAN IMPROVEMENTS.

THIS SECTION OF CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INCLUDES THE PEDESTRIAN UNDERCROSSING AT THE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKWAY, THE RELOCATION OF EXISTING CATV AND COMMUNICATION FACILITIES, AND THE INTERIM DETOUR ROAD DURING CONSTRUCTION.

JUSTIFICATION:

DUE TO ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES ON CARMEL VALLEY ROAD, THE REACH BETWEEN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH PARKWAY AND NEIGHBORHOOD PARKWAY WAS CONSTRUCTED AS A FOUR-LANE FACILITY.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

THIS IS THE SECOND PORTION OF THE IMPROVEMENTS FOR CARMEL VALLEY ROAD WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. SEE COMPANION PROJECTS T-4.2 AND T-4.4 FOR THE OTHER PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT.

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT IS COMPLETED; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2009-2010.


TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY RD (Lopelia Meadows Place to Via Abertura)


PROJECT: T-4.4

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$8,700,000			\$850,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV					\$4,850,000			
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$8,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$850,000	\$4,850,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR	\$4,850,000		\$3,000,000					
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV	-\$4,850,000							
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY RD (Lopelia Meadows Place to Via Abertura)

PROJECT: T-4.4

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT CARMEL VALLEY ROAD FROM LOPELIA MEADOWS PARKWAY TO VIA ABERTURA AS A FOUR-LANE FACILITY WITHIN A 122 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY THAT CAN ACCOMODATE SIX LANES IN THE FUTURE (APPROX. 3,600 LF). THE TWO INTERNAL LANES WILL BE LEFT UNIMPROVED FOR FUTURE EXPANSION TO ACCOMODATE A TRANSIT ORIENTED FACILITY. IN THE INTERIM, THESE TWO LANES SHALL BE LANDSCAPED AND INCORPORATED INTO THE CENTER MEDIAN IMPROVEMENTS.

THE PROJECT IS ANTICIPATED TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN PHASES WITH INITIAL CONSTRUCTION OF 4 TRAFFIC LANES WITHOUT UTILITIES AND FRONTING IMPROVEMENTS SUCH AS CURB, GUTTER, AND SIDEWALK. THE UTILITIES AND FRONTING IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN LATER PHASES WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ABUTTING SUBDIVISIONS.

JUSTIFICATION:

DUE TO ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES ON CARMEL VALLEY ROAD, THE REACH BETWEEN LOPELIA MEADOWS PARKWAY AND VIA ABURTURA WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A FOUR-LANE FACILITY THAT CAN BE EXPANDED TO 6 LANES IN THE FUTURE.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) MAY ADVANCE FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

THIS IS THE THIRD PORTION OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR CARMEL VALLEY ROAD WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. SEE COMPANION PROJECTS T-4.2 AND T-4.3 FOR THE OTHER PHASES OF THIS PROJECT.

SCHEDULE:

PHASE I COMPLETION IS SCHEDULED FOR FY 2009, IF PARDEE AGREES TO ADVANCE FUNDING. SUBSEQUENT PHASES ARE ANTICIPATED WITH ADJACENT SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT ESTIMATED TO OCCUR IN FY 2015.


TITLE: LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD - DEL MAR MESA ROAD TO SR-56


PROJECT: T-5.1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-723.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM	\$7,125,000	\$20,000		\$2,040,000	\$2,532,500	\$2,532,500		
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$7,105,000		-\$2,040,000	-\$2,532,500	-\$2,532,500		
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$7,125,000	\$7,125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD - DEL MAR MESA ROAD TO SR-56

PROJECT: T-5.1

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: 52-723.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT WILL BE COMPLETED IN TWO PHASES. PHASE I PROVIDES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD (FORMERLY CAMINO SANTA FE) (44'/65') FROM THE NORTHERLY TERMINUS OF CARMEL MOUNTAIN ROAD AT DEL VINO COURT, NORTHWESTERLY TO THE EASTERLY TERMINUS OF DEL MAR MESA ROAD. THE PROJECT INCLUDES A MULTI-USE TRAIL ADJACENT TO THE ROADWAY.

PHASE II PROVIDES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD (40'/62') FROM DEL MAR MESA ROAD TO SR-56. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE A 100' BRIDGE STRUCTURE. A MULTI-USE TRAIL WILL ALSO BE CONSTRUCTED ADJACENT TO THE ROADWAY.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED BY DEL MAR MESA AND WILL PROVIDE ACCESS FROM DEL MAR MESA TO THE ADJOINING COMMUNITIES. CONSTRUCTION IS REQUIRED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DEL MAR MESA TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-4.

SCHEDULE:

LAND ACQUISITION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ARE EXPECTED TO BE COMPLETED BY THE DEVELOPER BY FY 2008. REIMBUSEMENT WILL BE MADE AS FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE.


TITLE: VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Carmel Valley Road east to Lin Property Line)

PROJECT: T-6.1
COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: 52-770.0 COMMUNITY PLAN:

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$2,880,000	\$1,065,309	\$934,691	\$880,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$1,814,691	-\$934,691	-\$880,000				
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$2,880,000	\$2,880,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Carmel Valley Road east to Lin Property Line)

PROJECT: T-6.1

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: 52-770.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT THE VILLAGE LOOP DRIVE FROM THE INTERSECTION OF DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD AND CARMEL VALLEY ROAD EASTERLY TO THE LIN PROPERTY LINE (APPROXIMATELY 2,000 LINEAR FEET) AS A FOUR-LANE COLLECTOR ROADWAY WITHIN A 108 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE HIGH SCHOOL SITE AND THE CORE RESIDENTIAL AREAS.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS DEPENDENT UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HIGH SCHOOL, COMMUNITY PARK, AND CORE RESIDENTIAL AREA AS WELL AS THE VILLAGE AREA OF THE COMMUNITY.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

THE SECOND PHASE, APPROXIMATELY 800 LINEAR FEET, WILL COMPLETE THE LOOP AND WILL BE BUILT DEPENDENT UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ADJACENT VILLAGE AND THE REMAINDER OF THE CORE RESIDENTIAL AREAS. SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-6.2.

SCHEDULE:

PHASE I IS COMPLETED; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2007-2008.

TITLE: VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Lin Property Line east to Carmel Valley Road)


PROJECT: T-6.2


DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$2,000,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$2,000,000						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: VILLAGE CENTER LOOP ROAD (Lin Property Line east to Carmel Valley Road)

PROJECT: T-6.2

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT THE VILLAGE LOOP DRIVE FROM THE LIN PROPERTY LINE EASTERLY TO A SECOND INTERSECTION OF CARMEL VALLEY ROAD (APPROXIMATELY 800 LINEAR FEET) AS A FOUR-LANE COLLECTOR ROADWAY WITHIN A 108 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS DEPENDENT UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HIGH SCHOOL, COMMUNITY PARK, AND CORE RESIDENTIAL AREA AS WELL AS THE VILLAGE AREA OF THE COMMUNITY.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

THE SECOND PHASE, APPROXIMATELY 800 LINEAR FEET, WILL COMPLETE THE LOOP AND WILL BE BUILT DEPENDENT UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ADJACENT VILLAGE AND THE REMAINDER OF THE CORE RESIDENTIAL AREAS.

SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-6.1.

SCHEDULE:

PHASE II WILL BE COMPLETED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ADJACENT PROPERTY AND IS ANTICIPATED TO OCCUR IN FY 2014.


TITLE: TRAFFIC SIGNALS


PROJECT: T-7

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 CIP or JO #: 68-020.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$2,400,000	\$760,496	\$639,504	\$1,000,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$1,639,504	-\$639,504	-\$1,000,000				
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: DUNCAN HUGHES TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3757 EMAIL: dhughes@sandiego.gov

TITLE: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

PROJECT: T-7
COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS CIP or JO #: 68-020.0

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT ADDRESSES THE TRAFFIC SIGNALS THAT WILL BE REQUIRED AT INTERSECTIONS OF ARTERIAL ROADWAYS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.

POTENTIAL LOCATIONS:

- 1) (3) LOCATIONS ON DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD
- 2) (8) LOCATIONS ON CARMEL VALLEY ROAD
- 3) (3) LOCATIONS ON VILLAGE LOOP DRIVE
- 4) (2) LOCATIONS AT THE CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE

(16) SIXTEEN TOTAL SIGNALS

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

SCHEDULED TO BE COMPLETED AS THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPS.

CONTACT: DUNCAN HUGHES TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3757 EMAIL: dhughes@sandiego.gov


TITLE: TRANSIT CENTER


PROJECT: T-8

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$1,400,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR				\$1,400,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: TRANSIT CENTER

CIP or JO #: N/A

PROJECT: T-8

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN:

1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

LAND ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF AN OFF-STREET TRANSIT CENTER (+/1-ACRE) WITHIN THE TOWN CENTER VILLAGE. THE TRANSIT CENTER WILL BE LOCATED SUCH THAT BUSES AND OTHER MASS TRANSPORTATION VEHICLES CAN QUICKLY AND EFFICIENTLY MOVE THROUGH THE COMMUNITY.

THIS PROJECT MAY INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, A PASSENGER SHELTER, BICYCLE STORAGE, AND VEHICLE PARKING AT THE CENTER. THE PROJECT MAY ALSO INCLUDE THE ACQUISITION OF PASSENGER VANS FOR VAN POOL SERVICES UNTIL A BUS ROUTE LINKED TO 1-5 IS PROVIDED AND ACQUISITION OF SHUTTLE BUSSES FOR SHUTTLE SERVICES ONCE CONNECTIONS ARE MADE TO THE 1-5 EXPRESS BUS CORRIDOR.

JUSTIFICATION:

THE TRANSIT CENTER WILL FACILITATE TRANSFER ACTIVITY FOR PLANNED ROUTES AND ESTABLISH A CENTRAL FOCAL POINT FOR TRANSIT WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.

FUNDING ISSUES:

PURSUANT TO MUNICIPAL CODE LIMITATION, FBA FUNDS CANNOT BE USED TO OPERATE OR MAINTAIN THE ROLLING STOCK OR CENTER FACILITIES.

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT COMPLETION IS SCHEDULED FOR FY 2016


TITLE: PARK-N-RIDE


PROJECT: T-9

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$2,100,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR					\$2,100,000			
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: **PARK-N-RIDE**

PROJECT: T-9

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

PROVIDE FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A PARK AND RIDE FACILITY NEAR THE SR-56/CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE TO ACCOMMODATE 100 PARKING SPACES (APPROXIMATELY ONE ACRE). BOTH LAND ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF THIS FACILITY SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT.

JUSTIFICATION:			
FUNDING ISSUES:			

SCHEDULE:

NOTES:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ARE DEPENDENT UPON THE NEEDS AND DEMANDS GENERATED FROM THE COMMUNITY AND THE TRANSIT ROUTES AS DISCUSSED IN THE TRANSIT MASTER PLAN.

TITLE: BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD

PROJECT: T-11.1


1


PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN:

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$848,000							
FBA-BMR	\$1,123,600							\$1,123,600
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN	\$8,628,400							
TOTAL	\$10,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,123,600

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$848,000						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$848,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD

PROJECT: T-11.1

1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS CIP or JO #: N/A

COMMUNITY DI ANI

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

WIDEN BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD IN RANCHO PENASQUITOS, FROM SR-56 SOUTHERLY TO MERCY ROAD TO A MODIFIED SIX-LANE ARTERIAL WITH CLASS II BICYCLE LANES. THE INTERSECTION AT BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD AND PARK VILLAGE ROAD WILL BE MODIFIED TO PROVIDE FOR THE CLASS II BICYCLE LANES.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES, INCLUDING PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH.

FUNDING ISSUES:

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH WILL ADD 4% TO THE OVERALL TRAFFIC VOLUMES OF THIS ROADWAY REACH AT BUILDOUT. HOWEVER, PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH'S FAIR SHARE CONTRIBUTION TO THIS CURRENTLY UNFUNDED PROJECT IS 8%. BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH'S FAIR SHARE CONTRIBUTION IS 10.6%.

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

RANCHO PENASQUITOS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 29-2D BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-57

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT COMPLETION IS SCHEDULED WHEN FUNDING IS AVAILABLE.


TITLE: BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD AT PARK VILLAGE

PROJECT: T-11.2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$37,227							
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$428,105	\$465,332						
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$465,332	\$465,332	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR			\$37,227					
FBA-BMR			-\$37,227					
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD AT PARK VILLAGE TITLE:

> PROJECT: T-11.2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT IMPROVEMENTS TO THIS EXISTING INTERSECTION IN RANCHO PENASQUITOS TO INCREASE ITS CAPACITY. IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTS OF DUAL NORTHBOUND TO WESTBOUND LEFT TURN LANES FROM BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD TO PARK VILLAGE ROAD.

REFERENCE:

CIP or JO #: N/A

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-4 (ITEM D)

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES, INCLUDING PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH AND BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH HAS AN OBLIGATION TO ADVANCE THE COST OF THIS FACILITY AS PART OF ITS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, WITH REIMBURSEMENT FROM OTHERS, I.E. PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, FOR THEIR RESPECTIVE FAIR SHARE AMOUNT.

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT WAS COMPLETED IN FY 2004; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2015.

TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3005 CONTACT: Larry Van Wey EMAIL: Lvan Wey@sandiego.gov


TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL at SAN DIEGUITO ROAD (Intersection Improvements)


PROJECT: T-12.1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$473,665	\$473,665						
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$473,665	\$473,665	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL at SAN DIEGUITO ROAD (Intersection Improvements)

> PROJECT: T-12.1

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE WIDENING OF THE WESTBOUND APPROACH ON SAN DIEGUITO ROAD AT EL CAMINO REAL IN SUBAREA II TO PROVIDE A SHARED, LEFT AND RIGHT TURN LANE. THE PROJECT WILL MODIFY THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL AS NECESSARY.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC NEEDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER ADVANCE WAS PROVIDED BY SANTALUZ DEVELOPMENT IN BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH WITH REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH FBA.

NOTES:

THIS PROJECT IS SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

REFERENCE:

BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-28

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT COMPLETED IN FY 2004.

TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (Half Mile Drive to San Dieguito Road)


PROJECT: T-12.2


PHR

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: 52-767.0 COMMUNITY PLAN:

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$100,000		\$100,000		1 1 200)	1 1 2010	11 2011	11 2012
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$5,056,000							
FBA-TH	4-,,	+ -,== -,						
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD	\$100,000		\$100,000					
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,256,000	\$4,256,000	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)		\$800,000						
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (Half Mile Drive to San Dieguito Road)

PROJECT: T-12.2

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

- 1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

CIP or JO #: 52-767.0

DESCRIPTION:

COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION OF EXISTING EL CAMINO REAL BETWEEN HALF MILE DRIVE AND SAN DIEGUITO ROAD AS A 4-LANE MAJOR STREET WITHIN THE EXISTING, GRADED RIGHT OF WAY. THE ESTIMATED COST INCLUDES ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS AND ALIGNMENT STUDIES FOR THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF EL CAMINO REAL (HALF MILE DRIVE TO VIA DE LA VALLE).

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES, INCLUDING PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, AS WELL AS THE EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC NEEDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

ALL PRORATA FUNDING DISTRIBUTION SHALL BE BASED ON THE SHARE OF TRAFFIC CONTRIBUTED BY EACH COMMUNITY OR PROJECT, EXCEPT PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (FBA) WILL BE LIMITED TO \$100,000 TOWARD FUNDING THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR THE WILDLIFE UNDERCROSSING.

COSTS IN FY 2014 ARE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CURB, GUTTER AND SIDEWALK ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE ROADWAY. THESE IMPROVEMENTS WOULD NORMALLY BE INSTALLED BY THE FRONTING PROPERTY OWNER. THE FBA WILL FUND THESE IMPROVEMENTS SHOULD THE FRONTING PROPERTY REMAIN UNDEVELOPED AT THAT TIME.

FRONTAGE PROPERTY INCLUDES APNs:

304-161-42; 304-010-42-43; 304-010-35; 299-043-13-15; 299-043-10; 299-040-46&48 299-040-36-37; 304-020-13; 299-040-33&35; 304-020-15-16; AND 304-020-18-19

NOTES:

PARDEE HAS CONTRIBUTED \$100,000 TOWARDS THE COST OF A WILDLIFE UNDERCROSSING AS PART OF THE TERMS OF ITS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT.

REFERENCE: BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT T-29.1.

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETION IN FY 2014.


TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (San Dieguito Road to Via de la Valle)


PROJECT: T-12.3

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-479.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$796,300			\$796,300				
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$578,200			\$578,200				
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
DIF-FR	\$675,561	\$675,561						
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD	\$1,113,157			\$1,113,157				
COUNTY								
TRANSNET	\$526,129	\$226,129		\$300,000				
OTHER	\$16,610,653			\$10,000,000	\$6,610,653			
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$20,300,000	\$901,690	\$0	\$12,787,657	\$6,610,653	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
DIF-FR								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
TRANSNET								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: ABI PALASEYED TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3756 EMAIL: Apalaseyed@sandiego.gov

TITLE: EL CAMINO REAL WIDENING (San Dieguito Road to Via de la Valle)

> PROJECT: T-12.3

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: 52-479.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

IMPROVE EL CAMINO REAL BETWEEN SAN DIEGUITO ROAD AND VIA DE LA VALLE AS A 4-LANE MAJOR STREET. REPLACE THE EXISTING EL CAMINO REAL BRIDGE OVER THE SAN DIEGUITO RIVER WITH A NEW 4-LANE BRIDGE. WIDENING OF VIA DE LA VALLE TO FOUR LANES BETWEEN WEST EL CAMINO REAL AND EAST EL CAMINO REAL. SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-12.2.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE TRAFFIC THAT IS GENERATED BY NEW DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES INCLUDING PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. THE EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC ALSO CREATES THE NEED FOR THE PROJECT.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DMHE (PARDEE): \$1,113,157

OTHERS:

HIGHWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR FUND: \$10,000,000 RSTP (SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM: \$6,610,653

FRONTAGE PROPERTY INCLUDES APNs:

302-090-31; 302-261-01-02; 304-020-19; 304-020-16; 302-090-11; 302-210-60; 302-090-28; AND 302-210-29&30

NOTES:

ALL PRORATA FUNDING DISTRIBUTION SHALL BE BASED ON THE SHARE OF TRAFFIC CONTRIBUTED BY EACH COMMUNITY OR PROJECT. THIS PROJECT, CURRENTLY IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS, MAY HAVE MODIFICATIONS TO SCOPE AND/OR FUNDING.

REFERENCE:

FY 2006 BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN T-29.2

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT COMPLETION IN FY 2011

CONTACT: ABI PALASEYED TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3756 EMAIL: Apalaseyed@sandiego.gov

TITLE: VIA DE LA VALLE (FOUR LANES)


PROJECT: T-13 COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1


DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)	\$8,629,448				\$8,629,448			
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			\$1,808,700	\$10,634,798	-\$12,443,498			
DEV/SUBD	\$677,250			\$677,250				
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER	\$3,814,050				\$3,814,050			
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$13,120,748	\$0	\$1,808,700	\$11,312,048	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR(cr)								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: Larry VanWey TELEPHONE: (619) 533-3005 EMAIL: lvanwey@sandiego.gov

TITLE: VIA DE LA VALLE (FOUR LANES)

CIP or JO #: N/A

PROJECT: T-13

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

RECONSTRUCT EXISTING VIA DE LA VALLE BETWEEN SAN ANDREAS DRIVE AND EL CAMINO REAL WEST TO A 4-LANE MAJOR STREET TO ACCOMMODATE EXISTING AND PROJECTED SUB-REGIONAL TRAFFIC. INCLUDES MODIFICATION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT SAN ANDREAS DRIVE ANS EL CAMINO REAL WEST AS REQUIRED AND RELOCATION OF EXISTING OVERHEAD UTILITIES TO UNDERGROUND LOCATIONS. INCLUDES RESTRIPING VIA DE LA VALLE BETWEEN SAN ANDREAS DRIVE AND I-5 TO 6 LANES.

REFERENCE:

FY 2006 BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN T-32.1

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC GENERATED AS A RESULT OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER ADVANCE IS FROM BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH LLC. DEL MAR HIGHLANDS ESTATES, A NON-PHASE SHIFT DEVELOPMENT WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, HAS A SUBDIVISION OBLIGATION TO CONTRIBUTE \$677,250 TOWARD THE COST OF THIS FACILITY.

NOTES:


ABSENT DEVELOPMENT ALONG THE FRONTAGE OF THIS ROADWAY, BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH IS CURRENTLY SHOWN AS BEING THE PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE FOR THIS PROJECT. SHOULD DEVELOPMENT OCCUR ALONG THE FRONTAGE OF THIS ROADWAY, THOSE PROPERTY OWNERS WILL EITHER DIRECTLY FUND THOSE IMPROVEMENTS OR REIMBURSE BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH FOR THEIR PRORATA SHARE OF THE COST OF THOSE IMPROVEMENTS.

FRONTAGE PROPERTY INCLUDES APNs:

298-590-11&12; 298-300-46&51; 302-090-11; 302-210-52&60; 302-090-07; 302-210-58&59; AND 302-210-25,26&29

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETION IN FY 2008.



	P	acific	His	hlands	Ranch	Public	Facilities	Financing	Plan	FY	2008 D	RA	FT
--	---	--------	-----	--------	-------	--------	-------------------	------------------	------	----	--------	----	----

PARK AND RECREATION PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


TITLE: GONZALES CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development


PROJECT: P-1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 29-534.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$5,800,000	\$703	\$1,243,297			\$4,556,000		
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,800,000	\$703	\$1,243,297	\$0	\$0	\$4,556,000	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: GONZALES CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development

P-1 PROJECT:

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION CIP or JO #: 29-534.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A FIVE (5.00) USABLE ACRE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK TO BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO A PROPOSED ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN THE GONZALES CANYON AREA OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY. JOINT-USE OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IS INTENDED. PARK AMENITIES COULD INCLUDE TURFED MULTI-PURPOSE SPORTS FIELDS, A CHILDREN'S PLAY AREA, MULTI-PURPOSE COURTS, PICNIC FACILITIES, WALKWAYS, SECURITY LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING, AND A COMFORT STATION. THIS PROJECT ALSO INCLUDES HALF-WIDTH STREET IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE LOCAL ROADWAYS ADJACENT TO THE PARK AND UTILITIES TO SERVE THE PARK.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES FOR POPULATION-BASED PARK ACREAGE, AND IMPLEMENTS THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

THE SCHEDULE IS DEPENDENT UPON THE ACTUAL RATE OF DEVELOPMENT WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. PROJECTIONS INDICATE THAT THIS COMMUNITY WILL REACH A POPULATION OF 5,000 PEOPLE IN FY 2012.

SCHEDULE:

DESIGN IS ANTICIPATED TO BEGIN IN FY 2006; CONSTRUCTION IS ANTICIPATED TO START IN FY 2010.


McGONIGLE CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development TITLE:


PROJECT: P-2

COUNCIL DISTRICT: DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION 1 CIP or JO #: 29-540.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$5,800,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$3,044,000	\$2,756,000					
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,044,000	\$2,756,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: McGONIGLE CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK - Acquisition and Development

PROJECT: P-2

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION

CIP or JO #: 29-540.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 PHR COMMUNITY PLAN:

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A FIVE (5.00) USABLE ACRE NEIGHBORHOOD PARK TO BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO A PROPOSED ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN THE MCGONIGLE CANYON AREA OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY. JOINT-USE OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IS INTENDED. PARK AMENITIES COULD INCLUDE TURFED MULTI-PURPOSE SPORTS FIELDS, A CHILDREN'S PLAY AREA, MULTI-PURPOSE COURTS, PICNIC FACILITIES, WALKWAYS, SECURITY LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING, AND A COMFORT STATION. THIS PROJECT ALSO INCLUDES HALF-WIDTH STREET IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE LOCAL ROADWAYS ADJACENT TO THE PARK AND UTILITIES TO SERVE THE PARK.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES FOR POPULATION-BASED PARK ACREAGE, AND IMPLEMENTS THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

NOTES:

THE SCHEDULE IS DEPENDENT UPON THE ACTUAL RATE OF DEVELOPMENT WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. PROJECTIONS INDICATE THAT THIS COMMUNITY WILL REACH A POPULATION OF 10,000 PEOPLE IN FY 2015.

SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THE TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN, DEVELOPMENT OF THIS COMMUNITY MAY BE DELAYED AT 1,900 UNITS. ACCORDINGLY, THE NEXT UPDATE OF THIS PLAN MAY REFLECT A CHANGE IN THE SCHEDULED TIMING FOR THIS PROJECT, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH CHANGES TO THE DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE.

SCHEDULE:

DESIGN IS ANTICIPATED TO BEGIN IN FY 2014; CONSTRUCTION IS ANTICIPATED TO START IN FY 2015.


TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Acquisition and Development


PROJECT: P-3.1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 29-543.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$16,932,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM	\$2,243,000							
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$1,000,000						
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$19,175,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR	\$1,000,000			\$15,932,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM		\$2,243,000						
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV	-\$1,000,000							
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$2,243,000	\$0	\$15,932,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Acquisition and Development

PROJECT: P-3.1

- 1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION

CIP or JO #: 29-543.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A 20.0 USEABLE ACRE COMMUNITY PARK TO BE LOCATED IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, TO SERVE RESIDENTS IN THE DEL MAR MESA AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITIES AT FULL PROJECTED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. THIS PROJECT MAY BE DEVELOPED ADJACENT TO THE PROPOSED MIDDLE SCHOOL. IF JOINT USE OF THE SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IS OBTAINED, THEN THIS PROJECT WILL BE REDUCED TO THIRTEEN (13.00) USEABLE ACRES; IF NOT, THEN SEVEN (7.0) USEABLE ACRES OF ADDITIONAL PARKLAND WILL BE REQUIRED. THE PROJECT INCLUDES HALF-WIDTH STREET IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE LOCAL ROADWAYS ADJACENT TO THE PARK, AND UTILITIES TO SERVE THE PARK.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES FOR POPULATION-BASED PARK ACREAGE, AND IMPLEMENTS THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DEL MAR MESA SPECIFIC PLAN, AND THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (88.3%); DEL MAR MESA (11.7%) ARE BASED UPON POPULATION.

NOTES:

THE SCHEDULE IS DEPENDENT UPON THE ACTUAL RATE OF DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THOSE RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS LOCATED IN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY OF THIS SITE.

SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THE TRANSPORTATION PHASING PLAN, DEVELOPMENT OF THIS COMMUNITY MAY BE DELAYED AT 1,900 UNITS. ACCORDINGLY, THE NEXT UPDATE OF THIS PLAN MAY REFLECT A CHANGE IN THE SCHEDULED TIMING FOR THIS PROJECT, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH CHANGES TO THE DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE.

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-15

SCHEDULE:

REIMBURSEMENT FOR GRADING IN FY 2011; ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION TO START IN FY 2016

TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Recreation Building


PROJECT: P-3.2


DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

CIP or JO #: 29-544.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$5,254,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM	\$696,000							
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,950,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR			\$650,000					\$4,604,000
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM			\$696,000					
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$1,346,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,604,000





TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Recreation Building

PROJECT: P-3.2

1

PHR

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: CIP or JO #: 29-544.0 COMMUNITY PLAN:

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW 17,000 SQUARE FOOT RECREATION BUILDING AT PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITY PARK TO SERVE RESIDENTS IN DEL MAR MESA AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITIES AT FULL PROJECTED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES FOR POPULATION-BASED PARK ACREAGE, AND IMPLEMENTS THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DEL MAR MESA SPECIFIC PLAN, AND THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (88.3%) AND DEL MAR MESA (11.7%) ARE BASED UPON POPULATION.

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-19

SCHEDULE:

DESIGN TO BEGIN IN FY 2015; CONSTRUCTION TO START IN FY 2020

CONTACT: DEBORAH SHARPE TELEPHONE: (619) 525-8261 EMAIL: dsharpe@sandiego.gov


TITLE: BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Swimming Pool


PROJECT: P-3.3
COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$2,244,000							
FBA-BMR	\$2,340,000							
FBA-TH	\$1,122,000							
FBA-DMM	\$294,000							
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR				\$2,244,000				
FBA-BMR		\$2,340,000						
FBA-TH		\$1,122,000						
FBA-DMM		\$294,000						
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,756,000	\$0	\$2,244,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: DEBORAH SHARPE TELEPHONE: (619) 525-8261 EMAIL: dsharpe@sandiego.gov

TITLE: **BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH COMMUNITY PARK - Swimming Pool**

P-3.3 PROJECT:

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION

CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 PHR COMMUNITY PLAN:

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SWIMMING POOL COMPLEX, INCLUDING A STANDARD 25 METER BY 25 YEARD SWIMMING POOL, AND OTHER RECREATIONAL OR THERAPEUTIC AQUATIC FACILITIES, AT BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH COMMUNITY PARK, TO SERVE THE COMMUNITIES OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH, TORREY HIGHLANDS, AND DEL MAR MESA WITHIN THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA (NCFUA).

SEE COMPANION PROJECT P-3.1.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S PROGRESS GUIDE AND GENERAL PLAN GUIDELINES FOR POPULATION-BASED PARK ACREAGE, AND IMPLEMENTS THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DEL MAR MESA SPECIFIC PLAN, AND THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, TORREY HIGHLANDS, AND BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH SUBAREA PLANS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (37.4%), BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH (39.0%), TORREY HIGHLANDS (18.7), AND DEL MAR MESA (4.9%) ARE BASED UPON POPULATION.

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-20 BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT P-3 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT P-5.

SCHEDULE:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TO START IN FY 2014

CONTACT: DEBORAH SHARPE TELEPHONE: (619) 525-8261 EMAIL: dsharpe@sandiego.gov


TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH HIKING AND BIKING TRAILS


PROJECT: P-10.1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$5,075,000			\$175,000				\$207,500
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$1,610,000						-\$207,500
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$5,075,000	\$1,610,000	\$0	\$175,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR	\$1,402,500			\$686,800	\$2,603,200			
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV	-\$1,402,500							
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$686,800	\$2,603,200	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH HIKING AND BIKING TRAILS

PROJECT: P-10.1

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION
CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN: 1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY 80,000 LINEAR FEET (15 MILES) OF HIKING, EQUESTRIAN, AND BIKING TRAILS TO BE LOCATED THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN. THE TRAIL SYSTEM WILL PROVIDE ACCESS INTO THE MULTIPLE HABITAT PLANNING AREA OF MCGONIGLE CANYON; PROVIDE PATHWAYS ALONG DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD, LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD, PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH PARKWAY, LOPELIA MEADOWS PLACE, OLD CARMEL VALLEY ROAD CROSSING UNDER SR-56, AND CARMEL VALLEY ROAD ALIGNMENTS; AND PROVIDE ACCESS INTO SUBAREA II AND THE RANCHO PENASOUITOS COMMUNITY.

THE PROJECT WILL ALSO PROVIDE FOR THE ACQUISITION, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION OF THREE TRAILHEADS/OVERLOOKS, INCLUDING PARKING AREAS, BENCHES, AND SIGNAGE AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

- 1) WHERE GONZALES CANYON TRANSITIONS INTO EAST-WEST URBAN AMENITY
- 2) NEAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL #2/McGONIGLE CANYON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK
- 3) AT THE SOUTH TERMINUS OF THE EASTERN NEIGHBORHOOD PARKWAY

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT IMPLEMENTS THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

SINCE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT FUNDING IS NOT AVAILABLE TO CONSTRUCT THE TRAILS THAT ARE EXCLUSIVELY FOR EQUESTRIAN USE, PARDEE HAS AGREED TO FUND THOSE EQUESTRIAN TRAILS TO BE LOCATED ON ITS PROPERTY IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-10.3.

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT IS TO BE COMPLETED IN SEGMENTS BETWEEN FY 2005 AND FY 2017.


TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD MULTI-USE TRAIL UNDERCROSSING


PROJECT: P-10.2

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 52-740.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$800,000						\$800,000	
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$800,000					-\$800,000	
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD MULTI-USE TRAIL UNDERCROSSING TITLE:

PROJECT: P-10.2

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION CIP or JO #: 52-740.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT: COMMUNITY PLAN:

1 PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT A TRAIL UNDERCROSSING BENEATH DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD AS PART OF THE HIKING AND RIDING TRAIL SYSTEM FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PROJECT WILL PERMIT PEDESTRIAN AND EQUESTRIAN ACTIVITIES TO PASS UNDERNEATH DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD NEAR THE WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF THE COMMUNITY IN SUCH A WAY THAT IT NEITHER IMPACTS THE CAPACITY OF THE ROADWAY NOR IMPOSES A SAFETY RISK FOR THOSE ENGAGED IN EQUESTRIAN ACTIVITIES.

THE PROJECT PERMITS THE CONNECTION OF REGIONAL TRAILS BETWEEN PENASQUITOS CANYON AND THE SAN DIEGUITO RIVER VALLEY.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT WAS COMPLETED IN FY 2004; REIMBURSEMENT IS PROGRAMMED IN FY 2011.

CONTACT: Rick Thompson TELEPHONE: (619) 533-6756 EMAIL: rthompson@sandiego.gov


TITLE: SEA BREEZE FARMS EQUESTRIAN TRAIL CONNECTION


PROJECT: P-10.3

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD	\$350,000			\$350,000				
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$350,000	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: SEA BREEZE FARMS EQUESTRIAN TRAIL CONNECTION

PROJECT: P-10.3

DEPARTMENT: PARK AND RECREATION
CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY 1,400 LINEAR FEET OF EQUESTRIAN TRAILS TO BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE WESTERLY COMMUNITY BOUNDARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA PLAN.

JUSTIFICATION:

FUNDING ISSUES:


SINCE FUNDING IS NOT AVAILABLE TO CONSTRUCT THE TRAILS THAT ARE EXCLUSIVELY FOR EQUESTRIAN USE, PARDEE HAS AGREED TO FUND THE EQUESTRIAN TRAILS LOCATED ON ITS PROPERTY IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. THE \$350K SHOWN ABOVE IS ESTIMATED TO COVER THE COST OF APPROXIMATELY 1,400 LINEAR FEET OF EXCLUSIVE EQUESTRIAN TRAILS.

NOTES:

THE BUDGET (\$350,000) IS ONLY AN ESTIMATE SINCE THE EXACT SCOPE OF WORK HAS NOT BEEN FULLY DEFINED. THE ACTUAL COSTS COULD BE MORE OR LESS DEPENDING UPON THE FINAL SCOPE OF WORK. RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THIS COMPONENT OF THE OVERALL TRAIL SYSTEM IS TO BE PROVIDED AT NO COST BY THE OWNER(S) OF THE PROPERTY ON WHICH THE TRAIL IS LOCATED. SEE COMPANION PROJECT T-10.1 FOR THE OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE TRAILS SYSTEM.

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETION IN FY 2008.



Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFT

POLICE PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


TITLE: NORTHWEST AREA POLICE STATION

PROJECT: PO-1

DEPARTMENT: POLICE COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 36-059.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$4,048,672	\$4,048,672						
FBA-CV (N)	\$9,886,390	\$10,064,605	-\$178,215					
FBA-CV (S)	\$2,098,257	\$2,281,524	-\$183,267					
FBA-DMM	\$554,109	\$554,109						
THILLS (D)	\$2,536,560	\$1,697,000	\$839,560					
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$19,123,988	\$18,645,910	\$478,078	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-CV (N)								
FBA-CV(S)								
FBA-DMM								
THILLS (D)								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: SIAVASH HAGHKHAH TELEPHONE: (619) 533-4434 EMAIL: shaghkhah@sandiego.gov

TITLE: NORTHWEST AREA POLICE STATION

PROJECT: PO-1

DEPARTMENT: POLICE COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 36-059.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE FOR THE ACQUISITION OF A SIX ACRE (FOUR USABLE ACRES) SITE ON EL CAMINO REAL IN CARMEL VALLEY AND CONSTRUCTION OF A 21,760 SQUARE FOOT POLICE STATION, 5,335 SQUARE FOOT LIGHT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY, FUELING STATION, CAR WASH, AND SITE WORK. THIS FACILITY WILL SERVE THE EXTREME NORTHWEST AREA OF THE CITY IN CARMEL VALLEY AND ADJACENT COMMUNITY PLAN AREAS.

JUSTIFICATION:

DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTHWEST PORTION OF THE CITY WILL REQUIRE LOCATING A POLICE STATION IN THIS AREA.

FUNDING ISSUES:

REPRESENTATIVE SHARES, BASED UPON THE CURRENT ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENT IN EACH RESPECTIVE COMMUNITY:

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (PHR):	21.1706%	\$4,048,672
CARMEL VALLEY (NORTH):	51.6963%	\$9,886,390
CARMEL VALLEY (SOUTH):	10.9719%	\$2,098,257
TORREY HILLS:	13.2638%	\$2,536,560
DEL MAR MESA:	2.8975%	\$554,109
	100.00%	\$19,123,988

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, CARMEL VALLEY, TORREY HILLS, AND DEL MAR MESA ARE BASED UPON 2030 POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS.

NOTES:

LAND ACQUISITION AND DESIGN ARE COMPLETE. DESIGN WILL BEGIN IN FY 2003 AND BE COMPLETED IN FY 2005. CONSTRUCTION BEGAN IN FY 2005 AND WILL BE COMPLETED IN FY 2006.


REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-22 CARMEL VALLEY PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 21-44 TORREY HILLS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 48-21

SCHEDULE:

COMPLETED.

CONTACT: SIAVASH HAGHKHAH TELEPHONE: (619) 533-4434 EMAIL: shaghkhah@sandiego.gov



Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFI

FIRE PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


TITLE: FIRE STATION 47 - PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


PROJECT: F-1
COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

DEPARTMENT: FIRE COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 33-105.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$8,224,000	\$2,901,920	\$4,312,080	\$160,000			\$850,000	
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH	\$954,000		\$836,500	\$19,000			\$98,500	
FBA-DMM	\$1,077,000			\$944,500	\$21,000		\$111,500	
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$7,353,080	-\$5,148,580	-\$1,123,500	-\$21,000		-\$1,060,000	
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$10,255,000	\$10,255,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: LEA ORIANNE TELEPHONE: (858) 636-4810 EMAIL: LOrianne@sandiego.gov

TITLE: FIRE STATION 47 - PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH

PROJECT: F-1

DEPARTMENT: FIRE COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 33-105.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW FIRE STATION WITH ACCOMMODATIONS FOR A WILDLAND FIRE FIGHTING FACILITY ON APPROXIMATELY A 1.00 ACRE SITE AT 6041 EDGEWOOD BEND COURT IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH TO SERVE DEVELOPMENT IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, DEL MAR MESA, AND TORREY HIGHLANDS. THE PROJECT WILL CONSIST OF AN APPROXIMATE 10,000 SQUARE FOOT FIRE STATION CAPABLE OF HOUSING ONE ENGINE, ONE TRUCK, AND A SPECIALIZED APPARATUS TO FIGHT WILDLAND FIRES.

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS FACILITY WILL PROVIDE SUPPORT TO PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, DEL MAR MESA (SUBAREA V) AND THE WESTERLY PORTION OF TORREY HIGHLANDS (APPROXIMATELY 599 DWELLING UNITS) AND HAS BEEN LOCATED TO PROVIDE RESPONSE TIMES THAT MEET CITY STANDARDS.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) WILL ADVANCE FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND BE REIMBURSED FROM THE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (80.2%), TORREY HIGHLANDS (9.3%), AND DEL MAR MESA (10.5%) ARE BASED UPON POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT.

NOTES:


REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-16 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT F-2

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT DESIGN WAS COMPLETED IN FY 2005; CONSTRUCTION IS ANTICIPATED TO BE COMPLETED IN NOVEMBER 2007; REIMBURSEMENT IN FY 2007-2009.

CONTACT: LEA ORIANNE TELEPHONE: (858) 636-4810 EMAIL: LOrianne@sandiego.gov



Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFI

LIBRARY PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


TITLE: BRANCH LIBRARY AND VILLAGE GREEN


PROJECT: L-1 COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

DEPARTMENT: LIBRARY COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$5,452,000							
FBA-BMR	\$5,685,000							
FBA-TH	\$2,726,000							
FBA-DMM	\$714,000							
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$14,577,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$5,452,000						
FBA-BMR		\$5,685,000						
FBA-TH		\$2,726,000						
FBA-DMM		\$714,000						
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$14,577,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: MARY ANN TILOTTA TELEPHONE: 619 236-5827 EMAIL: Mtilotta@sandiego.gov

TITLE: BRANCH LIBRARY AND VILLAGE GREEN

PROJECT: L-1 COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

DEPARTMENT: LIBRARY CIP or JO #: N/A

COUNCIL DISTRICT: I
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCT AN 18,000 SQUARE FOOT BRANCH LIBRARY FACILITY ON A THREE-ACRE SITE IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH TO SERVE THE COMMUNITIES OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH, TORREY HIGHLANDS, AND DEL MAR MESA IN THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA. THE LIBRARY FACILITY WILL BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO A TWO-ACRE SITE THAT WILL BE UTILIZED FOR CIVIC ACTIVITIES SUCH AS THE TRANSIT CENTER AND A PEDESTRIAN PLAZA.

JUSTIFICATION:

FUNDING ISSUES:

FUNDING CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH (37.4%), BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH (39.0%), TORREY HIGHLANDS (18.7%), AND DEL MAR MESA (4.9%) ARE BASED UPON POPULATION.

NOTES:


REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-17 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT L-1 BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT L-1

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ARE ANTICIPATED TO START IN FY 2014

CONTACT: MARY ANN TILOTTA TELEPHONE: 619 236-5827 EMAIL: Mtilotta@sandiego.gov



P_{ℓ}	acific	Highland	s Ranch	Public	Facilities	Financing	Plan	FY	2008	DRA	FT
1 L	$\iota \cup \iota \mid \iota \cup$	III	JICHICI	1 nonc	1 activites	I HILLING HILL	1 con	1 1	2000		

WATER & SEWER PROJECTS

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH


TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY TRUNK SEWER


PROJECT: U-1

DEPARTMENT: METROPOLITAN WASTEWATER COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: 46-136.0 COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$1,283,000					\$1,283,000		
FBA-BMR	\$2,261,000					\$2,261,000		
FBA-TH	\$1,353,000					\$1,353,000		
FBA-DMM	\$95,000					\$95,000		
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			\$1,116,044			-\$1,116,044		
DEV/SUBD								
CITY-CIP	\$4,900,000		\$8,775,956			-\$3,875,956		
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$9,892,000	\$0	\$9,892,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR								
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
CITY-CIP								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: ALLAN NAVARRO TELEPHONE: (858) 292-6459 EMAIL: Anavarro@sandiego.gov

TITLE: CARMEL VALLEY TRUNK SEWER

CIP or JO #: 46-136.0

PROJECT: U-1

DEPARTMENT: METROPOLITAN WASTEWATER

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

1 PHR COMMUNITY PLAN:

DESCRIPTION:

PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE REPLACEMENT AND UPSIZING OF THIS EXISTING 18" - 27" SEWER LINE IN ORDER TO PROVIDE CAPACITY TO MOST ALL OF THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA AND RANCHO PENASQUITOS. THE PROJECT INCLUDES DESIGN, ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION, AND PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING ACCESS ROADS AND RIGHT-OF-WAY, WHERE REQUIRED.

JUSTIFICATION:

WHILE THERE IS CAPACITY AVAILABLE WITHIN THE EXISTING PIPELINE FOR APPROXIMATELY 10 YEARS, THE EXACT CONDITION OF THIS PIPELINE IS NOT FULLY KNOWN AT THIS TIME. THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT FUNDING FOR UPGRADES TO REPLACE THE EXISTING PIPELINE AND PROVIDE FOR ADDITIONAL CAPACITY TO MEET THE ANTICIPATED DEMANDS AT FULL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA.

FUNDING ISSUES:

THE CITY WILL PROVIDE INTERIM FUNDING TO REPLACE AND UPSIZE THIS PIPELINE AND BE REIMBURSED A PORTION OF THEIR FUNDING BY NEW DEVELOPMENT FROM THE FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT FUNDS FOR COMMUNITIES IN THE NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA AT SUCH TIME AS THE AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN THE EXISTING TRUNK SEWER HAS BEEN EXCEEDED.

NOTES:

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-23 BLACK MOUNTAIN RANCH PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT U-1 TORREY HIGHLANDS PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN PROJECT U-1

SCHEDULE:

CONSTRUCTION BEGAN IN FY 2004; REIMBURSEMENT WILL BE COMPLETED IN FY 2010.

CONTACT: ALLAN NAVARRO TELEPHONE: (858) 292-6459 EMAIL: Anavarro@sandiego.gov


TITLE: GONZALES CANYON SEWER


PROJECT: U-2

DEPARTMENT: METROPOLITAN WASTEWATER COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
CIP or JO #: N/A COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$600,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$600,000						
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR	\$600,000							
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV	-\$600,000							
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





CONTACT: BOBBI SALVINI TELEPHONE: (619) 533-5106 EMAIL: <u>Bsalvini@sandiego.gov</u>

TITLE: GONZALES CANYON SEWER

CIP or JO #: N/A

PROJECT: U-2

1

DEPARTMENT: METROPOLITAN WASTEWATER

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

EXTEND EXISTING 15" GONZALES CANYON SEWER EASTERLY WITH A COMBINATION OF 15" AND 10" DIAMETER PIPELINES TO SERVE THE NORTHERN PORTIONS OF PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH. ACCESS ROADS FOR THIS CANYON SEWER ARE ADDRESSED BY THE MULTI-PURPOSE TRAIL SYSTEM, AS DESCRIBED IN PROJECT T-10.1.

JUSTIFICATION:

THE PIPELINE IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE NEW SEWER CAPACITY TO THE NORTHERN PORTIONS OF THE SUBAREA. THE IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A SUBDIVIDER REQUIREMENT WITH REIMBURSEMENT IN THE YEARS SHOWN.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

SCHEDULE:

PROJECT WAS COMPLETED IN FY 2005; REIMBURSEMENT IN FY 2011.

CONTACT: BOBBI SALVINI TELEPHONE: (619) 533-5106 EMAIL: Bsalvini@sandiego.gov

TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS PIPELINE RELOCATION


PROJECT: U-3


DEPARTMENT: WATER
CIP or JO #: 70-971.0

COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$6,150,000	\$1,838,966	\$2,511,034					
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV		\$2,511,034	-\$2,511,034					
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$6,150,000	\$4,350,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR		\$1,800,000						
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: DEL MAR HEIGHTS PIPELINE RELOCATION

PROJECT: U-3

DEPARTMENT: WATER
CIP or JO #: 70-971.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DESCRIPTION:

THE EXISTING DEL MAR HEIGHTS PIPELINE WILL NO LONGER FOLLOW THE ALIGNMENT OF (OLD) CARMEL VALLEY ROAD ONCE CARMEL VALLEY ROAD IS IMPROVED TO FOUR LANES. THIS PROJECT WILL PROVIDE FOR THE RELOCATION AND UPSIZING OF THIS PIPELINE (36") INTO THE ULTIMATE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR CARMEL VALLEY ROAD. THE PROJECT IS ANTICIPATED TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN 3 PHASES FROM WEST TO EAST AS THE ARTERIAL ROADS ARE BUILT. THE TEMPORARY BY-PASS AT NEW DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD WILDLIFE BRIDGE (APPROXIMATELY 1,500 FEET) IS ALSO INCLUDED.

JUSTIFICATION:

PIPELINE UPSIZING PROVIDES ADDITIONAL CAPACITY FOR DEVELOPMENT IN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, WHILE FUTURE MAINTENANCE OF THIS FACILITY IS ENHANCED WHEN IT IS IN AN ACCESSIBLE AND CONVENIENT LOCATION SUCH AS A PUBLIC STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY. THIS PROJECT WILL RELOCATE THE PIPELINE SO THAT IT CAN BE EASILY MAINTAINED, REPAIRED, AND SERVICED IN THE FUTURE.

FUNDING ISSUES:

DEVELOPER (PARDEE) ADVANCED FUNDING FOR PORTIONS (PHASE I & II) OF THIS PROJECT AND WILL BE REIMBURSED FROM THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH FACILITIES BENEFIT ASSESSMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT.

NOTES:

PHASE I: IN DMHR FROM WEST COMMUNITY PLAN BOUNDARY TO CVR (NEW) - $$3,800\,\mathrm{LF}$$

BRIDGE BY-PASS - 1,500 LF

PHASE II: IN CVR FROM DMHR TO COSTA DEL SOL - 5.800 LF

PHASE III: IN CVR FROM COSTA DEL SOL TO EAST COMMUNITY PLAN BOUNDARY - $\underline{2,\!800\,\mathrm{LF}}$

TOTAL PROJECT 13,900 LF

SCHEDULE:

PHASE I COMPLETED IN FY 2002; PHASE II COMPLETED IN FY 2005; REIMBURSEMENT OF PHASE I & II IN FY 2006 AND 2007.

PHASE III IS ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETE IN FY 2014

TITLE: LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD PIPELINE


PROJECT: U-4


DEPARTMENT: WATER
CIP or JO #: 70-964.0

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

SOURCE	FUNDING:	EXPENDED	CONT APPROP	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FBA-PHR	\$3,856,000						\$1,900,000	
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM	\$757,000				\$400,000	\$357,000		
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV			\$1,900,000				-\$1,900,000	
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$4,613,000	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$0	\$400,000	\$357,000	\$0	\$0

SOURCE	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
FBA-PHR				\$1,956,000				
FBA-BMR								
FBA-TH								
FBA-DMM								
FBA-RP								
DEV. ADV								
DEV/SUBD								
COUNTY								
STATE								
OTHER								
UNIDEN								
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,956,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0





TITLE: LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD PIPELINE

PROJECT: U-4
COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1
COMMUNITY PLAN: PHR

DEPARTMENT: WATER
CIP or JO #: 70-964.0

DESCRIPTION:

THIS PROJECT PROVIDES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY 15,800 LINEAR FEET (7,000 FEET WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH, 8,800 FEET WITHIN DEL MAR MESA) OF 30-INCH DIAMETER TRANSMISSION WATER PIPELINE IN CARMEL VALLEY ROAD AND LITTLE MCGONIGLE RANCH ROAD WITHIN THE DEL MAR MESA AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITIES TO PROVIDE FOR BOTH DEL MAR MESA AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH.

REFERENCE:

DEL MAR MESA PUBLIC FACILITY FINANCING PLAN PROJECT 43-21

JUSTIFICATION:

THIS PIPELINE IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE CAPACITY IN THE WATER SYSTEM TO ADEQUATELY SUPPLY THE DEMAND AT FULL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OF THE DEL MAR MESA AND PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH COMMUNITIES.

FUNDING ISSUES:

PHASE I WAS CONSTRUCTED AND REIMBURSED AS A PART OF THE SR-56/CARMEL VALLEY ROAD INTERCHANGE PROJECT. PROJECT T-1.3.

NOTES:

WITHIN PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH:

PHASE I: CARMEL VALLEY ROAD WITHIN SR-56/CVR INTERCHANGE (NOT INCLUDED)-	1,050 LF
PHASE II: CARMEL VALLEY RAOD FROM SR-56/CVR INTERCHANGE TO DMHR -	2,950 LF
PHASE III: LMRR FROM INTERCHANGE TO COMMUNITY BOUNDARY-	3,000 LF
PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH TOTAL	7,000 LF


WITHIN DEL MAR MESA:

PHASE IV: CVR FROM NEIGHBORHOOD 10 IN CARMEL VALLEY TO LMRR -	6,250 LF
PHASE V: LMRR FROM CVR TO DEL MAR MESA COMMUNITY BOUNDARY-	2,550 LF
DEL MAR MESA TOTAL	8,800 LF


SCHEDULE:

PHASE I IS COMPLETE;

PHASE II: ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2008; PHASE III: ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2012; PHASE IV: ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2007; PHASE V: ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETED IN FY 2007








APPENDIX

Development Agreement	139
Transportation Phasing Plan	215
Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan	219
Facilities Benefit Assessment Numerical Listing	225



Development Agreement



RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:

Office of the City Clerk City Administration Building 202 C Street San Diego, CA 92101

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA III NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA

POCUMENT NO. 00-18571

FILED SEP 08 1998

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

NEGOTIATED AND ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO CITY COUNCIL POLICY 600-37 ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON AUGUST 9, 1988, AND AS AMENDED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 1988

- DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH SUBAREA III NORTH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA

This DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT [Agreement] is entered into between THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a municipal corporation [City or Party] and PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, a California corporation [Owner or Pardee or Party] [collectively, the Parties]. This Agreement is entered into with reference to the following facts.

RECITALS.

- A. Code Authorization. City, a charter city, is authorized pursuant to Government Code Section 65864 et seq. [California Development Agreement Legislation] to enter into development agreements with persons having legal or equitable interests in real property for the purpose of establishing certainty for both City and Owner in the development process. City enters into this Agreement pursuant to the provisions of the California Development Agreement Legislation, Charter of City and its home-rule powers, City Municipal Code section 111.0901 et seq., Council Policy 600-37, the City's Progress Guide and General Plan [General Plan], and applicable City Policies. The Parties acknowledge:
- (1) This Agreement is intended to assure adequate public facilities at the time of development.
- (2) This Agreement is intended to assure development in accordance with City's Capital Improvement Program and City's General Plan.
- (3) This Agreement is intended to provide certainty to Owner in the development approval process by vesting the permitted use(s), density and intensity of use, and the limited timing and phasing of development relating to Owner's Property, and by providing for the development of Owner's Property pursuant to the rules, regulations, policies and provisions described herein.
- (4) This Agreement will permit achievement of growth management goals and objectives as reflected in City's General Plan and Council Policy No. 600-37.

- (5) Owner is required by the Subarea III Plan, the PFFP, and the MEIR Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program to provide certain public facilities or public improvements.
- (6) This Agreement will allow City to realize extraordinary and significant financial, open space, environmental, transportation, recreational, and public facility benefits in addition to those available through the existing regulatory process.
- (7) Many of the extraordinary and significant benefits are of regional significance, contribute greater benefits than City could otherwise require of Owner, and represent benefits which could not otherwise be required as part of the City's development review and approval process.
- (8) Because of the nature and significance of costs associated with the dedication of real property, the financing of infrastructure for regional and community facilities, and the extraordinary and significant nature of such benefits, certainty in the development process is an absolute necessity to Owner. The phasing, timing, and development of public infrastructure necessitates a significant commitment of resources, planning, and effort by Owner for public facility financing, construction, and dedication to be successfully completed. In return for Owner's participation and commitment to these significant contributions of private resources for public purposes, City is willing to exercise its authority to enter into this Agreement and to make a commitment to Owner of increased certainty in the development process for the Project.
- (9) In consideration of Owner's agreement to provide extraordinary and significant benefits, City is hereby granting Owner assurances that it can proceed with development of the Project in accordance with City's ordinances, rules and regulations, existing as of the effective date of this Agreement. Owner would not enter into this Agreement or agree to provide the public benefits and improvements described in this Agreement, if it were not for the commitment of City that the Project can be developed in accordance with the Existing Laws.
- B. Owner. Owner has a legal or equitable interest in Owner's Property (identified on attached Exhibit "A") located in City and County of San Diego, California. A map depicting the location of Owner's Property is attached as Exhibit "B" to this Agreement.
- C. Interest of Owner. Owner represents that it has an equitable or legal interest in Owner's Property and is authorized to enter into this Agreement.
- D. Planning Commission City Council Hearings. On June 25, 1998, the Planning Commission of City [Planning Commission], after giving notice required by law, held a public hearing to consider Owner's application for this Agreement. On July 28, 1998, the City Council, after providing notice as required by law, held a public hearing to consider Owner's application for this Agreement.

- E. Council Findings. The City Council finds that this Agreement is consistent with City's General Plan, Council Policy 600-37, the Land Development Code, as approved by City Council Ordinance No. O-18451 on December 9, 1997 and other applicable ordinances, plans, policies and regulations of City in effect as of the date of adoption of Ordinance No. O-18571 approving this Agreement.
- F. City Ordinance. On September 8, 1998, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. O-18571 approving this Agreement. The ordinance became effective on October 8, 1998.

THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.0 DEFINITIONS. In this Agreement, unless the context otherwise requires:
- 1.1 "California Development Agreement Legislation" means Government Code section 65864 through and including Section 65869.5.
- 1.2 "Carmel Valley" is that geographic area in City governed by the Carmel Valley (formerly North City West) Community Plan adopted by City Council Resolution No. R-212692.
- 1.3 "CEQA" is the California Environmental Quality Act found at Section 21000 et seq. of the Public Resources Code of the State of California, including implementing regulations thereof found in the California Code of Regulations.
- 1.4 "Default" means the occurrence of one or more of the events of default set forth in Section 7.1 of this Agreement.
- 1.5 "Del Mar Highlands Estates" means the property owned by Pardee in Subarea III described on the attached Exhibit "K."
- 1.6 "Effective Date" means November 3, 1998, the effective date of this Agreement, provided that on that date, the voters approve, at a city-wide election, a phase shift as provided in the NCFUA Framework Plan, changing the designation of approximately 2,102 acres in Subarea III from Future Urbanizing to Planned Urbanizing [the Phase Shift].
- 1.7 "Extraordinary and Significant Public Benefits" are the economic, environmental, and other benefits identified in Section 5.2 provided to City by Owner as consideration for entering into this Agreement.
- 1.8 "Existing Laws" means (i) the San Diego Municipal Code in effect on the effective date of Ordinance No. O-18571, approving this Agreement, as modified by the Land Development Code, adopted by the City Council pursuant to Ordinance No. O-18451 on

- December 9, 1997, as the Land Development Code is unconditionally certified as a Local Coastal Program amendment; (ii) the Subarea III Plan and Local Coastal Program amendments necessary to implement the approved Plan, on the date the plan and amendments are unconditionally certified as a Local Coastal Program amendment; (iii) the master rezone approved by City Council on July 28, 1998, in connection with the Subarea III Plan, as unconditionally certified as a Local Coastal Program Amendment; and (iv) all other ordinances, resolutions, rules, regulations, and official policies of the City, including, without limitation, the General Plan, Community Plans, and Specific Plans all in effect on the effective date of Ordinance No. O- 18571 approving this Agreement.
- 1.9 "Framework Plan" means the "Framework Plan for the North City Future Urbanizing Area" adopted by City Council as an amendment to the General Plan on October 1, 1992, by Council Resolution No. R-280787, as subsequently amended.
- 1.10 "General Plan" means the City of San Diego Progress Guide and General Plan adopted by the City.
- 1.11 "MEIR" means the master environmental impact report No. 96-7918/Sch. No. 97111077, certified by the City within the meaning of the CEQA, in the environmental evaluation of the impacts associated with the Project and the implementation of this Agreement.
- 1.12 "Mortgagee" means the mortgagee of a mortgage or beneficiary of a deed of trust encumbering Owner's Property or any part thereof and its successors and assigns.
- 1.13 "MSCP" is the Multiple Species Conservation Program for City which is designed to protect certain endangered, threatened and sensitive, plant and animal species.
- 1.14 "NCFUA" is the North City Future Urbanizing Area within City as indicated in City's General Plan.
- 1.15 "Notice of Default" means a notice from one Party to the other Party informing the recipient that it is in Default under this Agreement, which notice information is set forth in Section 7.2.1.
- 1.16 "Owner" means the person, persons, or entity having a legal or equitable interest in Owner's Property and includes Owner's successors in interest.
- 1.17 "Owner's Property" means the real property owned by Pardee which is described on attached Exhibit "A."
- 1.18 "Pardee Parcels" means all of the Owner's Property within Subarea III of the NCFUA exclusive of Dei Mar Highlands Estates as described on attached Exhibit "A."

- 1.19 "Person" means any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, trustee of a trust, or other legal entity.
- 1.20 "Project" means the development proposed upon the Pardee Parcels in substantial conformance with the Subarea III Plan, the associated Subarea III rezoning, the Phase Shift, and the MHPA Boundary Adjustment approved by City Council on July 28, 1998, including, without limitation, (i) the approximate 130 acre Conservation Land Bank; and (ii) necessary on- and off-site related infrastructure improvements, including, but not limited to, street and utility improvements.
- 1.21 "Project Entitlements" means the Subarea III Plan, the associated Subarea III rezoning, adopted by the City Council pursuant to Ordinance No. O-18580 on September 8, 1998, as certified by the Coastal Commission, and all of the discretionary permits and approvals granted or to be granted to complete the construction of the Project in accordance with this Agreement.
- 1.22 "PFFP" is the Public Facilities Financing Plan and Facilities Benefit Assessment for Subarea III in the NCFUA (excluding Appendix "A") approved by the City Council on July 28, 1998 by Resolution No. R-290522.
- 1.23 "Subarea III" means that part of the NCFUA depicted in the Subarea III Plan, as shown on attached Exhibit "C."
- 1.24 "Subarea III Plan" means the Pacific Highlands Ranch Subarea Plan for Subarea III of the NCFUA approved by the City Council as an amendment to the General Plan on July 28, 1998 by Resolution No. R-290521, as certified by the California Coastal Commission as an amendment of the Local Coastal Program.
- 1.25 "Subdivision Map Act" means the Subdivision Map Act of the State of California found at Government Code section 66410 et seq.
- 1.26 "Transportation Analysis" means the traffic impact analysis prepared for the Subarea III Plan as contained in the MEIR for the Project.
- 1.27 "Transportation Phasing Plan" means the transportation phasing plan associated with the development of Subarea III, as identified in attached Exhibit "D."
- 2.0 EXHIBITS. The following Exhibits, attached to this Agreement and incorporated by this reference, are identified as follows:

Exhibit Description Referred To
In Section(s)

"A" Legal Description of Owner's Property Recital B, 1.17, 1.18, 5.3.4

	Map Depicting the Location of Owner's Property	Recital B
	Subarea III Plan Map	1.23, 1.24
	Subarea III Transportation Phasing Plan	1.27, 4.9
	Indemnity Agreement on Equestrian Trails	5.2.1
	Carmel Valley Police Substation Purchase Agreement	5.2.3
	Graphic depicting general location of fences and walls	5.2.8
	Graphic depicting brush management/ MHPA boundary buffer	5.2.9
	Graphic depicting the general location of the boundary adjustment in Neighborhood 10 VTM	5.3
	Form of Assignment and Assumption Agreement	3.3.2
"K"	Legal Description of Del Mar Highlands Estates	1.5

3.0 GENERAL PROVISIONS.

- 3.1 Property Subject to Agreement. The property subject to this Agreement is Owner's Property. No property shall be released from this Agreement until Owner has fully performed its obligations arising out of the Agreement or until released pursuant to provisions of Section 8.4 of this Agreement or until this Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms.
- 3.2 Term of Agreement. The term of this Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date and shall extend for a period of twenty (20) years following the Effective Date unless this Agreement is earlier terminated, or its term modified or extended as provided in this Agreement. In addition, every approval granted for the Project other than ministerial approvals shall remain valid for a period of time equal to the term of this Agreement.

3.3 Assignment and Delegation.

- 3.3.1 <u>Assignment of Interests</u>. Owner may transfer or assign its interest in Owner's Property in whole or in part to any Person at any time during the term of this Agreement without the consent of City. Owner may assign or transfer all or any portion of its interest or rights under this Agreement to a Person acquiring an interest or estate in Owner's Property at any time during the term of this Agreement without the consent of City.
- 3.3.2 <u>Delegation of Obligations</u>. Owner may delegate or transfer its obligations under this Agreement to a Person acquiring an interest or estate in the Owner's Property only after receiving the prior written consent of the City Manager, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. When the City Manager is reasonably satisfied that the proposed transferee of the obligations is or will be financially able to fulfill the obligations of Owner under this Agreement, the City Manager shall grant written consent with respect to the portion of Owner's Property so transferred, in generally the form attached as Exhibit "J," within thirty (30) days after receipt of a written request from Owner for such consent. The City Manager may require surety bond or other security to insure performance of the assumed obligations. Within ten (10) days after receipt of Owner's written request for consent to delegate, the City Manager may request information from Owner or its transferee documenting such transferee's ability to satisfy the requirements of the foregoing sentence. The time period within which the City Manager may grant written consent (if the City Manager is reasonably satisfied) shall be extended twenty (20) days to review such documentation. Once the City Manager has consented to a transfer, delivery to and acceptance by the City Manager of an unqualified Assumption Agreement of Owner's obligations under this Agreement by such transferee shall relieve Owner of the obligations under this Agreement to the extent the obligations have been expressly assumed by the transferee. A transferee may not amend this Agreement without the written consent of the Person that, as of the effective date of this Agreement, is Owner. If a transferee defaults under this Agreement, such default shall not constitute a default by Owner of any other portion of Owner's Property hereunder and shall not entitle City to terminate or modify this Agreement with respect to such other portion of Owner's Property owned by a Person who is not in default.
- 3.3.3 <u>Transfer to Public Agency</u>. The reservation or dedication of a part or parts of Owner's Property to a public agency is not a transfer of duties and obligations hereunder to such public agency unless specifically stated to be the case in the instrument of conveyance used for such reservation or dedication, or other form of agreement with the public agency.
- 3.3.4 <u>Assignee's Rights and Duties</u>. Except as expressly limited herein, any and all approved successors and assignees of Owner shall have the same rights, benefits, duties, obligations and liabilities of Owner under this Agreement. If Owner's Property is subdivided, any subdivided parcel may be sold, mortgaged, hypothecated, assigned or transferred to a Person for development by them in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. Owner shall remain

liable for any obligations from which Owner is not expressly released pursuant to any of the provisions of Section 3.3.

- 3.4 Amendment or Cancellation of Agreement. This Agreement may be amended from time to time or canceled by the mutual consent of City and Owner in the same manner as its adoption by an ordinance as set forth in California Government Code sections 65867 and 65868, and the San Diego Municipal Code and shall be in a form suitable for recording in the Official Records of San Diego County, California. The term "Agreement" shall include any amendment properly approved and executed. City and Owner acknowledge that the provisions of this Agreement require a close degree of cooperation between them and that minor or insubstantial changes to the Project may be required from time to time. Accordingly, changes to the Project which the City Manager determines are minor and insubstantial may be made without amending this Agreement.
- 3.5 Enforcement. Notwithstanding California Government Code section 65865.4 and San Diego Municipal Code section 111.0910, this Agreement is enforceable by City and Owner in any manner provided by law including specific performance. The remedies provided in Section 7.2 of this Agreement however, shall not include, and City shall not be liable for, any action in damages or any costs or attorney's fees resulting from any dispute, controversy, action or inaction, or any legal proceeding arising out of this Agreement.

3.6 Hold Harmless.

- 3.6.1 Owner shall hold City, its officers, agents, and employees harmless from liability as follows: (i) for damages, just compensation, restitution, or judicial or equitable relief arising out of claims for personal injury, and claims for property damage, which are caused by the operations of Owner, its contractors, subcontractors, agents or employees which relate to the development of Owner's Property or which are related to performance by either party of its obligations under Section 5.2 of this Agreement; and (ii) from and against any action or proceeding brought by a third party against the City to set aside, cancel, void or annul any of the following development approvals granted by the City in adopting: the General Plan/Framework Plan and Local Coastal Program amendments necessary for the approval of the Subarea III Plan; the rezoning of the Pardee Parcels; the MHPA Boundary Adjustments of Owner's Property; this Development Agreement or the City obligations contained in Section 5.2 of this Agreement; the PFFP, the Transportation Phasing Plan; the Phase Shift vote; discretionary permits and subdivision map(s) for Owner's Property; and the environmental documents required by CEQA for such development approvals.
- 3.6.2 Owner agrees to defend City and pay all costs for the defense of City and its officers, agents and employees regarding any action or proceeding that Owner has herein agreed to hold City harmless. Owner's obligation for legal fees and costs shall be limited to the legal fees and costs of only one legal counsel other than the City Attorneys office should it elect to participate in such litigation. Owner's agreement to hold City harmless applies to any action or

proceeding herein before mentioned regardless of whether or not City prepared, supplied, or approved this Agreement, plans or specifications, or both, for Owner's Property. Owner shall have the right to select legal counsel to represent City in any such proceedings subject to City Attorney's approval. Such approval will not be unreasonably withheld.

- 3.6.3 City may make all reasonable decisions with respect to its representation in any legal proceeding. However, if a settlement demand is made and Owner is willing to satisfy the settlement demand and City rejects the settlement demand, Owner's indemnity obligation in such proceeding shall from that point forward not exceed the amount of the settlement demand. Owner's agreement to indemnify and hold harmless as provided in this Section 3.6 does not apply to: (i) any claim or cause of action challenging City's approvals in adopting the MSCP; or (ii) any claim challenging the Council's approval of the alignment of SR-56 which is unrelated to the Subarea III Plan or Project Entitlements.
- 3.7 Binding Effect of Agreement. Except to the extent otherwise provided in this Agreement, the burdens of this Agreement bind and the benefits of this Agreement inure to Owner's successors in interest.
- 3.8 Relationship of City and Owner. The contractual relationship between City and Owner arising out of the Agreement is one of independent contractor and not agency. This Agreement does not create any third party beneficiary rights.
- 3.9 Notices. All notices, demands, and correspondence required or permitted by this Agreement shall be in writing and delivered in person, by facsimile, or mailed by first class or certified mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

If to City, to:

The City of San Diego City Administration Building 202 "C" Street, 9th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Attention: City Manager Facsimile: (619) 236-6067

With a Copy to:

The City of San Diego
City Administration Building
202 "C" Street, 5th Floor
San Diego, California 92101
Attention: Private Development Manager

Facsimile: (619) 236-6478

If to Owner, to:

Pardee Construction Company 10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, California 90024 Attention: David Lyman, Esq. Facsimile: (310) 446-1292

and

Pardee Construction Company 119 West "C" Street Suite 2200 San Diego, California 92101 Attention: Michael Madigan

With a Copy to:

Seltzer Caplan Wilkins & McMahon 750 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Attention: Thomas F. Steinke, Esq. Facsimile: (619) 685-3100

City or Owner may change its address by giving notice in writing to the other addressees indicated above. Thereafter, notices, demands, and correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new addressees. Notice shall be deemed given upon personal delivery, or if by facsimile, upon successful transmission, or, if mailed, two (2) business days following deposit in the United States mail, properly sealed, postage prepaid, registered or certified, and return receipt requested.

4.0 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTY.

4.1 Rules, Regulations, and Policies. The rules, regulations and official policies governing the Project Entitlements and development of the Project are the Existing Laws and any future rules, regulations, and policies as provided in Section 4.6 of this Agreement.

4.2 Land Use Entitlements

4.2.1 Owner has the vested right, to the maximum extent allowed under California Development Agreement Legislation, except as expressly restricted in this Agreement, to complete development of the Project in accordance with the Existing Laws, subject to future rules, regulations and policies as provided in Section 4.6.

- 4.2.2 The Project and Project Entitlements may include the approval of vesting tentative maps pursuant to Section 66452.6 of the California Government Code. Any and all rights conferred pursuant to such vesting tentative map(s) are extended for the full term of this Agreement and shall survive any termination of this Agreement as otherwise provided under applicable law.
- 4.2.3 The right to regulate the rate and amount of growth is not abrogated by City. City retains the Police Power to provide for change in regulations, ordinances, policies and plans relating to moratoria, building permit allocations, timing and sequencing of development and financing and provision of adequate public facilities at the time of development. No vested rights as to any requirements in this section 4.2.3 either as to Existing Laws or future regulations, ordinances, policies and plans are conferred by this Agreement. In the exercise of its police power, the City Council shall recognize and consider the circumstances existing at the time this Agreement was authorized and shall limit its exercise of such power to matters of public health and safety. In addition, such exercise of the police power shall be by 2/3 vote of the City Council and shall be consistent with the purpose and intent of the California Development Agreement Legislation.
- 4.3 Construction Standards and Specifications. The construction standards and specifications for buildings, structures and necessary public improvements in the Project shall be the applicable construction standards and specifications in effect at the time any development approval is sought for the Project or any unit or structure contained within the Project.
- 4.4 Maximum Height and Size of Structures. The maximum height and size for all structures shall be as provided in the Existing Laws.

4.5 Environmental Review.

- 4.5.1 Other than the mitigation measures set forth for the Project in the MEIR (and any additional future mitigation programs contemplated therein), no other mitigation measures for environmental impacts created by the Project, as presently addressed by the MEIR, are required. When City requires any additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA or Existing Laws, City may impose additional mitigation measures to mitigate, as permitted by law, the adverse environmental impacts of such discretionary approvals.
- 4.5.2 City acknowledges that the Transportation Analysis has analyzed the traffic projected to be generated from Subarea III, as presented in the Subarea III Plan, and, in accordance with all applicable legal requirements, including, without limitation, the City of San Diego Traffic Impact Study Manual Guidelines [the Guidelines], a total of 5,182 dwelling units, 300,000 square feet commercial, 300,000 square feet industrial may be built without the necessity of preparing a new Transportation Analysis. Additional transportation analyses may be required by City with respect to implementation of the Subarea III Plan for specific site areas.

For purposes of this Section and any future traffic analysis under this Agreement, calculation of the peak hour trips shall be based upon the trip generation rates set forth in the Transportation Analysis and MEIR (or trip generation rates in effect at that time for land uses not described in the Transportation Analysis and MEIR).

- 4.6 Discretionary Approvals. Except as provided in Section 4.2, this Agreement does not prevent the City, when considering requests for discretionary approvals subsequent to the Effective Date, from applying new rules, regulations, and policies which are applicable to Owner's Property, including, but not limited to, changes in the General Plan, or changes in subdivision and/or building regulations, nor does this Agreement prevent the City from denying or conditionally approving any subsequent applications for land use entitlements based on such new rules, regulations and/or policies; provided, however, that such new rules, regulations, and official policies (i) are not in conflict with the Existing Laws; (ii) are of general application within the City; (iii) are not imposed solely with respect to Owner's Property; and (iv) do not prevent development of the Project for the uses and to the density or intensity of development provided for in this Agreement. In addition, this Agreement shall not prevent the City from exercising its police power to protect the health and safety of the public. This police power, exercised in accordance with Section 4.2.3 of this Agreement, is paramount to any rights or obligations created or existing between the Parties.
- 4.7 Processing Fees. All fees and charges intended to cover City costs associated with processing development applications for the Project including but not limited to fees and charges for application processing, inspections (including any administrative costs incurred in connection with review pursuant to Section 6 below), plan review, plan processing, and/or environmental review, which are existing, revised, or adopted during the term of this Agreement, shall apply to the development of the Project, provided, however, that such fees or charges are in force and effect on a City-wide basis at the time of application.
- 4.8 Amendments to Facility Financing Plans. This Agreement does not preclude the inclusion of and changes to the PFFP adopted on a community or City-wide basis where the inclusion or change is caused by (i) inflation, (ii) later, more accurate cost estimation; or (iii) later commonly accepted higher standards of construction; or is to address Pacific Highlands Ranch community facility deficiencies arising from and attributable to unforseen circumstances in the development of the Project.
- 4.9 Transportation Phasing. Nothing contained in the Transportation Phasing Plan precludes Owner from filing and processing subdivision maps for purposes of dividing Owner's Property into various sized parcels in order to facilitate the financing or development of the property provided the City Engineer determines the map to be in substantial conformance with the Project Entitlements. The City Engineer may condition the filing of any such maps to provide for orderly development and protect the interests of the public and purchasers of the individual parcels.

- 4.10 Future Approvals. To the extent that Owner provides complete, accurate applications with appropriate and necessary technical standards and reports, including, but not limited to, environmental analysis, the City shall use its best good faith efforts to process and take final action on Owner's completed applications for future land use permits and approvals in a timely manner.
- 4.11 Moratoriums. Moratoriums enacted by the City for the public health and safety which are imposed on Owner's Property or Project shall toll the time periods set forth in this Agreement.
- 4.12 Progress Reports Until Construction of Project is Complete. Owner shall make reports of the progress of construction of public facilities undertaken by Owner described in this Agreement in such detail and at such time as the City Manager or City Engineer reasonably requests.
- 4.13 City to Receive Construction Contract Documents. Owner shall furnish City, upon written request, copies of any public improvement construction contracts and supporting documents relating to Owner's Property.
- 4.14 Conditions of Discretionary Approvals. The requirements imposed as conditions of any discretionary approval received through the City's existing regulatory process are governed by the terms of those approvals except to the extent this Agreement expressly modifies or limits such conditions. Such conditions are not affected by the termination, cancellation, rescission, revocation, default or expiration of this Agreement.
- 4.15 Changes in Federal and State Law. Owner's Property may be subject to subsequently enacted state or federal laws or regulations which preempt local regulations, or mandate the adoption of local regulations, in conflict with this Agreement. Upon discovery of a subsequently enacted federal or state law affecting implementation of the Project, City and Owner shall meet and confer in good faith in a reasonable attempt to modify this Agreement, as necessary, to fully comply with such federal or state law or regulation. In such negotiations, City and Owner shall cooperate to resolve the conflict, while preserving the terms of this Agreement and the rights of Owner as derived from this Agreement to the maximum feasible extent. City shall limit imposition of new or additional requirements to the project to those necessary to establish full compliance with the change in state or federal law.

4.16 Credits & Reimbursements.

4.16.1 If City requests and Owner either advances funds for or constructs public facilities beyond those based upon a need created by the development of Owner's Property, Owner may seek reimbursement from developers or property owners benefitted by the advancement or construction. Owner is not entitled to seek reimbursement for the items of extraordinary benefit provided in Sections 5.2.2 through 5.2.10. Owner's reimbursement for the

items of extraordinary benefit listed in Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.11 shall be as provided in those sections.

4.16.2 Reimbursement mechanisms may include City's conditioning of project approvals of any benefitting developer or property owner, or other reimbursement mechanisms in the sole, reasonable discretion of the City Council. If Owner elects to be reimbursed from development impact fees collected from other properties located within the NCFUA, or the PFFP, reimbursement may be in the form of either cash or credit against Owner's payment of PFFP fees at the time the fees would otherwise be due and payable to City.

5.0 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

5.1 Effective Date of Agreement & Provision of Extraordinary Benefit.

- 5.1.1 This Agreement is effective upon the Effective Date. If the Phase Shift is subsequently invalidated or nullified for any reason, this Agreement shall terminate and the Parties shall be relieved of any obligations under this Agreement; and City shall reconvey and refund any property or funds already received as extraordinary benefit under Section 5.2 except with respect to Section 5.2.3, in which case City shall be required to reimburse Pardee for the difference between the Purchase Price of \$3,000,000 and the fair market value at the time of acquisition of the property, plus interest at the same rate the City earns on pooled investments accruing from the date of acquisition to the date of invalidation of the Phase Shift. The refund of any funds received shall include interest accrued in the deposit account for the funds.
- 5.1.2 Owner is not required to provide any of the enumerated items of extraordinary benefit set forth in Section 5.2 except items 5.2.3 (Purchase Agreement for Police Substation Site); 5.2.5 (Conveyance of Parcels A & B); and 5.2.10 (Endowment Fund) and none of the City approvals provided in Section 5.3 are effective until the later of the following dates: (i) the date upon which every statute of limitations, applicable to any challenge of a successful phase shift, has run; (ii) the date of final resolution and conclusion of any proceedings or challenges (legal or otherwise) to a successful phase shift; or (iii) the date the conditions precedent to the ballot measure becoming effective are satisfied.
- 5.2 Extraordinary and Significant Public Benefits. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement, or of law, to the contrary, and as consideration for the Parties entering into this Agreement, Owner shall provide to City the enumerated extraordinary and significant benefits as follows:
- 5.2.1 Pardee will design and construct exclusive equestrian use and mixed use trails on Pardee's Parcels in Subarea III as depicted in the Subarea III Plan (approximately 6 miles) according to City's trail design standard. The trails shall be constructed in phases in connection with maps filed by Pardee. Pardee will be reimbursed from the PFFP, for its design and construction costs in constructing non-equestrian portions of the mixed-use trails only. City

shall defend and indemnify Pardee as provided in attached Exhibit "E" for design and construction of trails used by equestrians.

- 5.2.2. Pardee will forego receipt of payment for any amount in excess of \$6 million for dedication of SR-56 Right-of-Way upon any parcel within Subarea III controlled by Pardee at the time right of way acquisition occurs.
- 5.2.3. No later than 30 days after the Effective Date, Pardee will enter into a purchase agreement to sell to City a police station site with a minimum of 4.0 gross (3.5 net developable) acres in Carmel Valley, the site to be mutually agreed upon, for a purchase price of no more than three million dollars. The terms of the purchase shall be as provided in attached Exhibit "F."
- 5.2.4. Pardee shall contribute \$100,000.00 towards construction of a wildlife undercrossing at El Camino Real. The location of the wildlife undercrossing shall be determined by City. Pardee shall make the contribution to City no later than 30 days prior to the first scheduled City Council hearing to certify an environmental document and approve a capital improvement project which includes the undercrossing.
- 5.2.5 Pardee shall transfer to City, at no cost to City, fee title to Parcels A & B in Carmel Valley Neighborhood 8A exclusive of the mitigation land bank areas no later than 10 days after the Effective Date. City and Pardee shall negotiate in good faith a mitigation bank agreement consistent with NCCP Mitigation Bank guidelines to allow Pardee to establish a mitigation bank containing a total of 24 acres of mitigation credit. Specific acreages of vernal pools and Maritime Chaparral resources will be determined as part of the mitigation bank agreement. Upon entering in a mitigation bank agreement, Pardee may sell to City or third parties for use as mitigation. Pardee may sell the mitigation bank acreage for no more than \$42,000 per acre. If the Phase Shift vote is invalidated as provided in Section 5.1, City shall reconvey Parcels A & B to Pardee in an "as is" condition.
- 5.2.6 Pardee shall convey to City, at no cost to City, title to the MHPA designated land on Pardee's Parcels. Conveyances will occur in phases as tentative subdivision maps and related ensuing final maps are approved. Pardee shall restore 131 acres of disturbed habitat within the MHPA within the Pardee Parcels. Restoration shall commence simultaneously with the mass grading of Pardee Parcels. Restoration shall be accomplished in conformance with the Pacific Highlands Ranch Conceptual Restoration Plan (Appendix C2 of MEIR 96-7918/Sch. No. 97111077). Pursuant to NCCP Mitigation Bank Guidelines Pardee may establish a mitigation bank for the 131-acre restoration area. Mitigation Credits will be available for sale as the restored habitat achieves the minimum success criteria identified in the revegetation plans approved consistent with the Conceptual Restoration Plan. The City will accept the fee title of property included in the bank as the credits are purchased. Pardee shall maintain and manage the wildlife resources on all portions of the land contained in the mitigation bank until transferred in fee title to City.

- 5.2.7. Pardee waives any claims it may have against City in processing the Neighborhood 8A plans, including, but not limited to, any claim for inverse condemnation. This waiver shall cease to be effective when this Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms.
- 5.2.8. Wherever the City determines fencing will not fully avoid edge effects adjacent to the MHPA adjacent to Gonzalez Canyon wildlife corridor and south to Carmel Valley, Pardee shall construct walls to manage the edge effects. A graphic depicting the general location of the walls is attached as Exhibit "G."
- 5.2.9 Pardee shall maintain Brush Management Zones 1 and 2 on the Pardee Parcels outside of the MHPA adjacent to Gonzalez Canyon wildlife corridor and south to Carmel Valley. A graphic depicting the brush management/MHPA buffer is attached as Exhibit "H."
- 5:2.10 Pardee shall contribute \$250,000 to establish an endowment fund for the maintenance of trails and habitat areas within the NCFUA and Carmel Valley within 10 days of the Effective Date.
- 5.2.11 Pardee shall guarantee up to \$2,000,000 to fund project reports and environmental documentation for unfinished connectors at SR-56 and I-5 and SR-56 and I-15. To accomplish this, Pardee shall, within 10 days of the Effective Date establish an escrow account in the amount of \$2,000,000 or provide some alternative form of security acceptable to the public agencies. Interest from funds deposited into the escrow account shall accrue to Pardee. Pardee shall advance funding up to the \$2,000,000 maximum to the public agency responsible for preparing the project report and environmental documentation. Pardee shall pay the funds as costs are incurred. Pardee shall be eligible for reimbursement for the advancement of funds from funded "TEA 21" funding or other appropriate non-City regional, State, or federal funding sources.

5.3 City's Obligations with Respect to Development Program.

5.3.1 City approves an expanded development footprint on the Pardee-owned property within Neighborhood 10 in Carmel Valley which includes an adjustment of the MHPA boundary by approximately 9.5 net developable acres in exchange for an increase in the MHPA boundary of equivalent acreage within Subarea III. A graphic depicting the general location of the boundary adjustment in Neighborhood 10 VTM is attached as Exhibit "I." In the alternative, at Owner's option, City may either (1) allow an adjustment to the existing approved subdivision maps in Neighborhood 10 to allow for an additional 72 to 74 dwelling units; or (2) provide an increase in a development footprint in some other area(s) of Pardee-owned property within Neighborhood 10, subject to adequate environmental review and concurrence with the City and Wildlife Agencies. If such adjustments result in a reduction of MHPA encroachment in

Neighborhood 10, City will be allowed to use the reduction as credit towards other MHPA encroachment by the City.

- 5.3.2 City approves the transfer of the underlying zone rights to 6 dwelling units in Subarea V (in addition to the transfer of 9 dwelling units previously approved) from the Deer Canyon parcel (approximately 60 acres) to the Lorenz parcel (approximately 78 acres) creating a total permissible unit count of 46 dwelling units on the Lorenz parcel. These 46 dwelling units may be transferred from the Lorenz parcel to any other approved development footprints in Subarea V upon Owner's election, provided the transfer is consistent with the Subarea V Specific Plan. City staff will cooperate with Pardee and support State or Federal funding to acquire the Deer Canyon parcel for one million dollars.
- 5.3.3 City approves the revision of the Neighborhood 10 Precise Plan to allow for the construction of 200 multi-family dwelling units where 98 multi-family dwelling units are currently authorized.
- 5.4 Subsequent Changes to Project Necessitated by Other Permitting Entities. Entities, other than City, with permit issuing or approval authority for the Project or Owner's Property cause, may require Owner to amend City granted approvals for development of Owner's Property or the Project. If this occurs, to the extent that Owner provides complete, accurate applications with appropriate and necessary technical standards and reports, including, but not limited to, environmental analysis, the City shall use its best good faith efforts to process and take final action on Owner's completed applications for future land use permits and approvals in a timely manner. If changes are approved, City shall not impose new or revised conditions, exactions, fees, or mitigation requirements except those which relate directly to the requested amendment and are proportional to any substantial new impact created by the requested amendment.
- 5.5 Expiration Dates of Vesting Tentative Map and Tentative Map. Pursuant to California Government Code section 66452.6, the expiration date of Owner's tentative maps or vesting tentative maps for the Project, if and when approved, are extended upon being approved, and shall remain valid until the termination date of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any condition or provision which may provide to the contrary, every approval, other than ministerial, granted for the Project is extended until the termination date of this Agreement.
- 5.6 Affordable Housing. Owner shall comply with City's affordable housing requirement contained in the Framework Plan and the Subarea III Plan. All tentative maps or other development permits for all Pardee parcels shall be conditioned to assure that affordable housing requirements are met prior to the recordation of the first final map or issuance of a grading permit.
- 5.7 Limitations. Owner acknowledges that if any third party brings a bona fide legal action challenging the validity of the SR-56 alignment approved by the City Council, resolution

of such litigation either by judgment of the court, or through negotiated settlement could require revision of the Subarea III Plan. Owner may elect to participate in such legal action. To the extent the legal action or negotiated settlement affects Owner's rights under this Agreement, City and Owner agree to cooperate in resolving the matter in an attempt to preserve the terms of this Agreement and the rights of City and Owner under this Agreement to the maximum extent feasible while resolving the litigation. If the Subarea III plan is revised as a result of such litigation, Owner may elect to either (1) proceed with vested development rights under the revised plan as provided in this Agreement or (2) terminate this Agreement. Any election to terminate shall be made in writing within 30 days of the date of the adoption of a revised Subarea III Plan. If Owner elects to terminate, Owner shall be relieved of any further obligations under this Agreement except the obligations referenced in Sections 5.2.1 [Equestrian Trails], 5.2.4 [Wildlife Undercrossing], 5.2.7 [Waiver as to Neighborhood 8A].

5.8 Equal Employment Opportunity Program. Owner shall not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment on any basis prohibited by law. Owner shall provide equal opportunity in all employment practices. In addition, to the extent applicable to this Agreement, Owner shall comply with the City's equal employment opportunity program, as that program is defined in the City Municipal Code, Chapter II, Article 2, Division 27, as of the effective date of Ordinance No. 0-18571 approving this Agreement.

6.0 ANNUAL REVIEW.

- 6.1 City and Owner Responsibilities. City shall, at least every twelve (12) months during the term of this Agreement, review the extent of good faith substantial compliance by Owner with the terms of this Agreement. Pursuant to California Government Code section 65865. It, as amended, and City Municipal Code section 111.0907, Owner shall have the duty to demonstrate by substantial evidence its good faith compliance with the terms of this Agreement at the annual review.
- 6.2 Review Letter. If Owner is found to be in compliance with this Agreement after the annual review, City shall, upon written request by Owner, issue a review letter in recordable form ("Review Letter") to Owner stating that, based upon information known or made known to the City Council, the City Planning Commission, and/or the City Manager, this Agreement remains in effect and Owner is not in default. Owner may record the Letter in the Official Records of the County of San Diego.
- 6.3 Failure of Periodic Review. City's failure to review at least annually Owner's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall not constitute or be asserted by any Person as a breach of this Agreement. If City has not completed an annual review in any twelve month period, Owner may request, in writing, a Review Letter. If City fails to provide such Review Letter or otherwise complete such annual review and notify Owner in writing of its status hereunder within thirty (30) days after Owner's written request, Owner shall be deemed to be in conformity with all requirements and terms of this Agreement as of the request date. This

does not preclude the City from issuing a Notice of Default pursuant to Section 8, if and when the City determines there has been a default under this Agreement.

7.0 DEFAULT.

- 7.1 Events of Default. A default under this Agreement shall be deemed to have occurred upon the happening of one or more of the following events or conditions:
- 7.1.1 A warranty, representation or statement made or furnished by Owner to City is false or proves to have been false in any material respect when it was made.
- 7.1.2 A finding and determination made by the City Council following an annual review under the procedure provided for in California Government Code section 65865.1 that, upon the basis of substantial evidence, Owner has not substantially complied in good faith with one or more of the terms or conditions of this Agreement.
- 7.1.3 City arbitrarily refuses to consider requested development permits, applications, or entitlements submitted in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement.
- 7.1.4 Any other event, condition, act, or omission by City or Owner which materially interferes with the terms of this Agreement.

7.2 Procedure Upon Default.

- 7.2.1 Upon the occurrence of default, the City Council or Owner may terminate this Agreement only after providing the other Party thirty (30) days' written notice specifying the nature of the alleged default and, when appropriate, the manner in which said default may be satisfactorily cured. After proper notice and expiration of said thirty (30) day cure period without cure, this Agreement may be terminated. In the event that City or Owner's default is not subject to cure within the thirty (30) day period, City or Owner shall be deemed not to remain in default in the event that City or Owner commences to cure within such thirty (30) day period and diligently prosecutes such cure to completion. Failure or delay in giving notice of any default shall not constitute a waiver of any default, nor shall it change the time of default. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement to the contrary, the non-defaulting party may formulate and propose to the defaulting party options for curing any defaults under this Agreement for which a cure is not specified in this Agreement.
- 7.2.2 City does not waive any claim of defect in performance by Owner if, on periodic review, City does not propose to modify or terminate this Agreement.
- 7.2.3 Subject to Section 9.7 of this Agreement, non-performance shall not be excused because of a failure of a third person.

- 7.2.4 All other remedies at law or in equity which are not inconsistent with the provisions of this Agreement are available to City and Owner to pursue in the event there is a breach.
- 7.3 Institution of Legal Action. In addition to any other rights or remedies, City or Owner may institute a legal action to cure, correct, or remedy any default or breach, to specifically enforce any covenants or agreements set forth in this Agreement, or to enjoin any threatened or attempted violation of this Agreement, or to obtain any remedies consistent with the purpose of this Agreement. The prevailing party in any such legal action shall be entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs. Legal actions shall be instituted in the Superior Court of the County of San Diego, State of California, or in the Federal District Court in the Southern District of California.

8.0 ENCUMBRANCES AND RELEASES ON PROPERTY

- 8.1 Discretion to Encumber. This Agreement shall not prevent or limit Owner, in any manner, at Owner's sole discretion, from encumbering Owner's Property or any portion thereof or any improvement on Owner's Property by any mortgage, deed of trust, or other security device securing financing with respect to Owner's Property or its improvements.
- 8.2 Entitlement to Written Notice of Default. The mortgagee of a mortgage or beneficiary of a deed of trust encumbering Owner's Property or any part thereof and their successors and assigns shall, upon written request to City, be entitled to receive from City written notification of any default by Owner of the performance of Owner's obligations under this Agreement which has not been cured within thirty (30) days following the date of the notice of such default.
- 8.2.1 Notwithstanding Owner's default, this agreement shall not be terminated by City as to any mortgagee or beneficiary to whom notice is to be given and to which either of the following is true:
- (a) The mortgagee or beneficiary cures any default by Owner involving the payment of money within ninety (90) days after the notice of default; provided, however, that if any such default cannot, with diligence, be cured within such ninety (90) day period, then such mortgagee/beneficiary shall have additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default if such mortgagee/beneficiary commences to cure within such ninety (90) day period and thereafter diligently pursues and completes such cure.
- (b) As to defaults requiring title or possession of Owner's Property or any portion thereof to effectuate a cure: (i) the mortgagee/beneficiary agrees in writing, within ninety (90) days after receipt from City of the written notice of default, to perform the proportionate share of Owner's obligations under this Agreement allocable to that part of Owner's Property in which the mortgagee/beneficiary has an interest conditioned upon such mortgagee's/beneficiary's

acquisition of Owner's Property or portion thereof by foreclosure (including a trustee sale) or by a deed in lieu of foreclosure; (ii) the mortgagee/beneficiary commences foreclosure proceedings to reacquire title to Owner's Property of applicable portion thereof within said ninety (90) days and thereafter diligently pursues such foreclosure to completion, and (iii) the mortgagee/beneficiary promptly and diligently cures such default after obtaining title or possession. Subject to the foregoing, in the event any mortgagee/beneficiary records a notice of default as to its mortgage or deed of trust, City shall consent to the assignment of all of Owner's rights and obligations under this Agreement to the mortgagee/beneficiary or to any purchaser of Owner's interest at a foreclosure or trustee sale and Owner shall remain liable for such obligations unless released by City or unless the applicable portion of Owner's Property is transferred in accordance with Section 3.3 of this Agreement.

- 8.2.2 Notwithstanding Section 8.2.1 of this Agreement, if any mortgagee/beneficiary is prohibited from commencing or prosecuting foreclosure or other appropriate proceedings including by any process of injunction issued by any court or by reason of any action by any court having jurisdiction of any bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings involving Owner, the times specified in Section 8.2.1 of this Agreement for commencing or prosecuting foreclosure or other proceedings shall be extended for the period of the prohibition.
- 8.2.3 Neither entering into this Agreement nor a breach of this Agreement shall defeat, render invalid, diminish or impair the lien of any existing or future mortgage or deed of trust on Owner's Property made in good faith and for value.
- 8.3 Mortgagee Not Obligated. Except as provided to the contrary in this Agreement, no mortgagee or beneficiary shall have an obligation or duty under this Agreement to perform the obligations of Owner or other affirmative covenants of Owner hereunder, or to guarantee such performance, and no mortgagee or beneficiary shall be liable for any defaults or monetary obligations of Owner arising prior to acquisition of title to Owner's Property by such mortgagee or beneficiary or their respective successors or assigns; except that, to the extent any covenant to be performed by Owner is a condition to the performance of a covenant by City, the performance thereof shall continue to be a condition precedent to City's performance hereunder. In the event a mortgagee or beneficiary elects to develop Owner's Property in accordance with the Development Plan, the mortgagee or beneficiary shall be required to assume and perform the obligations or other affirmative covenants of Owner under this Agreement.
- 8.4 Releases. City agrees that upon written request of Owner and payment of all fees and performance of the requirements and conditions required of Owner by this Agreement with respect to Owner's Property, or any portion thereof, City Manager shall execute and deliver to Owner appropriate release(s) of further obligations imposed by this Agreement in form and substance acceptable to the San Diego County Recorder or as otherwise may be necessary to effect the release. City Manager shall not unreasonably withhold approval of such release(s).

8.5 Termination of Agreement With Respect to Individual Residential Lots Upon Sale to Public. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, this Agreement shall terminate with respect to any residential lot and such lot shall be released and no longer be subject to this Agreement without execution or recordation of any further document when the lot has been subdivided and title conveyed to the lot's ultimate user.

9.0 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

- 9.1 Rules of Construction. The singular includes the plural; the masculine gender includes the feminine; "shall" is mandatory; "may" is permissive. If there is more than one signatory to this Agreement, their obligations are joint and several.
- 9.2 Development Plan as a Private Undertaking. It is specifically understood by City and Owner that (i) the Development Plan is a private development; (ii) City has no interest in or responsibilities for or duty to third parties concerning any improvements to Owner's Property until City accepts the improvements pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement or in connection with subdivision map approvals; and (iii) Owner shall have the full power and exclusive control of Owner's Property subject to the obligations of Owner set forth in this Agreement.
- 9.3 Captions. The captions of this Agreement are for convenience and reference only and shall not define, explain, modify, construe, limit, amplify, or aid in the interpretation, construction, or meaning of any of the provisions of this Agreement.
- 9.4 Consent. Where the consent or approval of City or Owner is required or necessary under this Agreement, the consent or approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed, or conditioned.
- 9.5 Covenant of Cooperation. City and Owner shall cooperate and deal with each other in good faith, and assist each other in the performance of the provisions of this Agreement.
- 9.6 Recording. The City Clerk shall cause a copy of this Agreement to be recorded with the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, California, within ten (10) days following final execution of this Agreement.
- 9.7 Delay, Extension of Time for Performance. In addition to any specific provision of this Agreement, performance by either party of its obligations hereunder shall be excused and the term of this Agreement extended during any period of delay caused at any time by reason of acts of God, or Civil proceedings, riots, strikes, or damage to work in process by reason of fire, floods, earthquake, or other such casualties. Each party shall promptly notify the other party of any delay hereunder as soon as possible after the delay is determined. This section does not apply to circumstances that could have been prevented by the exercise of prudence, diligence and due

care. The term of this Agreement shall be extended by the period of time that Owner is actually delayed as a result of such cause.

- 9.8 Interpretation and Governing Law. This Agreement and any dispute arising hereunder shall be governed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California.
- 9.9 Time of Essence. Time is of the essence in the performance of the provisions of this Agreement as to which time is an element.

This Agreement has been executed by City Manager, pursuant to Ordinance No. Oby Owner.	y the City of San Diego, acting by and through its - 18571 , authorizing such execution, and
	THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
	By City Manager
	City Manager Tina pichisticusm
	PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
	By Michael D. Madigan
	By Charles A. Corum

Title Assistant Vice President

CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

Prescilla Dugard

Deputy City Attorney

-	
State ofCALIFORNIA	· •
County of SAN DIEGO	-
On October 8, 1998 before me,	Edythe E. Goff, Notery Public Name and Time of Officer (e.g., Yarra Oce, Noting Public)
personally appeared <u>NICHAEL D. MADIGAN</u>	and CHARLES A. CORUM Name(s) of Squer(s)
EDYTHE E. GOFF or to Commission 1130620 exe Notary Public — Coffornia San Dilego County My Comm. Expires Mar 23, 2001 Will	ose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the ne in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), he entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, cuted the instrument. TNESS my hand and official seal. Signature of Natury Active ONAL
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provide transcript and realisation fraudulent removal and realisation description of Attached Document Development Agreem	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent of this form to enother document. ent Between The City of San Diego And
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provided to the information below is not required by law, it may provided the information of Attached Document Description of Attached Document Development Agreem Title or Type of Document: Subdate And Title North	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent ont of this form to another document. ent Between The City of San Diego And Company: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provide transcript and realisation fraudulent removal and realisation description of Attached Document Development Agreem	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent of this form to enother document. ent Between The City of San Diego And
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provided to the information below is not required by law, it may provided the information of Attached Document Description of Attached Document Development Agreem Title or Type of Document: Subdate And Title North	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent ont of this form to enother document. ent Between The City of San Diego And n Company: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA Number of Pages: 24 (plus Exhibits)
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provided transport in the information of Attached Document Development Agreem Title or Type of Document: Subdate Construction Document Date: Not yet signed	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent ont of this form to enother document. ent Between The City of San Diego And n Company: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA Number of Pages: 24 (plus Exhibits)
Though the information below is not required by law, it may provided transcription of Attached Document Development Agreem Title or Type of Document: Sub-AREA TIT NORTH Document Date: Not yet signed Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: Not yet signed	e valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent ont of this form to enother document. ent Between The City of San Diego And n Company: PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH CITY FUTURE URBANIZING AREA Number of Pages: 24 (plus Exhibits)

EXHIBIT "A"

OWNER'S PROPERTY

Owner's Property consists of the following described parcels of real property within the City of San Diego, State of California:

Parcel A is located within Neighborhood 8A of the Carmel Valley Parcel A

Community. See page 2 of 12 on this Exhibit for the legal

description of this Parcel.

Parcel B is located within Neighborhood 8A of the Carmel Valley Parcel B

Community. See page 3 of 12 on this Exhibit for the legal

description of this Parcel.

Parcel C comprises all of Neighborhood 8C within the Carmel Valley Parcel C

Community. See page 4 of 12 on this Exhibit for the legal

description of this Parcel.

FSLIC/Deer

Canyon Parcel The FSLIC/Deer Canyon Parcel is located within Subarea V of the

North City Future Urbanizing Area. See page 5 of 12 on this Exhibit

for the legal description of this Parcel.

Lorenz Parcel The Lorenz Parcel is located within Subarea V of the North City

Future Urbanizing Area. See page 6 of 12 on this Exhibit for the

legal description of this Parcel.

Pardee Parcels The Pardee Parcels consist of all of the Pardee real property

> ownership within Subarea III of the North City Future Urbanizing Area, exclusive of Del Mar Highlands Estates. See page 7 of 12 through page 10 of 12 on this Exhibit for the legal description of the Pardee Parcels. See Exhibit K for the legal description of Del Mar

Highlands Estates.

Peñasquitos

West Parcel The Peñasquitos West Parcel is located within Subarea IV of the

North City Future Urbanizing Area. See page 11 of 12 on this

Exhibit for the legal description of this Parcel.

Vesting Tentative Map

No. 96-0737

Vesting Tentative Map No. 96-0737 is located in Neighborhood 10 of the Carmel Valley Community. See page 12 of 12 on this Exhibit for

the legal description of this Map.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF

PARCEL 'A'

ALL OF FREMONT, A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1283, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1910, EXCEPTING THEREFROM LOTS 1 THROUGH 11 INCLUSIVE, LOTS 19 THROUGH 27 INCLUSIVE, LOT 30 AND LOT 'A'.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION

OF

PARCEL 'B'

LOTS 2 THROUGH 8 INCLUSIVE AND LOT 'A' OF FREMONT, A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 1283, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1910.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION

OF

PARCEL 'C'

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN IS SITUATED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL 1:

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN, IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT.

PARCEL 2:

A TEMPORARY EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS AND ROAD PURPOSES AND UTILITY LINES TO BE USED IN COMMON WITH THE GRANTORS AND OTHERS OVER A STRIP OF LAND 50.00 FEET WIDE IN THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDAN, IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE CENTERLINE OF SAID 50.00 FOOT STRIP BEING THE CENTERLINE OF THE EXISTING TRAVELED DIRT ROADWAY RUNNING IN A NORTHERLY AND SOUTHERLY DIRECTION ACROSS THE LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED TO TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY, RECORDED APRIL 22, 1965 AS FILE NO. 71978 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, SAID EXISTING ROAD BEGINS IN THE NORTHERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY'S LAND AND ENDS IN THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 30.

THE EASEMENT DESCRIBED ABOVE SHALL TERMINATE AND BE OF NO EFFECT WHEN A PERMANENT ROAD IS CONSTRUCTED AND A PERMANENT EASEMENT IS CONVEYED TO THE OWNERS OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 30 AND MADE APPURTENANT TO SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 30.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION

OF

FSLIC/DEER CANYON PARCEL

THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OR THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER AND THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF

LORENZ PARCEL

THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, AND THE WEST 20 ACRES OF LOT 2, ALL IN SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PARDEE PARCELS

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN IS SITUATED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL A:

PARCELS 1 AND 2 OF PARCEL MAP NO. 11718, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, OCTOBER 9, 1981 AS FILE NO. 81-321947 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

PARCEL B:

PARCEL 2 OF PARCEL MAP NO. 12027, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, MARCH 26, 1982 AS FILE NO. 82-082638 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

PARCEL C:

THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 10; THE SOUTHERLY 400 FEET THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 11; AND THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER, THE NORTHEAST QUARTER, THE NORTHEAST QUARTER, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, ALL BEING IN TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM SAID SECTION 10, THAT PORTION LYING NORTHERLY OF THE CENTER LINE OF THAT DIRT ROAD (KNOWN AS BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD OR FOURTH STREET EXTENSION) RUNNING IN A GENERALLY EAST-WEST DIRECTION THROUGH THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTION 10, AS SAID ROAD EXISTED AND WAS LOCATED ON AUGUST 31, 1956.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 10 LYING NORTHWESTERLY OF THE CENTER LINE OF THE 60 FOOT EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 1982, RECORDER'S FILE NO. 82-271465 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION THEREOF LYING WITHIN PARCEL MAP NO. 12027, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, MARCH 26, 1982.

PARCEL D:

THE EASTERLY HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION THEREOF LYING WITHIN N.C.W. NEIGHBORHOOD 4A, UNIT 4, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 12149, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, AUGUST 10, 1988.

PARCEL E:

THOSE PORTIONS OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF, AS DESCRIBED IN THE FOLLOWING PARCELS "A", "B", AND "C".

PARCEL "A":

THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER AND THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER.

PARCEL "B":

THE EASTERLY 100.00 FEET OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER.

PARCEL "C":

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER.

EXCEPT THEREFROM THE EASTERLY 24 ACRES.

PARCEL F:

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

PARCEL G:

THE EASTERLY 100.00 FEET OF THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF, LYING NORTHERLY OF THE CENTER LINE OF COUNTY ROAD (KNOWN AS BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD) AS SAME EXISTED ON APRIL 14, 1952, RUNNING EASTERLY AND WESTERLY THROUGH SAID NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, ACCORDING TO MAP OF OLD SURVEY NO. 57, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

PARCEL H:

THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

PARCEL I:

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, AND THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SURVEY APPROVED MAY 20, 1884.

EXCEPTING FROM THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED PARCEL 2, THAT PORTION LYING SOUTHERLY AND SOUTHEASTERLY OF THE CENTER LINE OF THE COUNTY ROAD KNOWN AS FOURTH STREET EXTENSION AND SOMETIMES KNOWN AS OLD SURVEY NO. 57, SAID COUNTY ROAD RUNS EASTERLY AND WESTERLY ACROSS SAID SECTIONS 9 AND 16.

PARCEL J:

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF THE WESTERLY 100.00 FEET OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 16 LYING NORTHERLY OF THE COUNTY ROAD - KNOWN AS BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD RUNNING EASTERLY AND WESTERLY THROUGH SAID NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 16, ACCORDING TO OLD SURVEY NO. 57 ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEY OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, ALL BEIN GIN TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING FROM SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, THE EASTERLY 100.00 FEET THEREOF.

PARCEL K:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF LYING NORTHERLY OF THE CENTER LINE OF THE COUNTY ROAD - KNOWN AS 4TH STREET EXTENSION - RUNNING FROM EAST TO WEST THROUGH SAID NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID ROAD EXISTED ON APRIL 14, 1952.

EXCEPTING THE EASTERLY 100 FEET THEREOF.

ALSO EXCEPTING THE WESTERLY 100 FEET THEREOF.

PARCEL L:

PARCEL 1 OF PARCEL MAP NO. 9882, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, APRIL 10, 1980 AS FILE NO. 80-122371 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

PARCEL N:

THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

PARCEL O:

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION

OF

PEÑASQUITOS WEST PARCEL

THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTH QUARTER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTH QUARTER; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE THEREOF

1.	SOUTH 88°58'58" EAST	1,074.67 FEET;	THENCE	LEAVING	SAID	SOUTHERLY
			LINE			

- 2. NORTH 47°28'36" EAST 538.79 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENT 1,900.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE CONCAVE NORTHWESTERLY; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE
- 3. NORTHEASTERLY AND 1,078.22 FEET THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF NORTHERLY 32°30'52" TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST

QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 12; THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE THEREOF

- 4. NORTH 89°26'43" WEST 2,001.14 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE
- 5. SOUTH 00°59'10" WEST 1,274.40 FEET ALONG SAID WEST LINE TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION, IF ANY, LYING WITHIN PARCEL MAP NO. 6902, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 15, 1978.

EXHIBIT 'A' LEGAL DESCRIPTION

OF

VESTING TENTATIVE MAP NO. 96-0737

PORTIONS OF SECTION 28, T. 14 S., R. 3 W. AND PORTIONS OF THE EAST HALF SECTION 29, T 14 S., R. 3 W., S.B.M., ALL IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EXHIBIT "D"

Appendix "A" - Transportation Phasing Plan

This enclosed Transportation Phasing Plan for Subarea 3 assumes that State Route 56 will be implemented as shown in this PFFP. If State Route 56 implementation is delayed for any reason, traffic thresholds and improvements will be as shown in the enclosed Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan for Subarea 3.

Subarea 3 Transportation Phasing Plan^{2,4}

L	Phase	Startup Phase for Subarea 3 / Del Mar Heights Road		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	excluding R/W for the third interchange.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development			
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)			
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase).			
IL.	Phase	Dual freeway completion / Route 56 Freeway		
1	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Dual freeway completion / Route 56 Freeway Route 56 connected through as a 4 lane freeway between I-5 and I-15. Subarea 3 community infrastructure as required, including widening of Camino Santa Fe, Del Mar Heights Road and Carmel Valley Road. 1,250 DU + Private High School + Neighborhood Commercial (50,000 SF) Funding of PR/ED, CALTRANS approval and FHWA approval of the 5/56 north direct		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	1,250 DU + Private High School + Neighborhood Commercial (50,000 SF)		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Funding of PR/ED, CALTRANS approval and FHWA approval of the 5/56 north direct connectors.		
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Route 56 @ I-15, east to north loop ramp, east to south right turn lane, add southbound on ramp lane. Del Mar Heights Road @ I-5 west to northbound I-5 right turn lane. Black Mountain Road @ Park Village Drive Intersection Improvements. (Dual NB to WB left turn lanes or SB right turn lane)		

m.	Phase	5/56 North Connectors		
111.	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Construct the 5/56 north direct connectors. Subarea 3 community infrastructure as required, including widening of Camino Santa Fe to 6 lanes.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	1,500 DU + Neighbornood Commercial (100,000 SF) STIP funding, design and award contract for construction of the 5/56 north direct connectors ⁵ . 5/56 north direct connectors open to traffic ⁵		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)			
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Via De La Valle, between St Andres and El Camino Real east, improve to four lanes. El Camino Real between ½ mile Drive and Via De La Valle, improve to 4 lane major street. Carmel Valley Road, between Del Mar Heights Road andBlack Mountain Road, improve to four lanes. Widen Camino Ruiz from Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road to 4 lanes. (Camino Ruiz / 56 diamond interchange) Route 56 widened to 6 lanes from I-5 to one mile east of the Camino Santa Fe interchange.		
IV.	Phase	Buildout of Subarea 3		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Completion of community infrastructure.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	1,600 DU + Neighborhood Commercial (150,000 SF) + Commercial Office		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)			
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	I-15, from Route 56 to Escondido, HOV lane extension. I-5, from Del Mar Heights to Birmingham, add HOV lanes. Camino Ruiz from Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road, widen to 6 lanes. Camino Ruiz @ Route 56, provide loops", or Third Interchange @ Route 56, provide third interchange. Black Mounain Road, from Route 56 to Mercy Road, widen to six lanes. Route 56 widened to 6 lanes.		

Footnotes to Transportation Phasing Plan:

Before exceeding the allowable levels of development shown in each phase, the improvements listed in the right column must meet one of the following conditions to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer:

- a) Improvement must be completed and open to traffic.
- b) Improvement must be under contract.
- c) Improvement must be bonded.
- d) Improvement must be scheduled for construction in the City CIP for the year building permits are requested.
- e) Improvements must be programmed for construction in the STIP for the year building permits are requested.
- It should be noted that this plan is intended to serve as a guideline for the sequential development of street improvements. Because the geographic order of developments is not certain, it will be necessary to regularly review and revise this phasing plan in order to reflect current land development proposals and actual trip generation rates and trip distribution.

The 650 equivalent dwelling units includes a maximum of 50 EDUs for the administrative facilities of the private high school.

This transportation phasing plan assumes that State Route 56 will be implemented as shown in this PFFP. If State Route 56 implementation is delayed for any reason, traffic thresholds and improvements will be as shown in the attached Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan, pursuant to paragraph 2 on page 119 of the Traffic Study Report entitled "Transportation Analysis for the Future Urbanizing Subarea III", dated March 31, 1998.

Right of way is assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer by either (i) acquisition, (ii) successfully entering into a purchase agreement, or by (iii) initiating the condemnation process.

This requirement to be satisfied at the commencement of this phase, i.e. 1,900 DUs for Phase III.

Subarea 3 Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan

L _.	Phase	Startup Phase for Subarea 3 / Del Mar Heights Road		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Del Mar Heights Road, extend as 2 lanes of a 4 lane major to development areas. Provide ½ of ultimate street improvement. 650 equivalent DU² Successful vote November 1998 / Subarea Plan / Development Agreement approval by City Council. Completion of a Project Study Report (PSR) for the 5/56 north direct connectors. One unit / 4 acres density.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development			
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)			
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Route 56 Right-Of-Way in subarea 3 is assured ³ excluding R/W for the third interchange.		
II.	Phase	Subarea 3 - Pre SR-56 if necessary / Carmel Valley Road & Roule 56		
-	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Widen Carmel Valley Road (Route 56) to 4 lanes along the Route 56 Comidor to Camino Santa Fe including the construction of the westbound off ramp (2 lanes) and extend and Widen Carmel Valley Road to 4 lanes.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	350 DU		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Funding for PR/ED in year 2000 STIP for the 5/56 north direct connectors.		
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Alternative phasing threshold only if Route 56 Is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December, 2000. Construct Camino Ruiz as a 2 lane road from Carmel Valley Road to Route 56. Extend Route 56 as a 2 lane road from Black Mountain Road to Camino Ruiz.		

m.	Phase	Subarea 3 - Pre SR-56 if necessary / Carmel		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized	Valley Road & Route 56 Widen Carmel Valley Road (Route 56) to 4 lanes along the Route 56 Comidor to Camino Santa Fe including the construction of the westbound off		
	in this phase)1	ramp (2 lanes) and extend and widen Camino Santa Fe Road to 4 lanes.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	300 DU		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Completion and CALTRANS District Director approval of the PR/ED for the 5/56 north direct connectors.		
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Alternative phasing threshold only if Route 56 is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December, 2000.		
IV.	Phase	Subarea 3 - Pre SR-56 if necessary / Carmel Valley Road & Route 56		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Widen Carmel Valley Road (Route 56) to 4 lanes along the Route 56 Comidor to Camino Santa Fe including the construction of the westbound off ramp (2 lanes) and extend and widen Camino Santa Fe Road to 4 lanes.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	300 DU + Private High School. + Nhd. Comm. (50,000 SF)		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	(50,000 SF) Submittal of CALTRANS approved 5/56 north direct connector PR/ED to FHWA for approval.		
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Alternative phasing threshold only if Route 56 is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December, 2000 Widen Camino Ruiz to a 4 lane road from Carmel Valley Road to Route 56. Extend Route 56 as a 4 lane road from Black Mountain Road to Camino Ruiz. Route 56 @ I-15, east to north loop ramp, east to south right turn lane, add southbound on ramp lane. Del Mar Heights Road @ I-5 west to northbound I-5 right turn lane. Black Mountain Road @ Park Village Drive Intersection Improvements. (Dual NB to WB left turn lane, or SB right turn lane)		

v.	Phase	Subarea 3 - Pre SR-56 if necessary
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Signalize and widen intersections to 6 lanes as required.
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	300 DU + Nhd. CommN(50,000 SF)
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	FHWA Approval of PR/ED for the 5/56 north direct connectors.
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Alternative phasing threshold only if Route 56 is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December, 2000.
VI.	Phase	Route 56 Freeway
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase)	Route 56 connected through as a 4 lane freeway between I-5 and I-15.
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	300 DU + Nhd. Comm. (100,000 SF)
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	300 DU + Nhd. Comm. (100,000 SF) Funding in the STIP for the 5/56 north direct connectors. Complete design of the 5/56 north direct connectors. 5/56 north direct connectors open to traffic*.
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	

VII.	Phase	Dual Freeway Completion		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase) ¹	Construct the 5/56 north direct connectors. Route 56 connected through as a 4 lane freeway between I-5 and I-15.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	1,200 DU + Nhd. Comm. (100,000 SF)		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	1,200 DU + Nhd. Comm. (100,000 SF)		
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	Via De La Valle, between St Andres and El Camino Real east, improve to four lanes. El Camino Real between ½ mile Drive and Via De La Valle, improve to 4 lane major street. Carmel Valley Road, between Del Mar Heights Road and Black Mountain Road, improve to four lanes. Widen Camino Ruiz from Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road to 4 lanes. Route 56 widened from I-5 to one mile east of the Camino Santa Fe Interchange. Buildout of Subarea 3 Completion of community infrastructure.		
vm	Phase	Buildout of Subarea 3		
	Proposed Access Improvement (to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase)!	Completion of community infrestructure.		
	Approved Project or Subarea 3 Development	1,600 DU + Commercial. Office		
	Transportation Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Route 56 North Ramps (To Be Assured To The Satisfaction Of The City Engineer Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)			
	Comment or Description of Other Regional Improvements to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer (Before Development Is Authorized For Each Phase)	I-15, from Route 56 to Escondido, HOV lane extension. I-5, from Del Mar Heights to Birmingham, add HOV lanes. Camino Ruiz from Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road, widen to 6 lanes. Camino Ruiz @ Route 56, provide loops", or Third Interchange @ Route 56, provide third Interchange. Black Mounain Road, from Route 56 to Mercy Road, widen to six lanes. Route 56 widened to 6 lanes.		

Footnotes to Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan:

- Before exceeding the allowable levels of development shown in each phase, the improvements listed in the right column must meet one of the following conditions to be assurred to the satisfaction of the City Engineer:
 - a) Improvement must be completed and open to traffic.
 - b) Improvement must be under contract.
 - c) Improvement must be bonded.
 - d) Improvement must be scheduled for construction in the City CIP for the year building permits are requested.
 - e) Improvements must be programmed for construction in the STIP for the year building permits are requested.
- The 650 equivalent dwelling units includes a maximum of 50 EDUs for the administrative facilities of the private high school.
- Right of way is assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer by either (i) acquisition, (ii) successfully entering into a purchase agreement, or by (iii) initiating the condemnation process.
- This requirement to be satisfied at the commencement of this phase, i.e. 1,900 DUs for Phase VI.
- It should be noted that this plan is intended to serve as a guideline for the sequential development of street improvements. Because the geographic order of developments is not certain, it will be necessary to regularly review and revise this phasing plan in order to reflect current land development proposals and actual trip generation rates and trip distribution.

Appendix "A-1"

Pacific Highlands Ranch Transportation Phasing Plan

The following table, taken from Table 8 of the report entitled "Transportation Analysis for the Future Urbanizing Subarea III" maybe used to determine when a certain phase of the Transportation Phasing Plan has been reached.

			Trip	
			Generation	Daily
Land Use Type	Intensity	Unit	Rate ¹	Trios
Employment Center	· 20	Ac	450/Ac	9,000
Mixed Use Core (Commercial)	300	KSF	70/KSP4	21,000
Estate Residential ²	208	Du	10/Du	2.080
Very Low Density Residential	12	Du	10/Du	120
Low Density Residential	2,368	Du	10/Dů	23,680
Peripheral Residential	1,098	Dù '	10/Du	10,980
Core Residential	996	Du	8/Du	7,968
Mixed-Use Core Residential	500	Du	6/Du	3,000
Park	10	Ac	50/Ac	500
Park/Recreational Facility	13	Ac	50/Ac	650
Private High School ³	- 54	Ac	50/Ac	2,700
Elementary School	23	Ac	60/Ac	1,380
Junior High School	20	Ac	40/Ac	800
High School	50	Ac	50/Ac	2,500
A was entire to the action of the				

Foolnoles:

Expressed as Driveway Rates

This land use category includes the 172 dwelling units that have been approved for the Del Mar Highlands Estates vesting tentative map. While these units have been included in the computation of the total trips, the Del Mar Highlands Estate development is subject to its own tentative map conditions of approval and is not subject to the requirements of the Pacific Highlands Ranch Transportation Phasing Plan.

Should the Private High School site be developed as residential property, as opposed to a school, it is anticipated that approximately 255 Low Density Residential units will be built on this site and its daily trips quantity will be modified accordingly

Weighted average of Office and Retail Uses: Office (20/KSF); Main Street Retail (Village) (40/KSF); Commercial Retail (120/KSF).

EXHIBIT "E"

AGREEMENT RELATED TO EQUESTRIAN/MIXED USE TRAIL IMPLEMENTATION

This Agreement is entered into between The City of San Diego, a munici	pal corporation
[the City], and Pardee Construction Company, a California corporation (Grantor] [collectively,
the Parties], concerning the implementation of a proposed equestrian and mixed-	use trails [the
trails] as provided in the Subarea III Plan approved by the City on	by Ordinance
No. O	

RECITALS

- A. The City has determined that it is desirable to implement a comprehensive trail system which could be utilized by pedestrians, bikers and equestrians which would provide direct public access to the significant portions of the Multiple Species Conservation Program ("MSCP") planning area, and in particular, to the Pacific Highlands Ranch Project.
- B. Grantor has indicated that the trail alignment which is reflected in concept in the Subarea III Plan is acceptable. Grantor is willing to dedicate from Pardee ownership, at its option, either an easement or a fee interest for the trail right-of-way to the City, as a gift.
- C. City is willing to indemnify Grantor in connection with its provision of the trail as provided in this Agreement.

THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

Hold harmless. The City shall at all times indemnify and save Grantor, Owner, its contractors, agents, officers, employees, residents, invitees and golfers [Indemnified Parties] free and harmless from and pay in full any and all claims, demands, losses, damages, and expenses that Indemnified Parties may sustain, or incur in any manner resulting from the establishment, construction, maintenance, state of use, repair, or presence of the trail, the improvements, including the fence mentioned below, and the ongoing use of said trail, including, but not limited to, any loss, damage, or expense arising out of: 1) loss of or damage to property; and 2) injury to, or death of person(s) or animal(s) (including any person(s) or animal(s) utilizing the trail being struck by an errant golf ball(s)), except to the extent of any loss or damage or expense and claim for loss, damage or expense resulting in any manner or from the willful or malicious acts of the Indemnified Parties. The City's obligation for legal fees shall be limited to one legal counsel.

- 2. Fence Installation. The City shall, at Grantor's option install a split rail fence to assure that trail users do not stray into the remaining portions of the Pacific Highlands Ranch project. The nature, extent, color, materials, and location of such fencing shall be subject to the sole review and approval of Grantor and determined at a future date. The City shall be solely responsible for the ongoing maintenance, repair, and upkeep of the fence.
- 3. Successors. The Indemnified Parties and any assigns or successors in interest shall not be subject to any present or future obligation and/or liability with regard to the construction, maintenance and/or upkeep of said trail.
- 4. Permit Processing. It shall be the City's sole obligation to process any and all necessary permits and/or approvals that will be required for the implementation of the trail, as well as pay for the cost of engineering, surveying and any other fees associated with the establishment, implementation, and ongoing maintenance of said trail.
- 5. Trail Use. The trail shall be for the exclusive use and enjoyment of pedestrians, bikers and equestrian users. In this regard, no motorized vehicles or bikes of any kind shall be permitted. The City shall be responsible for enforcing this restriction.
- 6. Development Intensity. There is a development intensity allocation which applies to Grantor's land. Grantor shall retain any and all development intensity rights associated with the right-of-way for Grantors sole use and disposition.
- 7. Future Agreement. The parties agree to cooperate and execute such future documentation as necessary to implement the purpose and intent of this Agreement.
- 8. Attorney's Fees. If either Party brings litigation to enforce the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and other reasonable costs. The City's obligation with respect to legal fees shall be limited to one legal counsel.
- 9. Binding on Successors and Assigns. All references herein to City and Grantor shall refer shall to and be binding upon their respective personal representatives, successors and assigns and transferees of the parties hereto.

EXHIBIT "F"

CARMEL VALLEY POLICE SUBSTATION SITE PURCHASE AGREEMENT

THIS POLICE SUBSTATION SITE PURCHASE AGREEMENT [the Agreement] is
entered into as of theday of, 19, between Pardee Construction Company, a California corporation, [Seller], and The City of San Diego, a municipal corporation
[Buyer], [collectively, the Parties] with reference to the facts set forth below.
RECITALS
A. Seller owns real property consisting of approximately 4.0 gross acres (3.5 net developable) located within the Carmel Valley Community in the City of San Diego. Seller's real property ownership is identified on the Ownership map (attached hereto as Exhibit "A") and is further described as [the Property].
B. Buyer desires acquire the Property for the potential development of a police substation and Buyer is willing to sell the Property to Buyer.
THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS;
1. Agreement to Buy and Sell. Seller agrees to sell to Buyer, and Buyer agrees to purchase from Seller the Property as described in Recital "A" above.
2. <u>Purchase Price</u> . The Purchase Price of the Property shall be \$3,000,000 [the Purchase Price]
3. Method of Payment. The Purchase Price shall be payable in cash upon the close of escrow as defined in Section 6.1 below.
4. <u>Use of Property</u> . City proposes to use the site for a police substation serving Carmel Valley [the Substation]. If the City determines the Property may not be used for a police substation for any reason, City may sell the Property for cash, the proceeds of the sale to be applied to another site for the Substation or may exchange the Property for other property to be used as a site for the Substation. If City elects to sell the Property, Pardee shall have the right of

transferred by City to a third party.

first refusal, at the same price as the bona fide offer for the Property, on any proposed cash sale; however, Pardee shall not have such right if the City elects to exchange the Property. Any covenants, conditions and restrictions which apply to the Property shall apply to Property if

5. Reserved Rights. While this Agreement is in effect, and prior to the close of escrow for the Property, Seller reserves to itself, its agents, successors, assigns, and personal representatives all rights accruing from its ownership of the Property including, without limitation, unrestricted use, access and utilization of the Property, so long as legally permitted and subject to the terms of this Agreement.

Escrow and Closing.

- 6.1 Closing Date. The close of escrow shall be on or before the second anniversary of the effective date of the Development Agreement between the Parties approved by Ordinance No. O-_____ of the City Council [Closing Date]. "Close of Escrow" means the date the grant deed conveying the Property to Buyer is recorded pursuant to this Agreement.
- 6.2 Extension Of Closing Date. Upon mutual consent of Parties, the date for the Close of Escrow may be extended commensurate with Buyer and Seller's estimates that acquisition funds will be available as provided in any revised or updated PFFP, provided, however, that in no event may the Closing Date be extended for more than 90 calendar days without Seller's written consent.
- 6.2 Opening of Escrow. At such time as Buyer desires to complete the purchase of the Property, but in no case later than 120 calendar days prior to the Closing Date, Buyer shall deliver written notice of intent to close escrow to Seller and to Chicago Title Company [Escrow Holder], and Buyer shall concurrently deliver a fully signed copy of this Agreement to Escrow Holder which, along with any supplemental written escrow instructions signed by Buyer and Seller, shall constitute the escrow instructions. Buyer shall give the notice at least 120 days before the Closing Date specified in Section 6.1, as such Closing Date may be extended pursuant to Section 6.2. Escrow shall close within 120 days after Buyer's delivery of the notice, but in no event later than the Closing Date specified in Section 6.1, above, or as such Closing Date may be extended pursuant to Section 6.2.

7. Condition of Title.

7.1 It shall be a condition to the Close of Escrow that title to the Property shall be conveyed to Buyer by Seller by Grant Deed subject only to the following approved condition of title:

A lien to secure payment of real estate taxes and assessment, not delinquent.

The lien of supplemental taxes assessed pursuant to Chapter 3.5 commencing with section 75 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

- (c) Building, building line, use or occupancy restrictions and zoning and building laws and ordinances of the federal, state, municipal, city and other governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the Property.
- (d) All public rights of way, utility easements and other matters of record or which would be disclosed by an inspection or survey of the Property.
- 7.2 Seller shall pay and discharge upon close of escrowall deeds of trust, mortgages, mechanics' liens, judgments and attachment liens and other encumbrances securing an obligation to pay money which exists as of the date hereof or are created or suffered by Seller (other than non-delinquent taxes, special assessments, and owners' association assessments [which are to be prorated as provided herein] and liens and encumbrances created or suffered by Buyer).
- 8. <u>Deposits by Seller</u>. At least one (1) day prior to the Close of Escrow, Seller shall deposit or cause to be deposited with Escrow Holder the following documents and instruments:
- 8.1 Grant Deed. The Grand Deed in a form substantially identical to Exhibit "E" attached hereto conveying the Property to Buyer duly executed by Seller, acknowledged and in recordable form, and;
- 8.2 <u>Proration, Fees and Costs</u>. The amount, if any, required of Seller under Section 12 of this Agreement and under any other provision of this Agreement, shall be paid out of the funds deposited by Buyer with Escrow Holder and otherwise due Seller at the Close of Escrow pursuant to this Agreement.
- 9. <u>Deposits by Buyer</u>. At least one (1) day prior to the close of escrow, Buyer shall deposit or cause to be deposited with Escrow Holder the following:
- 9.1 <u>Purchase Price Balance</u>. Cash in the amount of the Purchase Price, ["Cash" means: (i) currency of the United States of America; (ii) cashier's check currently dated and payable to Escrow Holder or Seller, or (iii) wire transferred funds credited to Escrow Holder or Sellers bank account] and;
- 9.2 <u>Prorations. Fees and Costs.</u> The amount, if any, required of Buyer under Sections 10 and 11 of this Agreement and any other amounts payable upon the Close of Escrow under any other term of this Agreement, and;
- 9.3 <u>Documents</u>. A fully executed acceptance by City with respect to the Grant Deed and an executed and certified resolution of the City Council authorizing acceptance of the Property pursuant to the Grant Deed.

- 10. Costs and Expenses. Seller shall pay the cost and expense of a standard form CLTA Owner's Title Policy. Buyer shall pay the costs of any additional coverages or endorsements Buyer requests. Buyer and Seller shall share equally the escrow fee of Escrow Holder. Buyer shall pay all documentary transfer taxes payable in connection with the recordation of the Grant Deed. Buyer and Seller shall pay, respectively, the Escrow Holder's customary charges to buyers and sellers for document drafting, recording and miscellaneous charges. If, as a result of no fault of Buyer or Seller, Escrow fails to close, Buyer and Seller shall share equally all of Escrow Holders and Title Company's cancellation fees and charges.
- 11. <u>Disbursements and Other Actions by Escrow Holder</u>. Upon the close of escrow, the Escrow Holder shall promptly undertake all of the following in the manner indicated:
- 11.1 <u>Prorations</u>. Prorate (i.e. apportion) all matters referenced above based upon the statement delivered into Escrow signed by the Parties.
- 11.2 <u>Recording</u>. Cause the Grant Deed and any other documents which the Parties hereto may mutually direct, to be recorded in the Official Records of San Diego County, California.
- 11.3 <u>Funds</u>. Disburse from funds deposited by Buyer with Escrow Holder towards payment of all items chargeable to the account of Buyer pursuant hereto in payment of such costs, including, without limitation, the payment of the Purchase Price to Seller, and disburse the balance of such funds, if any, to Buyer.
- 11.4 <u>Documents</u>. Deliver all required and agreed upon documents pursuant to this Agreement to the Parties.
 - 11.5 <u>Title Policy</u>. Direct the Title Company to issue the Title Policy to Buyer.
- 12. Seller's Representations and Warranties. Except as set forth in this Agreement, Seller has made absolutely no representation or warranties regarding the Property, including, without limitation, its condition, past use, or suitability for Buyer's intended use, and that Buyer is purchasing the Property on an "AS-IS" basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Seller makes the following representations to Buyer: Seller has the legal right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and to the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement; Seller has no actual knowledge of any Hazardous Materials on or under the Property or any underground tanks on the Property or of any easements, leases liens or encumbrances affecting the Property which are not disclosed by the public records. The foregoing representation is true and the foregoing warranties and agreements are in full force and effect and binding on Seller as of the date of this Agreement. If there is more than one Party constituting the "Seller," such representations and warranties are made severally, and not jointly. If before the Closing Date Seller discovers that any representation or warranty is untrue or misleading in any material respect, Seller shall notify Buyer and Buyer

shall have the right to terminate this Agreement; in that event, Seller shall have no liability unless Seller's original representation or warranty was knowingly false or misleading.

- 13. <u>Buyer's Covenants, Representations and Warranties</u>. In consideration of Seller entering into this Agreement and as an inducement to Seller to sell the Property to Buyer, Buyer makes the following covenants, representations and warranties.
- 13.1 <u>Authority</u>. Buyer has the legal right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby, and the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement have been duly authorized and no other action by Buyer is requisite to the valid and binding execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly set forth in this Agreement.
- 13.2 "AS-IS" Nature of Sale. Buyer acknowledges and agrees that except as set forth herein, Seller has not made, does not make and specifically negates and disclaims any representations, warranties, or guarantees of any kind or character whatsoever, whether express or implied, oral or written, past, present or future, of, as to, concerning or with respect to (i) the value, nature, quality of condition of the Property, including, without limitation, the water, soil and geology, (ii) the suitability of the Property for any and all activities and uses which Buyer may conduct thereon; (iii) the compliance of or by the Property or its operation with any laws, rules, ordinances or regulations of any applicable governmental authority or body; (iv) the habitability, merchantability, marketability, profitability or fitness for a particular purpose of the Property; (v) the manner, quality, state of repair or lack of repair of the Property; or (vi) any other matter with respect to the Property, and specifically (except as set forth herein) that Seller has not made, does not make, and specifically disclaims any representations regarding compliance with any environmental protection, pollution or land use laws, rules, regulations, orders or requirements, including solid waste, as defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency regulations at 40 C.F.R., Part 261, or the disposal or existence, in or on the Property, of any hazardous substance, as defined by the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, and regulations promulgated thereunder. The substances, wastes and materials which are regulated by the foregoing laws or any other state and/or federal laws are herein referred to as "Hazardous Materials.") Buyer further acknowledges and agrees that any information provided or to be provided by or on behalf of Seller with respect to the Property was obtained from a variety of sources and that Seller has not made any independent investigation or verification of such information and makes no representations as to the accuracy or completeness of such information. Seller is not liable or bound in any manner by any oral or written statements, representations or information pertaining to the Property, or the operation thereof, furnished by any real estate broker, agent, employee, servant or other person. Buyer hereby acknowledges and agrees that the sale of the Property as provided for herein is made on an "AS-IS" condition and basis with all faults.
- 13.3 City represents and warrants that the conveyance of the Property to the City is exempt from the mapping requirements of the California Subdivision Map Act.

- 14. Representations and Warranties Survive. The representations and warranties of Buyer and Seller set forth in this Agreement shall be true on and as of the Close of Escrow and shall survive the closing.
- 15. <u>Legal Description Amendment</u>. Buyer and Seller acknowledge that the legal description of the Property is based on information used to identify generally the Property within the Property. Although such legal description is fairly accurate, it is not precise, thus it is expected that more detailed information may be used to delineate the Property on a tentative map submitted to the City in the future in conjunction with development applications. Therefore, this Agreement does not preclude, and contemplates that the Parties will amend the legal description. attached as Exhibit "D" hereto, upon the recording of a final map approved by the City.
- 16. <u>Damage or Condemnation Prior</u> to Closing. Seller shall promptly notify Buyer of any material casualty to the Property or any condemnation proceeding commenced prior to the Close of Escrow of which Seller obtains actual knowledge. If any such damage or proceeding relates to or may result in the loss of any material portion of the Property, Buyer may, at its option, elect either to: (i) terminate this Agreement, in which event neither Party shall have any further rights or obligations hereunder, or (ii) continue this Agreement in effect, in which event upon the Close of Escrow, Buyer shall be entitled to any compensation, awards, or other payments or relief resulting from such casualty or condemnation proceeding up to the amount of the purchase price, with any amounts exceeding such purchase price to be returned to Seller.
- 17. Notices. All notices or other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing, and shall be personally delivered, delivered by reputable overnight carrier, sent by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, or sent by telecopy, and shall be deemed received upon the earlier of (i) if personally delivered or delivered by overnight courier, the date of delivery to the address of the person to receive such notice, (ii) if mailed, two (2) business days after the date of posting by the United States Post Office, (iii) if given by telecopy, when sent. Any notice, request, demand, direction or other communication sent by telecopy must be confirmed within forty-eight (48) hours by letter mailed or delivered in accordance with the foregoing.

To Buyer:

City of San Diego 202 "C" Street

San Diego, CA 92101 Attention: City Manager Facsimile No. (619) 236-6067 Telephone No.: (619) 236-5941

To Seller:

Pardee Construction Company 10880 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1900

Los Angeles, CA 90024

Attention: David Lyman

Facsimile No.: (310) 446-1292 Telephone No.: (310) 475-3525

With a Copy to:

Seltzer Caplan Wilkins & McMahon

750 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

Attention: Thomas F. Steinke Facsimile No.: (619) 685-3100 Telephone No.: (619) 685-3003

And an additional

copy to:

Pardee Construction Company

110 West C Street, Suite 2200

San Diego, CA 92101

Attention: Michael Madigan Facsimile No.: (619) 231-1765 Telephone No.: (619) 525-7245

To Escrow Holder:

Chicago Title Company

925 "B" Street

San Diego, CA 92101 Attention: Shelva Molm

Facsimile No.: (619) 544-6229 Telephone No.: (619) 544-6250

Notice of change of address or telephone numbers shall be given by written notice in the manner detailed in this paragraph. Rejection or other refusal to accept or the inability to deliver because of changed address of which no notice was given shall be deemed to constitute receipt of the notice, demand, request or communication sent.

- 18. Brokers. Buyer and Seller each represents and warrant to the other that there will be no brokers' or finders' fees payable in respect of this transaction based upon any statement, representation or agreement made by Buyer or Seller, respectively. If any claims for brokers' or finders fees for the consummation of this Agreement arise, then Buyer hereby agrees to indemnify, save harmless and defend Seller from and against such claims if they shall be based upon any statement or representation or agreement by Buyer, and Seller hereby agrees to indemnify, save harmless and defend Buyer if such claims shall be based upon any statement, representation or agreement made by Seller.
- 19. <u>Legal Fees</u>. If any action or suit is brought by a Party hereto against another Party hereunder by reason of any willful breach of any of the covenants on the part of the other Party arising out of this Agreement, or for declaratory relief, then in that event, the prevailing Party in

such action or dispute shall be entitled to have and recover of and from the other Party all costs and expenses of such action, including reasonable attorneys' fees.

- 20. <u>Assignment</u>. Buyer shall not assign, transfer or convey its rights and/or obligations under this Agreement and/or with respect to the Property without the prior written consent of Seller, which consent Seller may withhold in its absolute discretion. Any attempted assignment without the prior written consent of Seller shall be void and Buyer shall be deemed in default hereunder. Any permitted assignments shall not relieve the assigning Party from its liability under this Agreement.
- 21. <u>Buvers Entry Onto Property</u>. While this Agreement is in effect, Buyer, its agents, contractors and subcontractors shall have the right to enter upon the Property, at reasonable times during ordinary business hours and upon 48 hours prior written notice to Seller, to make any and all inspections and tests as Buyer reasonably deems desirable and which may be accomplished without causing any alteration or damage to the Property. Buyer agrees to indemnify, defend and hold Seller and the Property harmless from any and all costs, loss, liability, damages or expenses, of any kind or nature, arising out of or resulting from such entry. The foregoing indemnity and defense obligation of Buyer shall survive the termination and/or full performance of this Agreement.

22. Miscellaneous.

- 22.1 <u>Survival of Covenants</u>. The covenants, representations and warranties of both Buyer and Seller set forth in this Agreement shall survive the recordation of the Grant Deed and the Close of Escrow.
- 22.2 <u>Required Actions of Buver and Seller</u>. Buyer and Seller agree to execute such instructions and documents and to diligently undertake such actions as may be required in order to consummate the purchase and sale herein contemplated and shall use their best efforts to accomplish the Close of Escrow in accordance with the provisions hereof.
- 22.3 <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which, together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- 22.4 <u>Captions</u>. Any captions to, or headings of, the paragraphs or subparagraphs of this Agreement are solely for the convenience of the Parties hereto, are not a part of this Agreement, and shall not be used for the interpretation or determination of the validity of this Agreement or any provision hereof.
- 22.5 No Obligations to Third Parties. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, the execution and delivery of this Agreement shall not be deemed to confer any rights upon, nor obligate the Parties hereto, to any person or entity other than the Parties hereto.

- 22.6 Exhibits and Schedules. The Exhibits and Schedules attached hereto are hereby incorporated herein by this reference.
- 22.7 <u>Amendment to this Agreement</u>. Except as specified in paragraph 17 hereto, the terms of this Agreement may not be modified or amended except by an instruction in writing executed by the Parties hereto.
- 22.8 <u>Waiver</u>. The waiver or failure to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not operate as a waiver of any future breach of any such provision or any other provision hereof
- 22.9 <u>Applicable Law</u>. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California
- 22.10 <u>Fees and Other Expenses</u>. Except as otherwise provided herein, each of the Parties shall pay its own fees and expenses in connection with this Agreement.
- 22.11 Entire Agreement. This Agreement supersedes any prior agreements, negotiations and communications, oral or written, and contains the entire agreement between Buyer and Seller as to the subject matter hereof. No subsequent agreement, representation, or promise made by the Party hereto, or by or to any employee, officer, agent or representative of the Party shall be of any effect unless it is in writing and executed by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 22.12 <u>Successors and Assigns</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.

23. Indemnification of Escrow Holder.

- 23.1 If this Agreement or any matter relating hereto shall become the subject of any litigation or controversy, Buyer and Seller agree, jointly and severally, to hold Escrow Holder free and harmless from any loss or expense, including attorneys' fees, that may be suffered by it by reason thereof except for losses or expenses as may arise from Escrow Holder's negligent or willful misconduct. If conflicting demands are made or notices served upon Escrow Holder with respect to this Agreement, the Parties expressly agree that Escrow Holder shall be entitled to file a suit in interpleader and obtain an order from the court requiring the Parties to interplead and litigate their several claims and rights among themselves. Upon the filing of the action in interpleader, Escrow Holder shall be fully released and discharged from any obligations imposed upon it by this Agreement; and
- 23.2 Escrow Holder shall not be liable for the sufficiency or correctness as to form, manner, execution or validity of any instrument deposited with it, nor as to the identity,

authority or rights of any person executing such instrument, nor for failure to comply with any of the provisions of any agreement, contract or other instrument filed with Escrow Holder or referred to herein. Escrow Holder's duties hereunder shall be limited to the safekeeping of all moneys, instruments or other documents received by it as Escrow Holder, and for their disposition in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.




EXHIBIT "J"

City Clerk
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
City Administration Building
202 "C" Street
San Diego, CA 92101

This document exempt from fees per Government Code 6103. To the benefit of the City of San Diego

ASSIGNMENT OF INTEREST UNDER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

FOR VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt	of which is hereby acknowledged, Pardee
Construction Company, a California corporation	n [Assignor], assigns, without warranty except as
set forth herein to	[Assignee], all of Assignor's rights and benefits
set forth in that certain Development Agreemen	t between The City of San Diego and Assignor
adopted by the City Council on by Ordinance N	o. O[the"Development
Agreement], as to only the land described in att	ached Exhibit "A" incorporated by this reference
[the Property]. The Development Agreement wa	as recordedat File/Page No.
Official Records of San Diego Coun	ty, California.

Assignor warrants to Assignee that, as of the date hereof, Assignor is not in breach of the Development Agreement. Effective upon the consent to this Assignment by the City Manager of the City of San Diego, Assignor shall be fully and unconditionally released and discharged from all further liabilities and obligations arising under the Development Agreement as to the Property.

EXHIBIT 'K'

APNS 304-113-05 AND 304-031-16 (DEL MAR HIGHLANDS ESTATES)

PARCEL 1

LOT 1 OF REVERSIONARY MAP OF SAN DIEGUITO ESTATES IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 10780 FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY ON NOVEMBER 30, 1983, TOGETHER WITH A PORTION OF EL CAMINO REAL AS DEDICATED TO PUBLIC USE.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN NCW NEIGHBORHOOD 7, UNIT 12, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 11734, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY FEBRUARY 24, 1987.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN NCW NEIGHBORHOOD 4A, UNIT 2, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 12146, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY AUGUST 10, 1998.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN PARCEL MAP NO. 15728, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY JULY 6, 1989.

PARCEL 2

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, TOGETHER WITH THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN NCW NEIGHBORHOOD 7, UNIT 12, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 11734, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY FEBRUARY 24, 1987.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN NCW NEIGHBORHOOD 4A, UNIT 2, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 12146, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY AUGUST 10, 1988.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS LYING WITHIN PARCEL MAP NO. 15728, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY JULY 6, 1989

APN:308-010-03 (SHELL PROPERTY)

THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER AND THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHE QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANG WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION THEREOF CONVEYED TO WENDELIN GENTER DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 314, PAGE 316 OF DEEDS AND MORE PARTICULARLY SCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 21, RUNNING THEN SOUTH 810.00 FEET; THENCE WEST 645.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 810.00 FEE THENCE EAST 645.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

(0-99-23)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	18571	(NEW SERIES)
ADOPTED ON	SEP 08 1998	

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR THE PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH DEVELOPMENT.

WHEREAS, Pardee Construction Company, a California corporation [Owner], is the owner or equitable owner of that certain real property consisting of approximately 2,102 acres located within Subarea III in the North City Future Urbanizing Area; and

WHEREAS, The City of San Diego, a charter city, is authorized pursuant to Government Code Sections 65864 - 65869.5 to enter into binding development agreements with persons having legal or equitable interests in real property for the development of such property in order to establish certainty in the development process. The City further enters into this Development Agreement pursuant to its Charter and self-rule powers and San Diego Municipal Code Sections 111.0901 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to enter into this Development Agreement relating to the above-described real property in conformance with the provisions of the Government Code in order to achieve the development of private land uses together with the provision of public services, public uses, and urban infrastructure all in the promotion of the health, safety, and general welfare of the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the property is located within the boundaries of Subarea III in the North City Future Urbanizing Area. This community plan was adopted by the Council on _______ by

Resolution No. R-290521. In conjunction with the adoption of the community plan, the Council certified the information contained in the Environmental Impact Report and approved the findings of the environmental document in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970; and

WHEREAS, development of the subject property will be in conformance with the North City Future Urbanizing Area Framework Plan. The environmental effects of development permitted pursuant to the agreement were addressed in Master Environmental Impact Report No. 96-7918, which has been certified by the City; and

WHEREAS, because of the complexities of financing the urban infrastructure, certainty in the development process is desirable. The phasing, timing and development of the public infrastructure including, but not limited to, parks, libraries, fire stations, transportation facilities, sewer and water facilities, other utilities, and open space maintenance necessitates a significant commitment of resources, planning and effort by property owners and the City in order for the public facilities financing to be successfully completed. Accordingly, in return for the participation and commitment to provide a pro rata share of public facilities and the significant contribution of private resources for public purposes, the City in return desires to make a commitment for certainty in the development process; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of the Development Agreement, Owner will provide substantial public improvements and benefits to the City including participation in the public facilities financing plan for Subarea III in the North City Future Urbanizing Area. In consideration of the public improvements and benefits to be provided by Owner pursuant to the Development Agreement, in consideration of Owner's agreement to finance public facilities, and in order to strengthen the public planning process and reduce the economic costs of development, by

the Development Agreement the City intends to give Owner assurance that Owner can proceed with the development of the subject property for the term of the Development Agreement pursuant to the Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, on June 25, 1998, the Planning Commission of The City of San Diego, after giving notice pursuant to Government Code sections 65854, 65854.5, and 65856, and San Diego Municipal Code section 105.0103 held a public hearing on the application for the Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Council of The City of San Diego, after providing public notice as required by law, held a public hearing on Owner's application, wherein all persons desiring to be heard were heard, and pursuant to said public hearing the Council recommended approval of the Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that the Development Agreement is consistent with the Progress Guide and General Plan and the North City Future Urbanizing Area Framework Plan, as well as all other applicable policies and regulations of The City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed and considered the Development Agreement and determined the content of the Development Agreement to be complete and correct; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. The Council finds and determines the facts stated above to be true.

Section 2. The Council further finds with respect to the Development Agreement that:

A. It is consistent with the objectives, policies, programs and uses specified in the Progress Guide and General Plan and the North City Future Urbanizing Area Framework Plan.

B. It will not be detrimental to the public health, safety and general

welfare.

C. It will promote the orderly development of property or the preservation

of property values in accordance with good land use practice.

Section 3. The Council hereby approves the Development Agreement, a copy of which is

on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. 00-18571, and authorizes

and directs the City Manager to execute said Development Agreement in the name of The City of

San Diego not later than 15 days following the effective date of this ordinance. Failure of Owner

to execute the Development Agreement within 30 days, shall render this action null and void. The

City Clerk is directed to record said Development Agreement and this ordinance with the County

Recorder of San Diego County within ten days after its execution.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day from and

after its passage.

APPROVED: CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

PD:lc


07/17/98

Or.Dept:Comm.&Eco.Dev.

O-99-23

Form=devagro.frm

	opted by the Council of The City of San Diego on
September 8, 1	998 by the following vote:
(EAS:	MATHIS, WEAR, KEHOE, STEVENS, WARDEN, STALLINGS, MCCARTY,
•	VARGAS, AND MAYOR GOLDING
YAYS:	NONE
10T PRESENT:	
UTHENTICATED	BY:
	SUSAN GOLDING Mayor of The City of San Diego, California
	CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
	City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California
(Seal)	By:, Deputy
FURTHER CERT ntil twelve o	ego, California. CIFY that said ordinance was not finally passed calendar days had elapsed between the day of its and the day of its final passage, to wit, on and on September 8, 1998
ispensed with embers electe he considerat	PIFY that the reading of said ordinance in full was by a vote of not less than a majority of the d to the Council, and that there was available for ion of each member of the Council and the public ay of its passage a written or printed copy of said
	CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
	City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California
(Seal)	Daure Skele Deputy



Transportation Phasing Plan ^{2,4}

PHASE ONE: Startup Phase for Subarea III / Del Mar Heights Road

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 650 equivalent DU. 3,8

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
T-3.1	Del Mar Heights Road	Westerly of Subarea boundary to intersection with Carmel Valley Road.	Extend as 2 lanes of a 4 lane major to development areas. Provide ½ of the ultimate street improvement.
T-1.1	State Route 56	Within Subarea III.	Right-of-Way in Subarea III is assured ⁵ , excluding right-of-way for the third interchange (project has been deleted).

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Successful vote November 1998/Subarea Plan/Development Agreement approval by City Council. Completion of a Project Study Report (PSR) for the I-5/SR-56 north direct connectors. One unit/4 acres density.⁶

PHASE TWO: Dual Freeway Completion/State Route 56 Freeway

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase.¹

Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 1,250 DU + Private High School + Neighborhood Commercial (50,000 SF).⁸

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
T-1.1	State Route 56	Between I-5 and I-15.	Connected through as a 4-lane freeway.
T-3.2	Del Mar Heights Road	Westerly of Subarea boundary to intersection with Carmel Valley Road.	Widen to 4 lanes.
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road	Within Pacific Highlands Ranch.	Improve to 4 lanes, where appropriate, to provide access to development.
T-1.6	State Route 56	At I-15	East to north loop ramp; east to south right turn lane; and add southbound on ramp lane.
T-2	Del Mar Heights Road	At I-5	West to northbound I-5 right turn lane.
T-11.2	Black Mountain Road	At Park Village Drive	Intersection improvements (dual northbound to westbound left turn lanes or southbound right turn lane).

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of Fire Station 47:

This phase may be satisfied in the following increments: (i) First 550 DUs – Prior to Council Approval of a Reimbursement Agreement; (ii) next 75 DUs – After Council Approval of a Reimbursement Agreement; (iii) next 175 DUs – After Start of Construction; (iv) next 150 DUs – After 50% Construction Complete; (v) next 300 DUs – After 100% Construction Complete.

Transportation Phasing Plan (continued) 2,4

PHASE THREE: I-5/SR-56 North Connectors

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 1,500 DU + Neighborhood Commercial (100,000 sf).8

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road ⁷	From SR-56 to Del Mar Heights Road.	Widen to six lanes.
T-13	Via de la Valle	Between San Andres and El Camino Real east.	Improve to four lanes.
T-12	El Camino Real	Between Half Mile Drive and Via de la Valle.	Improve to major four-lane street.
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road	Between Del Mar Heights Road and Black Mountain Road.	Improve to four lanes.
	Camino Ruiz	From Carmel valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road.	Widen to four lanes (Camino Ruiz/SR-56 diamond interchange).
T-1.2	State Route 56	From I-5 to one mile east of the Camino Santa Fe interchange.	Widen to six lanes.

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

STIP funding, design, and award contract for construction of the I-5/SR-56 north connectors. 6 I-5/SR-56 north connectors open to traffic. 6

PHASE FOUR: Build out of Subarea III (Pacific Highlands Ranch).

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase.¹

Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 1,600 DU + Neighborhood Commercial (150,000 SF) + Commercial Office.⁸


Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
	Camino Ruiz	From Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road	Widen to six lanes.
	Black Mountain Road	State Route 56 to Mercy Road.	Widen to six lanes.
	I-15	From State Route 56 to Mercy Road.	HOV lane extension.
	I-5	From Del Mar Heights to Birmingham.	Add HOV lanes.
T-1.4	Camino Ruiz	At State Route 56.	Private loops, or third interchange at State Route 56.
T-1.2	State Route 56	From I-5 to I-15.	Widen to six lanes.

Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008 DRAFT

Footnotes to Transportation Phasing Plan:

- Before development is authorized in each phase, the improvements listed in the right column must meet one of the following conditions to the satisfaction of the City Engineer:
 - a) Improvement must be completed and open to traffic.
 - b) Improvement must be under contract.
 - c) Improvement must be bonded.
 - d) Improvement must be scheduled for construction in the City CIP for the year in which building permits are requested.
 - e) Improvements must be programmed for construction in the STIP for the year in which building permits are requested.
- It should be noted that this plan is intended to serve as a guideline for the sequential development of street improvements. Because the geographic order of development is not certain, it will be necessary to regularly review and revise this phasing plan in order to reflect the current land development proposals and actual trip generation rates and trip distribution.
- The 650 equivalent dwelling units include a maximum of 50 EDUs for the administrative facilities of the private high school.
- The Transportation Phasing Plan assumes that State Route 56 will be implemented as shown in this Public Facilities Financing Plan. If for any reason, the implementation of State Route 56 is delayed, traffic thresholds and required improvements will be as described in the Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan, pursuant to paragraph 2, on page 119, of the Traffic Study Report entitled, "Transportation Analysis for the Future Urbanizing Subarea III," dated March 31, 1998.
- ⁵ Right-of-way is assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer by either of the following:
 - a) Acquisition
 - b) Successfully entering into a purchase agreement, or by
 - c) Initiating the condemnation process.
- ⁶ This requirement is to be satisfied at the commencement of this phase, i.e. 1,900 DUs for Phase III.
- The Transportation Phasing Plan contained in the Traffic Study Report, cited in the footnote 4 above, inadvertently refers to Carmel Valley Road between SR-56 and the intersection of Del Mar Heights Road as Camino Santa Fe. It has subsequently been determined that the northerly limits of Camino Santa Fe will terminate at SR-56.
- The amount of development approved for each phase of the Transportation Phasing Plan is not cumulative. To determine the cumulative total, one must add the amounts shown in each phase of the plan.



Alternative Transportation Phasing Plan²

PHASE ONE: Startup Phase for Subarea III / Del Mar Heights Road

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 650 equivalent DU.^{3, 7}

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
T-3.1	Del Mar Heights Road	Westerly of Subarea boundary to intersection with Carmel Valley Road.	Extend as 2 lanes of a 4 lane major to development areas. Provide ½ of the ultimate street improvement.
T-1.1	State Route 56	Within Subarea III.	Right-of-Way in Subarea III is assured ⁵ , excluding right-of-way for the third interchange (project has been deleted).

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Successful vote November 1998/Subarea Plan/Development Agreement approval by City Council. Completion of a Project Study Report (PSR) for the I-5/SR-56 north direct connectors. One unit/4 acres density.⁵

PHASE TWO "A": Subarea III – Pre SR-56, if Necessary, Carmel Valley Road/State Route 56

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase.¹ Alternative phasing threshold only if State Route 56 is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December 2000.

Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 150 DU.⁷

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
	State Route 56	From existing easterly terminus in Carmel Valley to future interchange location with Carmel Valley Road.	Widen to four lanes along the State Route 56 corridor including the construction of the westbound off ramp (2 lanes).
	State Route 56	From Black Mountain Road to Camino Ruiz.	Extend as a two-lane road.

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Completion of the Value Engineering Study for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors.

PHASE TWO "B": Subarea III – Pre SR-56, if Necessary, Carmel Valley Road/State Route 56

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Alternative phasing threshold only if State Route 56 is not on the current schedule, i.e. open to traffic by December 2000.

Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 300 DU.⁷

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
	Camino Ruiz	From Carmel Valley Road to State Route 56.	Construct as a two-lane road.
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road ⁶	Within Pacific Highlands Ranch.	Extend and widen to four-lanes, where appropriate, to provide accesses to development.

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Funding assured for PR/ED in year 2000 STIP for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors.⁶

PHASE THREE "A": Subarea III – Pre SR-56, if Necessary, Carmel Valley Road/State Route 56

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 150 equivalent DU.

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Completion of the PR/ED for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors.⁵

PHASE THREE "B": Subarea III – Pre SR-56, if Necessary, Carmel Valley Road/State Route 56

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 150 DU.

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

CALTRANS District Director approval of the PR/ED for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors and submittal to FHWA for approval.⁵

PHASE FOUR: Subarea III – Pre SR-56, if Necessary, Carmel Valley Road/State Route 56

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 200 DU + Private High School + Neighborhood Commercial (50,000 sf).

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
	Camino Ruiz	From Carmel Valley Road to State Route 56.	Widen to four lanes.
	State Route 56	From Black Mountain Road to Camino Ruiz.	Widen to a four-lane road.
T-1.6	State Route 56	At I-15.	East from north loop ramp; east to south, right turn lane; add southbound on ramp lane.
T-2	Del Mar Heights Road	At I-5.	West to northbound I-5 right turn lane.
T-11.2	Black Mountain Road	At Park Village Drive.	Intersection improvements (dual northbound to westbound left turn lanes or southbound right turn lane).

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Approval of the PR/ED for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors by FHWA.⁵

PHASE FIVE: Subarea III - Pre SR-56, if Necessary

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 300 DUs + Neighborhood Commercial (50,000 sf).

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road ⁶	From SR-56 to Del Mar Heights Road.	Widen to six lanes.
T-7	Traffic Signals	Within Pacific Highlands Ranch.	Signalize and widen intersections to six lanes, as required.

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

FHWA approval of PR/ED for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors. ⁵

PHASE SIX: SR-56 Freeway

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 300 DUs + Neighborhood Commercial (100,000 sf).

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT	
T-1.1	State Route 56	Between I-5 and I-15.	Connected through as a four-lane Freeway.	

Threshold Condition Regarding Status of State Route 56 North Ramps:

Funding is assured in the STIP for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors. Complete design for the I-5/SR-56 north connectors open to traffic.⁵

PHASE SEVEN: Dual Freeway Completion

The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 1,200 DUs + Neighborhood Commercial (100,000 sf).

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT	
T-13	Via de la Valle	Between San Andres and El Camino Real east.	Improve to four lanes.	
T-12	El Camino Real	Between Half Mile Drive and Via de la Valle.	Improve to four-lane major street.	
T-4.2	Carmel Valley Road	Between Del Mar Heights Road to Black Mountain Road.	Improve to four lanes.	
Camino Ruiz		From Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road.	Widen to four lanes.	
T-1.2 State Route 56		From I-5 to one mile east of the Camino Santa Fe interchange.	Widen to six lanes.	

PHASE EIGHT: Buildout of Subarea III (Pacific Highlands Ranch)


The following improvements are to be assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer before development is authorized in this phase. Approved Project or Subarea III Development: 1,600 DUs + Commercial Office.

Proposed Access Improvements:

PROJECT NO.	FACILITY	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT	
	I-15	From State Route 56 to Escondido.	HOV lane extension.	
	I-5	From Del Mar Heights to Birmingham.	Add HOV lanes.	
	Camino Ruiz	From Carmel Valley Road to Carmel Mountain Road.	Widen to six lanes.	
T-1.4	Camino Ruiz	At State Route 56.	Provide loops or third interchange at State Route 56.	
	Black Mountain Road	State Route 56 to Mercy Road.	Widen to six lanes.	
T-1.2	State Route 56	From I-5 to I-15.	Widen to six lanes.	

Footnotes to Transportation Phasing Plan:

- Before development is authorized in each phase, the improvements listed in the right column must meet one of the following conditions to the satisfaction of the City Engineer:
 - a) Improvement must be completed and open to traffic.
 - b) Improvement must be under contract.
 - c) Improvement must be bonded.
 - d) Improvement must be scheduled for construction in the City CIP for the year in which building permits are requested.
 - e) Improvements must be programmed for construction in the STIP for the year in which building permits are requested.
- It should be noted that this plan is intended to serve as a guideline for the sequential development of street improvements. Because the geographic order of development is not certain, it will be necessary to regularly review and revise this phasing plan in order to reflect the current land development proposals and actual trip generation rates and trip distribution.
- The 650 equivalent dwelling units include a maximum of 50 EDUs for the administrative facilities of the private high school.
- ⁴ Right-of-way is assured to the satisfaction of the City Engineer by either of the following:
 - i. Acquisition
 - ii. Successfully entering into a purchase agreement, or by
 - iii. Initiating the condemnation process.
- ⁵ This requirement is to be satisfied at the commencement of this phase, i.e. 1,900 DUs for Phase IV.
- The Transportation Phasing Plan contained in the Traffic Study Report, cited in the footnote 4 above, inadvertently refers to Carmel Valley Road between SR-56 and the intersection of Del Mar Heights Road as Camino Santa Fe. It has subsequently been determined that the northerly limits of Camino Santa Fe will terminate at SR-56.
- The amount of development approved for each phase of the Transportation Phasing Plan is not cumulative. To determine the cumulative total, one must add the amounts shown in each phase of the plan.



Facilities Benefit Assessment Numerical List

Revised 5-16-07 thru DOC# 292

LEGEND FOR ASSESSMENTS

SF = SINGLE FAMILY = \$69,118 MF = MULTI FAMILY = \$48,384 DMHE = DEL MAR HIGHLANDS ESTATES = \$47,002 VAC = VILLAGE ACRES = \$557,652 ECAC = EMPLOYMENT CENTER ACRES = \$371,765 INSTAC = INSTITUTIONAL ACRES = \$198,273

				ASSESSED	ESTIMATED	EST. \$ AMT		
ASMT #	ASSESSOR PARCEL #	FILED MAP	LOT/PAR	VALUE	VALUES	TOTAL	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH OWNERS	
1	304-031-17			SF	50	\$3,455,900	PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-010-19						PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-010-29-32						PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-010-28 & 33	PM 11718	PAR 1 & 2				PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-011-03			MF	719	\$34,788,096	PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-011-04			SF	2,720	\$188,000,960	PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-011-05			SF	8	\$552,944	PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-021-18			SF	48	\$3,317,664	PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-040-36	PM 19841	PAR 3				PARDEE HOMES	
1	305-041-01						PARDEE HOMES	
2	304-021-10	PM 5254	PAR 1	SF	5	\$345,590	CAVALLO FARMS LLC	
3	304-021-11	PM 5254	PAR 2	SF	3	\$207,354	BLOUM STEVEN L & CECE D	
4	304-021-06			SF	5	\$345,590	MCKEAN NATURAL GAS CO. INC	
6	305-011-09	PM 11718					PARDEE HOMES	
6	305-011-10	PM 11718					PARDEE HOMES	
6	305-021-13						PARDEE HOMES	
6	305-021-22	PM 11718					PARDEE HOMES	
6	305-022-01						PARDEE HOMES	
7	305-010-11			VAC	5.46	\$3,044,780	TRYANGLE FARM LTD PARTNERSHIP	
8	305-021-02			VAC	5	\$2,788,260	LIN YUEH JU TR 02-15-06, LIN JEFFREY H ET AL	
8	305-021-02			MF	203	\$9,821,952	LIN YUEH JU TR 02-15-06, LIN JEFFREY H ET AL	
9	305-021-24			MF	50	\$2,419,200	LIN YUEH JU TR 02-15-06, LIN JEFFREY H ET AL	
9	305-021-24	PM 12337	PAR 2	SF	207	\$14,307,426	LIN YUEH JU TR 02-15-06, LIN JEFFREY H ET AL	
10	305-021-05	PM 12337	POR PAR 1	SF	20	\$1,382,360	BARCZEWSKI ROBERT TR	
10	305-040-20	PM 12337	PAR 4	SF	7	\$483,826	BARCZEWSKI ROBERT TR	
12	305-021-07			SF	5	\$345,590	THREE SIDED L P	
14	305-031-27	PM 19841	PAR 4				PARDEE HOMES	
14	305-031-28	PM 18971	por PAR 3				PARDEE HOMES	
15	305-031-02			SF	1	\$69,118	HUANG FAMILY TRUST 9-16-96	
16	305-141-24	FM 14513	LOT A				COSTA DEL SOL AT CV HOMEOWNERS ASSN	
16	305-040-24		·	MF	12	\$580,608	BARRATT AMERICA INC	
16	305-040-25			SF	14	\$967,652	BARRATT AMERICA INC	
19	305-190-10			VAC	3.49	\$1,946,205	PACIFIC CARMEL AFG LLC PICO MADEIRA RWJ LLC ET AL	
20	305-041-02			SF	1	\$69,118	WESTERN PACIFIC HOUSING TORREY SANTA FE LLC	
21	305-060-18	FM 12477	LOT 31	SF	2	\$138,236	BARCZEWSKI ROBERT TR	
22	308-010-24	PM 17811	PAR 1	SF	1	\$69,118	JACOBSON MARK A	
22	308-010-25	PM 17811	PAR 2	SF	1	\$69,118	JACOBSON KIMBERLY A	
26	304-632-02	FM 13818	LOT 43	DMHE	1	\$47,002	BUIE ROBERT F & PAMELA I TRUST 12-16-99	
55	304-630-22	FM 13818	LOT 39	DMHE	1	\$47,002	HANDZEL FAMILY TRUST	

SF = SINGLE FAMILY = \$69,118

MF = MULTIFAMILY = \$48,384

Facilities Benefit Assessment Numerical List (continued)


Revised 5-16-07 thru DOC# 292

LEGEND FOR ASSESSMENTS

VAC = VILLAGE ACRES = \$557,652 ECAC = EMPLOYMENT CENTER ACRES = \$371,765

DMHE = DEL MAR HIGHLANDS ESTATES = \$47,002 INSTAC = INSTITUTIONAL ACRES = \$198,273

				ASSESSED	ESTIMATED	EST. \$ AMT		
ASMT #	ASSESSOR PARCEL #	FILED MAP	LOT/PAR	VALUE	VALUES	TOTAL	PACIFIC HIGHLANDS RANCH OWNERS	
74	304-640-04	FM 13818	LOT 96	DMHE	1	\$47,002	DALLAS GEORGE R & MARY J	
76	304-640-12	FM 13818	LOT 104	DMHE	1	\$47,002	SHU DARWIN & RUTH C	
95	304-633-20	FM 13818	LOT 84	DMHE	1	\$47,002	JOHNSON VICTORIA J SEPARATE PROPERTY TR 2-11-03	
103	305-040-33	PM 19726	PAR 1				PARDEE HOMES	
103	305-040-32	PM 19841	PAR 2				SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	
103	305-031-26	PM 19841	PAR 1				SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	
113	305-160-36& 37	FM 14754	LOTS 161&162	SF	2	\$138,236	PARDEE HOMES	
113	305-160-53&54	FM 14754	LOTS 180&181	SF	2	\$138,236	PARDEE HOMES	
113	305-161-05-14	FM 14754	LOTS 21-30	SF	10	\$691,180	PARDEE HOMES	
113	305-162-01-31	FM 14754	LOTS 31-61	SF	31	\$2,142,658	PARDEE HOMES	
113	305-162-36-51	FM 14754	LOTS 68-83	SF	16	\$1,105,888	PARDEE HOMES	
113	305-162-61	FM 14754	LOT 185	SF	1	\$69,118	PARDEE HOMES	
116	304-633-28	FM 13818	LOT 92	DMHE	1	\$47,002	LEVIN ROBERTO & JULIE FAMILY TRUST 07-23-04	
123	304-641-01	FM 13818	LOTS 105	DMHE	1	\$47,002	GALLAGHER MICHAEL & ELAINE M REVOC TRUST	
128	304-642-07	FM 13818	LOT 132	DMHE	1	\$47,002	VENKATADRI FAMILY TRUST	
131	304-642-01	FM 13818	LOT 126	DMHE	1	\$47,002	BADEWITZ ERNST R, REIF HARRIET	
134	305-180-04	FM 14817	LOT 4	SF	1	\$69,118	PARDEE HOMES	
134	305-180-25-28	FM 14817	LOTS 50 - 53	SF	4	\$276,472	PARDEE HOMES	
134	305-180-46-50	FM 14817	LOTS 71 - 75	SF	5	\$345,590	PARDEE HOMES	
137	304-641-21	FM 13818	LOT 125	DMHE	1	\$47,002	GHASSEMI FAMILY REVOC TRUST 11-10-00	
138	304-642-03	FM 13818	LOT 128	DMHE	1	\$47,002	MORTAZAVI MAJID	
142	304-631-08 & 09	FM 13818	LOTS 13 & 14	DMHE	2	\$94,004	RIPPEE JEFFREY G	
144	304-631-11	FM 13818	LOT 16	DMHE	1	\$47,002	DOAN RICHARD & KELLY	
150	305-160-33	FM 14754	LOT 158	SF	1	\$69,118	DUBOSE MODEL HOME INVS NO. 113 LTD	
151	305-021-26						BARCZEWSKI SANDRA L M TRUST 12-30-84	



$\textit{Pacific Highlands Ranch Public Facilities Financing Plan FY 2008} \, \textbf{\textit{DRAFT}}$

Insert Resolution of Designation here.

Facilities Benefit Assessment Schedule

FISCAL YEAR	\$/ SFDU	\$/ MFDU	\$/ DMHE	\$/ VAC	\$/ INSTAC	\$/ ECAC
2008	\$69,118	\$48,384	\$47,002	\$557,652	\$198,273	\$371,765
2009	\$73,956	\$51,771	\$50,292	\$596,686	\$212,151	\$397,787
2010	\$79,133	\$55,395	\$53,812	\$638,454	\$227,002	\$425,632
2011	\$83,090	\$58,165	\$56,503	\$670,380	\$238,353	\$446,916
2012	\$87,245	\$61,074	\$59,328	\$703,903	\$250,272	\$469,264
2013	\$91,607	\$64,127	\$62,295	\$739,096	\$262,785	\$492,726
2014	\$96,187	\$67,333	\$65,409	\$776,048	\$275,924	\$517,360
2015	\$100,996	\$70,700	\$68,679	\$814,848	\$289,719	\$543,227
2016	\$106,046	\$74,235	\$72,114	\$855,592	\$304,205	\$570,389
2017	\$111,348	\$77,946	\$75,719	\$898,369	\$319,415	\$598,907
2018	\$116,915	\$81,843	\$79,505	\$943,284	\$335,384	\$628,850
2019	\$122,761	\$85,936	\$83,480	\$990,450	\$352,154	\$660,294
2020	\$128,899	\$90,233	\$87,654	\$1,039,972	\$369,762	\$693,308
2021	\$135,344	\$94,744	\$92,037	\$1,091,971	\$388,250	\$727,974